

JOHN F. KENNEDY SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT

INSTITUTE OF POLITICS

CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT: YOUNG VOTERS, LESSONS LEARNED2004

Wednesday April 27, 2005

Penthouse Littauer Building 79 JFK Street Cambridge, Massachusetts

BEFORE: PHILIP SHARP
Director
Institute of Politics
Kennedy School of Government

PARTICIPANTS:

Alexandra Acker, Democratic GAIN

Carrie Anderson, Student

Kristin Blagg, Student

Melanie Campbell, National Coalition on Black Civic Participation

Gary Davis, World Wrestling Entertainment

Meighan Davis, AFL-CIO Organizing Institute

John Della Volpe, Schneiders/Della Volpe/Schulman

Ben Ferguson, Host, The Ben Ferguson Show

Jane Fleming, Young Democrats of America

Ron Fournier, The Associated Press

Ryan Friedrichs, Skyline Public Works

Ivan Frishberg, Grassroots Campaigns, Inc.

Jehmu Greene, Rock the Vote

Eric Hoplin, College Republican National Committee

David King, Institute of Politics

Jeffrey Levine, Rutgers University, Eagleton Institute

Alexis McGill, Citizen Change

Cathy McLaughlin, Institute of Politics

Tom McSorley, Student

David Nickerson, Notre Dame

Jennifer Phillips, Institute of Politics

April Rapp, Rutgers University, Eagleton Institute

Jordan Sekulow, Ralph Reed for Lt. Governor, Georgia

Susan Sherr, Rutgers University, Eagleton Institute

PARTICIPANTS: Continued,

Rebecca Sinderbrand, Newsweek

Heather Smith, New Voters Project

Kate Snow, ABC News, "Good Morning America, Weekend Edition"

Elise Stefanik, Student

Jaime Uzeta, MTV: Music Television

Tobi Walker, The Pew Charitable Trusts

Hugh Weber, Young Voters Strategies Project.

Paloma Zapeda, Student

PROCEEDINGS

(12:08 p.m.)

MR. SHARP: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the Institute of Politics and to this wonderful forum that many of you have been involved in helping to bring about. My name is Phil Sharp, I'm the Acting Director at the Institute. And you may or may not have seen the news, but we will have our new director coming up, a former Governor of New Hampshire, Jean Shaheen, who is going to be a fantastic addition here at the Kennedy School.

But I'm delighted to see what you are trying to accomplish today for two reasons, one is, as a recovering politician myself, in my very first election in 1970 in the last millennia, I won my first primary by one vote per polling place, by 400 votes and seven people, so I have a particular interest in the notion that every vote counts because I would have been counted out very quickly in that proposition. I also always win narrowly, so maybe I was just a poor politician. But the fact is that they do and, last fall, they really did count, and young people came out, and despite the fact that some commentators seemed to get the message wrong, as you folks know and will be

reiterated here, we did see a considerable upsurge in the voting by young people. We think that was heavily concentrated among college students, who tend to turn out better than their peers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And from my perspective and I think from all our perspectives, it went well beyond that, there was an intense interest that showed up in voting, there was an intense interest that showed up in taking the campaign seriously, there was an intense interest that showed up in people's participation in the campaigns. And as I think our polling data will show when it's presented, that interest seems to have held and continued, despite the usual kind of predictions where all the people who don't win their way, or whatever, or after they've won their way, they'll all fall off again and be indifferent to politics. And this represents a very significant change over the last six to eight years in the country with this revival of interest among young Americans, which many of us feel is critical to our democratic institutions.

The second, apart from my own personal and selfish reason to get people to vote, no longer in my life but at one point, is we at the Institute of Politics, have a long history, since 1972, of

sponsoring something called Campaign Decision Makers where we get the people who led the various campaigns, usually against each other, to come in and sit at a table like this, in a reasonably civil fashion, and to discuss what went on, what they thought was successful, what they thought they were doing to each other for their own campaign, and to answer questions from journalists, as is going to happen here, in that process.

And over the years, we've produced that transcript about the American campaigns and while maybe the general public is not buying it immediately and it's at the top of the list, the best seller list, the fact is that journalists involved in politics, people involved in running campaigns often go back to those volumes for insights into how things are done and strategic decisions are made. So, in a way, we are going to replicate that here with the recognition that many of you were involved in organizational efforts last fall to see if you couldn't engage your colleagues in voting, in participation in campaigns and to see what you think worked, in hopes of enlightening others that follow in your footsteps has to how we might make sure this revival of student interest is sustained.

1	Well, with that, I think our goal here, Elise,
2	all of our programs are run by our students, virtually,
3	and Elise is vice president of our student association.
4	I think we are going to go around the room, am I
5	correct? And have everybody introduce themselves.
6	MS. STEFANIK: Yes. I'm just going to say a
7	quick welcome on behalf of the students. I want to,
8	before we begin, give special thanks to Kristin, and
9	Carrie and Adam who, as students, were basically the
10	liaisons who planned this conference, and also a
11	special thanks to Jennifer Phillips and Laura Simolaris
12	for all their planning on this. Director Sharp
13	actually took my, I was going to talk about the
14	campaign managers conference but, as is probably not
15	surprising, every four years, when we have a Campaign
16	Decision Makers Conference, we don't spend a lot of
17	time talking about whether the youth turned out or did
18	not turn out. And I think, for this reason, it's such
19	a pleasure to have all of our guests here today.
20	And I hope that the Campaign Decision Makers
21	Conference, that this becomes something we do every
22	four years so we can really build upon how to reach out
23	to young voters because it is an important constituency
24	that is often overlooked. So, with that, I'm going to

Т	go around this way and introduce ourselves. Again, i'm
2	Elise, I'm a junior at the college and I'm Vice
3	President of the Institute of Politics.
4	MR. FLYNN: I just want to make one
5	housekeeping thing, the short mics are for the
6	recording of this event, they will not be amplified,
7	and the taller mikes are for the microphones. If you
8	have a soft voice, just use the tall mikes but if you
9	can belt it out, that's all right too.
10	MR. ZAPEDA: I'm Paloma Zapeda, I'm a junior at
11	Harvard College and I'm a member at large of the
12	Student Advisory Committee of the Institute.
13	MR. WEBER: Good morning. My name is Hugh
14	Weber. At this previous cycle, I was Director of
15	Political Education and Training at the RNC, and now
16	I'm serving as a consultant with Heather Smith and the
17	Young Voters Strategies Project.
18	MR. FERGUSON: My name is Ben Ferguson, I'm a
19	syndicated talk show host, as well as an author, and
20	acted as a surrogate for the Bush/Cheney Campaign
21	during the last during the last election specifically
22	speaking with young people around the country.
23	MS. DAVIS: I'm Meighan Davis, I was the
24	Organizing Director for the youth arm of moveon.org

1	this cycle and right now I'm at the AFL/CIO.
2	MS. FLEMING: I'm Jane Fleming, I'm the
3	Executive Director of the Young Democrats of America
4	and was part of the team that headed up our project
5	called the Young Voter Alliance which was a peer to
6	peer contact project I'll talk about later.
7	MR. HOPLIN: Good day. My name is Eric Hoplin,
8	I'm the National Chairman of the Colleage Republican
9	National Committee.
10	MS. ACKER: My name is Alexandra Acker, I was
11	the National Youth Outreach Director for the Kerry
12	Campaign and I currently work for Democratic GAIN, as
13	their Regional Training Director.
14	MR. SEKULOW: Jordan Sekulow, I was the
15	National Youth Director for the Bush Campaign. We know
16	each other well.
17	(Laughter)
18	MR. SEKULOW: And I am now the Political
19	Director for Ralph Reid's campaign down in Georgia for
20	Lieutenant Governor.
21	MS. ANDERSEN: I'm Carrie Andersen, I'm a
22	freshman at the college and I worked with Kristin and

MR. FRIEDRICHS: I'm Ryan Friedrichs, I worked

the staff to help put this on.

23

24

1	at the Young Voter Alliance in 2004 and am working with
2	Skyline Public Works right now doing a study on young
3	voter turnout in 2004.
4	MS. WALKER: My name is Tobi Walker, I'm a
5	program officer at the Pew Charitable Trust where I do
6	work on youth political engagement and particularly
7	youth voting.
8	MR. DELLA VOLPE: I'm John Della Volpe, I'm a
9	partner in the bipartisan polling firm of Schneiders,
10	Della Volpe and Schulman and since 2000, I've been
11	working with the students here at the IOP on voter
12	opinion surveys.
13	MR. LEVINE: I'm Jeff Levine, I'm the Director
14	of the Center for Public Interest Polling at the
15	Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers University.
16	MS. SHERR: Hi. I'm Susan Sherr and I'm the
17	Director of the Civic Engagement and Political
18	Participation Program also at the Eagleton Institute.
19	MS. RAPP: Hi. I'm April Rapp, I'm a Research
20	Project Coordinator also at the Eagleton Center for
21	Public Interest Polling.
22	MS. BLAGG: Hi. I'm Kristin Blagg, I'm a
23	freshman at the college and I worked with Kerry and the
24	rest of the conferences committee to help put this

1	together.
2	MR. NICKERSON: My name is David Nickerson, I
3	teach political science at Notre Dame and I study youth
4	voter mobilization and program evaluations of different
5	campaigns.
6	MS. CAMPBELL: My name is Melanie Campbell, I'm
7	Executive Director and CEO of the National Coalition on
8	Black Civic Participation. And I have a program called
9	Black Youth Vote which is a youth led program to engage
10	young African American youth, and I was a 2003 fellow
11	so it's nice to be back.
12	MR. DAVIS: I'm Gary Davis, I work with World
13	Wrestling Entertainment and I'm here representing our
14	involvement in the Smackdown Your Vote! partnership.
15	MS. PHILLIPS: I'm Jennifer Phillips, I'm the
16	Director of National Programs here at the IOP and work
17	with a group of colleges around the country under the
18	auspices of the National Campaign for Political and
19	Civic Engagement. Thank you all for coming today.
20	MR. FRISHBERG: Ivan Frishberg, I was the
21	Outreach and Communications Director for the New Voters
22	Project in the `04 cycle, and am now working with Hugh,
23	and Heather and Tobi on the Young Strategies project at
24	George Washington University and work also with

1	grassroots campaigns.
2	MS. MCGILL: I'm Alexis McGill, I'm the
3	Executive Director of Citizen Change and also the, well
4	during the election cycle, I also served as Political
5	Director for the Hip Hop Summit Action Network, so I
6	was involved mobilizing the hip hop generation.
7	MR. UZETA: My name is Jaime Uzeta and I co-
8	developed the political and social campaigns for MTV
9	and Choose or Lose, was our big focus last year.
10	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: I'm Cathy McLaughlin, I am the
11	Executive Director at the Institute of Politics.
12	MS. SMITH: I'm Heather Smith, I was the
13	National Field Director for the New Voters Project in
14	the 2004 elections and now I'm the Director of Young
15	Voters Strategies, based at the Graduate School for
16	Political Management at George Washington University.
17	It's a mouthful.
18	(Laughter)
19	MR. MCSORLEY: I'm Tom McSorley, I'm a junior
20	at Harvard College and I work on campus outreach at the
21	Institute of Politics.
22	MS. SINDERBRAND: My name is Rebecca
23	Sinderbrand I'm a National Affairs Reporter for
24	Newsweek Magazine and last year I was on our political

1	team covering first the Dean Campaign and then the Bush
2	Campaign.
3	MS. SNOW: My name is Kate Snow, I'm the Anchor
4	of weekend Good Morning America, and then I work during
5	the week ABC for various different shows, and I covered
6	Kerry during the primary season last year and then
7	Bush, and was at the White House the rest of the time.
8	MR. SHARP: I was very remiss in my remarks in
9	not recognizing a couple of our staff people who have
10	people who really do an incredible amount of work.
11	Each of our programs at the Institute of Politics has
12	student leadership and staff leadership in support.
13	And Jennifer Phillips, who introduced herself and is
14	running our national program has very much been
15	involved in these activities here at Harvard and with
16	19 other schools we have an alliance with. And she is
17	helped by Laura Simolaris, where is Laura?
18	MS. PHILLIPS: Oh, Laura just had to run
19	downstairs.
20	(Laughter)
21	MR. SHARP: She's back. And Christian Flynn
22	helped with the logistics with this. And Cathy of
23	course is our Executive Director who oversees and
24	causes everything to work around here, since the

1	current Acting Director is rather deficient in some of
2	these categories.
3	(Laughter)
4	MR. SHARP: But I'm a recovering politician so
5	everything is excusable. With that, I think we are
6	ready to have Tobi make, we've got a couple of
7	presentations before we start the conversation which
8	our journalists will be leading.
9	MS. WALKER: Great. Well thank you very much
10	and let me just say that I am fighting an allergy
11	attack, so I'm going to be representing two of the
12	seven dwarves, both Dopey and Sneezy.
13	(Laughter)
14	MS. WALKER: So excuse me in advance, and we'll
15	see just how well the Dopey thing goes when I try to do
16	this. Help? What am I doing here?
17	(Pause)
18	MS. WALKER: Okay, so, the youth vote, you all
19	know the numbers, I'm going to go through them very
20	quickly but I'm going to tantalize you by saying that
21	we are going to release some new data today that looks
22	at woo-hoo, we love data that looks at the racial
23	and ethnic composition of young voters and this is the
24	first time that that analysis has been done.

1	Everything that I'm presenting today I'm
2	presenting on behalf of CIRCLE, the Center for
3	Information and Research on Civic Learning and
4	Engagement which has become the prime source of data on
5	the youth vote. So what do we know about the youth
6	vote? Well there is actually two ways, using exit
7	polls, that we can calculate the numbers, we can look
8	at the national exit polls which show that turnout
9	among 18 to 24 year olds went up by about 5.3 percent.
10	However, there is a second way to do the
11	analysis which is to look at aggregated state polls.
12	Now I prefer to use that number because it has a much
13	larger sample size and so I think it gives us a little
14	bit more accuracy in trying to understand the impact of
15	young people in this election cycle. When you use that
16	number, you see that the youth vote, among 18 to 24
17	year olds, went up by about 11 percentage points. If
18	these numbers hold true and are verified when the
19	census bureau data comes out in early 2006, this will
20	be one of the most significant election cycles for
21	young people ever since they earned the right to vote
22	in 1972.
23	What I think is particularly exciting about
24	this as well is that this was not driven primarily by

candidates. So if you look at 1992, a lot of people will argue that the rise in the youth vote came about because of Ross Perot's entrance into that race. this cycle, we think actually the youth vote was driven much more by the kind of grassroots and media efforts that were going on, in large part being run by people in this room. Here we see the importance of the battleground

Here we see the importance of the battleground states. The youth vote was definitely drive by the battleground states, by the amount of activity among the candidates, among their surrogates and also the amount of grassroots activity that was happening in the battleground states.

Women versus men: Women were huge drivers in this election cycle, when we are looking at the youth vote, almost all of the increase we can attribute to the number of young women that were hitting the polls.

The new data: This, to me, is so fascinating, this increase in the youth vote comes from African American young people, dramatic increases in the number of African American young people that voted in this election cycle. African American young people were far more likely to vote for Mr. Kerry while white young

1 people were far more likely to vote for President Bush.

What we see here though is that among Latino and Asian populations, you actually see a decrease in the number of those young people who voted between 2000 and 2004. Now I should caution on the Latino vote that the exit polls are highly disputed about what actually happened with Latinos in this election cycle, so look at these numbers with some caution, but I think you see just how dramatically things differed for African Americans in this election cycle.

Presidential choice: You all know this number, 18 to 29 year olds were the only population, short of those over the age of 75, that preferred Mr. Kerry in this election cycle. We can talk at some point, and I hope we do have an opportunity to talk at some point about why that is the case. Some people will argue it was the draft, it was the war, some folks will argue it was the economy, some folks will argue it was that the Kerry Campaign spent more money and had more surrogates voting out and trying to get the youth vote up and mobilized. I'll be very interested to hear, from those folks who are on the ground, your perspective on why that happened.

What's important to note, however, is that this

1 is actually the first time ever that young people did 2 not vote for the winner of the popular vote. 3 Historically, young people have always gone with the winner and so this is the first time that young people 4 kind of veered off from the rest of the population. 5 6 Issues: Again, this is based upon exit poll 7 data, which we know is problematic in some ways, 8 particularly this moral values question. But what I think is very interesting about this chart is it gives 9 us the sense that young people are not dramatically 10 different from the rest of the population. What they 11 12 think about, what they care about, what motivates them 13 to vote, they pretty much look like the rest of the population. A little bit higher on education 14 obviously, particularly for those young people that are 15 still enrolled in college. And I should say that the 16 17 college student data does come from the lovely Harvard 18 poll which everybody cited and which is a fabulous addition to the work in the field. 19 20 So what happened? Why did this year look so dramatically different? When people ask me what does 21 it take to get young people to vote? I say it's not 22 23 rocket science, all you have to do is ask them. And in 24 this election cycle, conditions were ripe, there were

lots of opportunities for young people to be invited to vote and they responded.

First of all, we saw a lot more money going into the election cycle, our sort of back of the envelope calculation is that just among the non-partisan groups, the six major non-partisan efforts, they spent about \$40 million getting young people to vote. Now \$40 million seems like a lot of money except when you put it in the context of a \$3 billion political economy, \$40 million is really a drop in the bucket.

We also saw a higher level of interest on the part of campaigns in recognizing the importance of the youth vote, whether it was the College Republicans, who are seen as one of the top organizing forces on college campuses around the country, whether it was the Kerry Campaign sending the Kerry daughters out or buying ad time, we saw the campaigns paying more attention than we had ever seen before to youth voters. And we also saw a much stronger use of data, research and a common message, particularly among the non-partisan groups.

There was a higher level, I think, in this cycle, of accountability and honesty about the numbers and about what was going on in the field. And I think

1 that forced the media and political journalists to take 2 the youth vote more seriously than we had ever seen 3 before. Of course we also know how quickly and how easy it was for us to get the youth vote, it was a bust 4 story on election night and I'm just enormously 5 6 thankful for the folks at CIRCLE who were able to get 7 out the next morning with data that could tell us a 8 different story and the hard work of everybody in this 9 room to change that story. 10 The question we always ask at the Pew 11 Charitable Trust, we have been investing in this area 12 since 1998, we've invested probably \$40 million over the past six years, is what happens next? You saw this 13 big spike, where do we go from here? We think there is 14 a lot of capacity that's been put in place that can be 15

thousands of organizers that were trained, whether it's

capitalized on in this next cycle, whether it's the

the technology that was used to track young people, the

data that was collected about young people, whether it

was the political interest that was generated.

16

17

21

22

23

24

It's really interesting to see, in the two gubernatorial races that we are seeing in 2005, New Jersey and Virginia, that the candidates are putting higher education affordability at the top of their

1 issue agenda, and then of course we are seeing it as an 2 I mean President Bush does not talk about issue frame. 3 Social Security without talking about young people. Ken Mehlman is out there saying Social Security is a 4 5 great way for us to build the party and to bring young 6 people onto our team. And so we think, we are looking 7 at this question and saying young people are going to 8 be a hot political commodity going forward, how can we 9 help build that infrastructure? And that's why we think about young people as a political constituency. 10 11 The example that we are always using is 12 seniors, now what do seniors do? They vote and they 13 are engaged in the policy debate, and that forces politicians to pay attention to them, both in terms of 14 spending resources on them in a campaign but also 15 16 paying attention to their policy agenda. And so we are 17 asking the question can we really create this cycle of 18 engagement where you've got young people voting, politicians are paying attention, you've got young 19 20 people engaged in the policy process, and therefore, 21 politicians have to pay attention to their issue 22 concerns. 23 So what's it going to take? Well we think 24 there are four things that have to happen going forward

1 over the next 18 months to two years, first, we've got to register a lot more young people. We all know that 2 3 it's all about the voter files and until you get young people, their cell phones, their e-mails, their 4 5 addresses into high quality voter files, they are not 6 going to get contacted by the campaigns. 7 The second thing that needs to happen is we 8 think you've got to engage them on issues. We've got 9 to move passed the let's mobilize them every four years or let's mobilize them every two years and have them 10 11 involved as full participants in the policy process, 12 but we've also got to pay attention to 2005 and 2006. 13 It's going to be too easy, we know that the youth vote is going to go down in 2005 and 2006, 14 everybody's participation goes down in off year 15 election cycles. Ad so the question is can we at least 16 17 maintain the level of youth engagement going forward in 18 2005 and 2006? And the final thing we have to do is we have to tell the story, we've got to be out there 19 20 talking to every constituency group possible, saying 21 young people made a difference in this election cycle,

Thanks very much for your time and I look

they look like a different generation, you ignore them

22

23

24

at your own peril.

1	forward to continuing the discussion.
2	(Applause)
3	MR. SHARP: Thank you very much, Tobi.
4	We'll hear from John next.
5	MR. DELLA VOLPE: I'll spend the next ten
6	minutes finding my PowerPoint and then two minutes
7	talking about it.
8	(Laughter)
9	MR. SHARP: I might just say, procedurally, is
10	what's going to happen is after we have the
11	presentations, we'll have our two journalists begin
12	sort of by asking questions of the panelists, but
13	perhaps of some of you as well, and you may, in that
14	process, get into the conversation. And some of you
15	will have questions I'm sure about what has been said
16	here. And also, if they don't get answered, you can
17	always submit, on the pink cards, the question,
18	Jennifer is holding one up there, they are along the
19	tables here, questions that you want to see answered.
20	MR. DELLA VOLPE: Okay, well, thank you very
21	much. As I said, I've had the pleasure of working with
22	students here at the IOP since 2000, what began as just
23	a single project conceived by some students who were
24	concerned that their fellow classmates and their peers

throughout the country were not as involved in politics as they were in community service. And it started with one survey to understand some of the differences that the view points that college students between community service and political service. That was 2000, that was five years ago and eight surveys ago.

And what I want to do over the next few minutes is to kind of catch everybody back up to speed here.

And I think we've seen some very interesting trends in our data, since 2000, that I think help explain part of the story Tobi was telling regarding the very high turnout just a few months ago.

And this is a chart that I've used at every presentation we've had, and I know Tobi just talked about the big turnout, but I was just a luncheon, just a couple of days ago, with some of the board members of the Institute of Politics and some of the conversation around the lunch table was that it's great but it's too bad they didn't turn out like everybody thought they would turn out.

It's still being talked about and everybody here knows that's not the case but, unfortunately, it still exists out there in the media. And this, I think, is one of the best graphics that tells the story. Tobi

1 talked about the influence of that senior constituency 2 and how everybody wants to kind of compare their 3 demographic group to see it in terms of their likelihood to vote and their efficacy on lobbying many 4 Well, in the last campaign, in 2004, there 5 6 were more votes cast by men and women under the age of 7 30 than there were among seniors, 65 plus. 8 Seventeen percent of the electorate, according 9 to a CNN exit poll, were between the ages of 18 and 29 and 16 percent were the ages of 65 plus and I think, 10 personally, that the 17 percent number is probably on 11 12 the conservative side, knowing that we know that a 13 third of all college students cast their votes absentee, which are not recorded in those exit polls. 14 So in terms of a political force, this was the first 15 year that they made their voices known and to the 16 17 degree that we can all talk about this number, I think 18 it's going to be very significant to help correct much of that record. 19 20 So just to kind of refresh everybody's memory, in 2000, when we first did the survey, five years ago, 21 we found college students were disengaged politically 22 23 and had little faith that government could solve any of 24 the major problems facing the nation. Students saw a

1 distinction between social activism and political 2 activism, social activism, community service was viewed 3 as local, micro effective and very tangible. Students talked at length, through our focus groups and through 4 our survey, that you can actually see the results. 5 6 Whether you are tutoring somebody and teaching them how 7 to read, you can see the results. Building a house or 8 passing food in a homeless shelter, you can see the results immediately. And that wasn't the case in 9 political activism, they could not connect the dots 10 between fighting for some legislation and seeing how it 11 would have an end effect. Political activism was 12 13 viewed as distant, macro, bureaucratic and abstract. At that time, 75 percent of all college 14 students thought that elected officials were motivated 15 mostly by selfish reasons. The majority thought that 16 17 there was very little tangible results that could be 18 gathered from political involvement. Only half said they were planning on voting in the 2000 election 19 20 campaign and only seven percent had planned to or 21 actually did volunteer on that campaign, whereas, 60 22 percent to 75 percent, over the past couple years, have 23 actually volunteered in community service. 24 Volunteerism on college campus is tremendously high,

the low point was 2000 where we started the survey, at 60 percent and it's been as high as 75 percent in our past surveys. These are habits that they actually picked up in high school and have continued, found out that they are rewarded by it and they continued to do so throughout their college years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So kind of what's changed? I think this past 2004 campaign was, in many ways, kind of a perfect I think three things were happening, I think storm. one of the only positive things to happen out of 9/11 is that politics very, very quickly became relevant again. Adding two new barriers that we talked about just a minute ago, some personal gains where it began to wither away, I think there were kind of a couple of different barriers, we had the attitudinal barriers about their vote didn't matter, and politics was irrelevant and people involved in the process were just concerned about themselves. And then you also had some kind of mechanical barriers in terms the get out to vote efforts, and absentee ballots and some of those things.

The first thing that happened, post 9//1, I think, is the attitudinal barriers slowly began to break away. There are three statements that were

1 measured over time, since 2000, and I'll just walk 2 through those for a moment, you can see the 3 differences. Most of the attitudinal measures that we kept haven't changed too much over the past five years, 4 5 or eight surveys, these have changed dramatically. 6 Politics is relevant to my life right now. In 2000, 7 when we first did it, 68 percent indicated that was the 8 fact. In 2001, 77 percent, and during the campaign, 87 9 percent. 10 So there has been a net increase of 19 percent 11 where politics is relevant to my life right now. 12 you can see the spike after 9/11 and then again another 13 spike, not surprisingly, during the 2004 campaign, remembering though that 2000 was also a political year, 14 obviously, of which they were not as engaged. 15 Elected officials seem to be motivated by 16 17 selfish reasons, there has been a net decrease in that 18 number, down 16 percentage points. In 2004, as I mentioned, in 2000, as I mentioned earlier, three out 19 20 of four college students believed that elected 21 officials were just motivated for selfish reasons. 22 Today, that's cut by 16 percentage points where it's 58 23 percent. Still too high but some tremendous progress and I think we can understand some of the reasons they 24

actually came out and voted when we look at some of the picture data here.

Political involvement rarely has tangible results. And I think this is the best story of all. We talked about the reason they were involved in community service is because you could see the tangible results. Half disagreed that political involvement had those sorts of results four years ago, five years ago and today, that number has been dropped by 50 percent or 25 percentage points, that they can actually see the connection between their political involvement having very specific tangible results.

The second piece of this is, after September 11th, they had very, very strong views on U.S. policy taking shape. When we did the first survey, we asked an open ended question, what's the number one priority in the United States today? Fourteen percent said education, ten percent said crime, eight percent said health care. There was no compelling issue on college campuses that they felt was kind of affecting their lives. That obviously changed after September 11th and as we progressed in Afghanistan and Iraq. And as they thought about these issues, they saw the kids that they went to high school with and others joining the

military and the numbers of casualties increase, they started to think seriously about politics.

During that time, a majority of them believed that the country was on the wrong track, more opposed the war than supported it. At the early stages of the war, college students were more likely than their older voters to support the war, and we've seen a dramatic decrease in that support over the past couple of years, and as I said, education and crime were the major issues on college campuses in 2000. In the last couple of years, we've seen Iraq and terrorism be a major concern. The economy, two thirds of students are concerned about getting a job when they graduate.

And as Tobi mentioned earlier, that we've seen quite a bit of, in the exit polling as well as in our data, and I think Professor King will talk later about that this afternoon, the kind of importance of moral, religious issues and values effecting their votes.

Forty percent, give or take a couple of percentage points, are Born Again Christian on college campuses.

The importance of religion and morals, how they view the world and how they view politics is incredibly important and I know Professor King is going to talk more about that this afternoon.

And then the other thing is we are seeing partisanship on the increase, not surprisingly, during the election season. We had 40 percent, 41 percent of college students claiming themselves to be independent and self-identified, self-identified independents. As the election drew closer, we saw increasing identification with both of the major political parties.

In terms of some of the attitudinal questions that we asked in 2004, 87 percent said they were registered to vote. Also, a similar number, the exact same number, 87 percent followed the campaign closely during the last couple of months of the election in the fall semester.

And 87 percent also said the campaign was very relevant in their lives. When we called them up in late September/early October, we asked them, in the last day, when was the last time you talked about the campaign? In the last day? In the last couple of days? In the last week, etcetera? Seventy-five percent had talked about the campaign the last day, something that was the focus, a major focal point of their lives, and 91 percent cared a great deal about who won.

1	Now I remember doing focus groups not too long
2	ago where we would ask which party are you a member of,
3	who are you planning on voting for, and they didn't
4	care, they had thought it was just a bunch of old white
5	men who, excuse me, Director Sharp, a bunch of old
6	white men in Washington, D.C
7	(Laughter)
8	MR. SHARP: Pale, male and stale.
9	(Laughter)
10	MR. DELLA VOLPE: And it didn't matter, they
11	didn't think they could relate to them in any way
12	whatsoever, and that changed dramatically.
13	Just to give you some sense of some of the
14	issues I think that were driving the campaign and one
15	of the reasons that Senator Kerry did so well among
16	this demographic, is he understands the problems of
17	people like you. Kerry had a significant advantage in
18	the closing weeks of that campaign in `shares your
19	values'. I think those are kind of the two drivers
20	behind the Kerry vote. President Bush did well on
21	several, including strong leadership, takes a clear
22	stand on issues, but obviously, they weren't the same
23	issues that the college students cared mostly about
24	during that time, and I think that goes a little way in

1 at least explaining that vote.

And this is just, very quickly, looking at the progression of the vote. We saw very early, in the spring, once Senator Kerry became the nominee, that he had an 11 point lead in the spring. We did a panel back survey in the summer, we that lead increase, we saw 19 percent of college students thought through it, before the conventions actually switched their position, from undecided or for one candidate to another, they actually switched. And in the fall, it tightened up from the summer months at 52-39, which is about where it ended in November.

I think the third driver, so we first talked about kind of attitudinal barriers and being broken down after 9/11. The second thing is the relevance of it during the `04 campaign cycle. And the third thing is is why most of us are here today, the outreach and mobilization, I think paid off in a major way.

Fifty six percent of college students were encouraged to register to vote by others on their campus, 62 percent were encouraged to actually vote. According to our survey that we just completed a few weeks ago, 73 percent said they voted and a third used absentees ballots. This 33 to 40 percent or so who

1 used absentee ballots has been a very solid number that we've seen over the last year actually, in terms of 2 3 leading up to the campaign and a couple of surveys we've looked at post campaign. 4 5 Twenty-five percent, again, I think a 6 remarkable number, 25 percent were actively engaged in 7 a political campaign, actively engaged being they gave 8 their time, they gave their money or both. When we 9 first did the survey in 2000, again, a presidential year, only seven percent had plans to become active in 10 11 the campaign, so five years, three times as many people 12 were involved. 13 And one of the, again, one of the very optimistic numbers is this final number here, that we 14 asked people, based on this last campaign, some people 15 said it's negative, others may debate that but, based 16 17 on your experience with the last campaign, how likely 18 are you to be involved with politics in the future? Are you more likely or less likely? Two-thirds said 19 20 they were more likely to be involved in politics in the 21 future, based on their experience with this last campaign, so a tremendously, I think, positive 22 23 experience for this group, this demographic group.

> ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

And also, I think there are many, many

24

1	indications and statistical data that says that once
2	they get involved early, they are much more likely to
3	stay involved and become active as they are getting
4	older. So it's been fun watching this group evolve the
5	next five years, over the last five years and I expect
6	them to become an increasingly important part of the
7	electorate. So thank you very much.
8	(Applause)
9	MR. SHARP: Thank you.
10	Now, we'll turn to Jeff and Susan from the
11	Eagleton Institute.
12	MR. LEVINE: Thank you.
13	So right after the election day in 2004, there
14	was a lot of news, particularly in our neck of the
15	woods in New Jersey, and particularly around the
16	Rutgers campus, that students were having problems on
17	election day actually voting, that is not just standing
18	in long lines, but actually showing up at the polls and
19	having people turn them away and not being able to find
20	their names on the list, and so on and so forth. So,
21	at that time, we actually did a survey of students on
22	the Rutgers campus to find out about what students
23	felt, what their evaluation of the voting process was
24	on that day.

We subsequently, after that, decided to do this nationally because we got some good results and we thought it was interesting. The Carnegie Foundation was good enough to give us some funding to do so and so we just recently completed a national survey of students in four year colleges which we just wrapped up a couple of weeks ago, so we are going to give you a quick overview of what we saw. This is sort of literally hot off the presses. I'm going to walk you through some of the turnout stuff that we saw, what students told us they were experiencing, in terms of getting help, and then Susan is going to walk you through some of the problems, and the incidents of problems that students had and the kinds of problems that students said they were experiencing actually on election day. Just real quick, we, as I said, we wrapped this up April 15th so it's a fairly recent study, it was a national sample of 1,000. We also went out and did a couple of extra hundred in the election day registration states to see if there was differences between those kind of states, and we have some of those results here, but obviously we'll be looking into even

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

more as time goes on.

So, just a quick, top line overview, we found similar I think to what John was talking about, that students this year were really engaged and active on election day. I'll give you the numbers in a minute but the vast majority of students told us that they showed up and were able to vote without having a lot of problems actually, despite some of the reports that we heard about and saw on the news that students actually had a fairly good experience overall. There was a small percentage who didn't, we'll talk about those, but mainly people felt pretty good on election day. And that students received significant assistance from various groups, various people in the course of the registration process and the course of the voting process that, as I'll talk about here, what was somewhat surprising to us is that it was really mainly they did get help from organizations but they got an enormous amount and at least what they reported was even more help from more informal interactions, particularly with parents, which we thought was an interesting finding. Let me walk you through some of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As I said, the vast majority of students in our survey and again, we did a survey of students who were

the specific findings.

registered to vote in four year colleges, 87 percent said that they voted on election day, six percent said they didn't even try to vote and seven percent, which is a fairly small number, said they tried to but weren't able to vote. And as I said, Susan will talk more about what that really meant and who those seven, the seven percent were saying that, what kinds of things they were talking about.

The students who didn't vote wasn't a big surprise to us but they tended to be most likely to be, the extent to which students didn't vote they tended to be younger students, they tended to be students who lived on campus, they tended to be students who voted on campus versus going home to vote. So it's more that it's not particularly surprising to us to find that it is sort of the newer students who live on campus a little more, that's sort of the part of the population that I'm sure comes to no surprise to you, it's a little more vulnerable to having problems or being less inclined to show up and vote.

Where did they cast their ballots? We asked people which of the following ways did you vote, did you vote in person? Did you vote absentee? What we saw, which I think is consistent with other stuff that

we've seen, that the majority of people voted in person but a good chunk, 34 percent, said that they ended up voting absentee. The people who voted absentee tended to live on campus, tended to get registration help from their parents which we found interesting. Again, it's a common theme that we keep finding, that parents are really not the sole but certainly one of the primary ways that students are getting information, that students are getting encouraged to vote and certainly, in this case, are helping people absentee, walking students through how you absentee vote.

A good chunk of people, the majority of students, said that they voted in their home towns but about 44 percent said that they actually voted either on campus or near camps. So it was striking, in New Jersey, when we did that poll, it was even a higher percentage of people that ended up voting in their home towns, but there was a general perception that students are all voting on campus, that's clearly not the case, a good chunk of them are not doing so. The ones who are voting at home tend to be older students, people who are not, who are sophomores and upper classmen, people who registered not at the last minute. So what you are really getting is the segment of students who

1 are voting on campus are students who are younger, who are doing it more at the last minute. Again, that 2 3 certain segment we see throughout. We asked the question why did they cast or not 4 cast their ballots? Again, most people cast their 5 6 ballots, 60 percent, my duty as a citizen, lot's of 7 polls show that, a certain segment of students believe 8 that. There is also some social desirability 9 associated with that but that topped out as number one. But then you got into the issues portion of it and the 10 11 candidate part of it, that that's what they, at least, 12 were telling us was driving them. 13 And I think this gets back to some of the other things that we've, the other point which lots of people 14 have been talking about is that to drive people and to 15 get them to show up, it's getting and making sure 16 17 students are connected to issues and making sure that 18 that is relevant to them, and so those kind of things. And then just interesting to note about the parent or 19 20 older adult encouraged me to vote, we'll show that a 21 little bit more down the line but that's a big thing 22 that's driving people. 23 And then the small segment of people who 24 weren't and decided not to vote, too busy popped out

but almost just as much as they didn't like the candidates or campaign issues. So, again, it speaks to that point again about the way to mobilize students is certainly to tell them how to vote and show them the process but to get them excited and encouraged, it's making sure that the issues and the parties and the candidates are relevant to them.

We took a quick look at the difference between turnout and election day registration states versus non-election day registration states, it fell out kind of like you would expect and in other research that we've seen in election day registration states, turnout or more people reported voting. Part of that is due to the fact that those states, at least three of them, were pretty competitive states anyway, so there is a little bit of a chicken and the egg problem there, but still, there was a bump and in the way that we would expect it to be.

We asked people, we asked students where they went to get information, where they went to get assistance and the process of voting. Again, that's really what we were focused most on and we found that a good chunk of students reported getting assistance in the registration process as well as in the voting

1 process, much more on the registration end of things. 2 But again, that, certainly from our experience, is 3 consistent with what we were seeing. Sixty-one percent said that they received some sort of help in terms of 4 registration and 40 percent said they got some sort of 5 6 help in terms of voting. 7 We just took a look to see whether or not 8 people who got assistance or didn't get assistance 9 displayed a higher incidence of voting, it ended up being pretty similar either way. But again, as John 10 was talking about, this is a way in which a lot of 11 students were interested, a lot of students were 12 13 motivated, so I think you would probably end up seeing more of an impact perhaps in a year like 2000 where 14 people were, there was a segment of students paying a 15 little bit less attention. 16 17 We asked people for registration and for 18 voting, we didn't just ask did you get assistance but where you got assistance from, and this chart shows a 19 little bit what I was talking, it demonstrates that 20

voting, we didn't just ask did you get assistance but where you got assistance from, and this chart shows a little bit what I was talking, it demonstrates that look to parents and other relatives more than anyone else for assistance in voting and registering.

Clearly, 16 percent for voting, for getting help in terms of voting outdistanced all the other ones,

21

22

23

24

friends, a government office, student university vote drive, professor TA, church, group not affiliated with a political party or issue, group affiliated with a political party or issue, and we gave them examples of each of those different kinds of organizations. And clearly, for both voting and registration help, really the primary place people were getting it was from these informal discussions that they were having with parents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And then we asked, well, that's fine, what kinds of specific information and what kinds of help were you receiving from these different sources? we asked them, we gave them four, help you fill out a form or submit a form for registration, gave you a registration form, told you where to find information about registering and encouraged you to register. what you see is for, we took the top three places that people got information about, reported getting information about registration and what you see is that for the state, country and municipal office for, including university effort, they were getting much more of the mechanics of registering. That is gave them a registration form, helped them walk through that And what they are getting from parents and process.

informal contacts is sort of that encouragement to actually go out and do it.

So it's sort of interesting from our point of view, I mean in terms of mobilizing students, there is certainly the need to get students the practical information to be able to walk through it, but there is also the need to get them motivated and encouraged to do so, and it was interesting to us that people were putting a lot of that coming from their families.

So, in terms of communication efforts, that has potential implications to think about in terms of targeting people, not just students themselves and the process of how you register and do things but also the people around them because that has something to say and that certainly is something that at least students reported to us as being important to encourage them to even go through this process.

We asked them which websites, if any, did they look to for registration information in particular. This isn't voting information, this is particularly registration information, and 41 percent said they used the website for registration help, the other segment said not specifically for registration help. We asked them which particular kinds, it was sort of a mishmash

1 all over the place. This isn't about voting or voting 2 information, this is specifically about registration 3 information but we thought it would be interesting to take a look at that and at least on the registration 4 end of it, less than half of the people said they were 5 6 going to websites at that point, so that was something 7 that was obvious we wanted to take a look into this 8 year. Independent, ignore that the title, this slide 9 is probably a little over the top, but we did take a 10 look at Republicans, Democrats and Independents, 11 12 finding, not so surprisingly, that Independents were less likely to have voted, it's what we would have 13 expected, but they were also less likely to have gotten 14 help registering, or at least reported getting help 15 16 registering, and less likely to get help voting. So, 17 as a group, obviously Independents are less engaged in 18 various ways but they are also being reached out to less directly, which keeps that cycle going a little 19 20 bit and keeps them at an additional potential 21 disadvantage. 22 So I'm going to turn it over to Susan and as I 23 said, the other part of this that we wanted to look 24 into is really the process on the day to see what kinds

1	of problems the students actually experience, so she'll
2	walk you through that.
3	MS. SHERR: Okay, so now we'll talk a little
4	bit about what problems students encountered when they
5	were registering and voting. Certainly in order to
6	vote successfully, ultimately, first you have to
7	register successfully and so we began by asking
8	students how difficult they found the registration
9	process and happily, only a small percentage of
10	students reported having any difficulties with
11	registration. In fact only seven percent of students
12	reported having any problems when asked a general
13	question about whether they had any problems with
14	registration.
15	Then we asked them a series of specific
16	questions about whether they had trouble obtaining
17	There is a little spider crawling towards me on table
18	here.
19	(Laughter)
20	MS. SHERR: Obtaining a registration form,
21	finding out the deadline for, thank you, filling out
22	the form, finding out where to send the form
23	(Laughter)
24	MS. SHERR: Or filling out the registration

form and in this case, in response to these specific questions, less than six percent of the students reported having difficulty with any of these tasks, so they were having a fairly successful experience there.

Having had a successful registration experience, we then asked how difficult they found the voting process and it turns out that, again, whether they voted in person or by absentee ballot, students found the voting process to be relatively simple.

Ninety-four percent of those who voted said that they found it easy to vote, those were people who voted in person at a polling place, and 80 percent of absentee voters said that they found it was easy to obtain an absentee ballot and 90 percent said that it was easy to cast the ballot. So they clearly weren't having or at least weren't perceiving that they were having difficulty going through the process of casting their ballots.

But we wanted to take this apart a little bit more and explore the question to see if we kind of prompted people to think a little bit more about what happened when they voted, if indeed that they would think that they actually had encountered some

difficulties. So for those who voted in person, we asked them, first, a general question, as we did with registration, just did you run into any problems in the course of voting and again, here we see that only eight percent said that they did.

But we then asked them to respond to a series of possible obstacles that we enumerated, things like encountering long lines at the polling place, or people who are running the polling places not being able to find your name in the book and those sorts of things, and when we presented people with a list of that sort, half of them actually said that they had encountered at least one of these obstacles.

Let's take a look a little bit more closely at what these obstacles turned out to be, so you can see that when we asked the students specifically what obstacles they did encounter, 21 percent of them said it was long lines. Now let me say that these are people who voted so they were clearly undeterred by these long lines, they stayed, they voted, and this was kind of unsurprising because of the high turnout in this election, most people actually or many people, certainly those in battleground states, seemed to have encountered long lines when they went to vote.

Many fewer people clearly encountered things like poll workers who were impolite to them, or trouble finding their names on the rolls or having their IDs questioned, these didn't seem to be very prevalent problems. And then a bunch of people just didn't really know, at this point, if they had actually encountered any of these things, so clearly they weren't very dramatic experiences for them that really stayed with them, if they had encountered them.

Now although there was a group of student who didn't get deterred from voting because of the obstacles they faced, this group, there were students who were unable to vote as a result of the problems. And if you'll recall from the first slide, this is a very small percentage of people, it was only seven percent of the total respondents to the survey and actually, of the seven percent, most of them said that the reason was, the highest percentage of responses about this tended to be about the fact that people did not receive their absentee ballots in time to vote. A very small, small numbers of people here because, again, we are only talking about 69 people altogether, found that the lines were too long and they just ended up leaving or that they couldn't find the polling place

in the first place. So, really, the biggest problem

here seems to be a lack of absentee ballots arriving on

time.

So clearly there is a lot of success here and this is not a bad story about students voting, they didn't have a lot of problems, but we were interested in seeing whether there were any differences among students that really stood out, and if there were some groups that had more problems than others or fewer problems than others and what we found were that there really weren't too many, that pretty much we weren't finding a lot of significant differences.

However, we did notice that Republicans were slightly less, somewhat less likely than the total sample to have had problems voting and students who voted in their hometowns were less likely than the overall sample to have problems voting. And we can speculate about why this might be, perhaps where large concentrations of students are all voting together at the same time, some of the issues about their transient nature and other things might kind of overwhelm polling places or there might be more town gown sort of antagonism that arises that causes students to feel uncomfortable where they are voting. So if they are

just kind of one of a larger group of people in their hometown, they may be less likely to encounter those sorts of issues when they go to the polling place.

Now one thing that we thought was interesting was that having actually received help with either registration or with voting actually seems to have made no appreciable difference in whether or not people experienced problems when they actually went to register, I mean went to go and vote. So although it was good to have the help, it didn't seem to have at least effected that aspect of the outcome.

So overall, we can certainly say that it seems that student voting in 2004 was a real success story, in terms of people's level of success in casting their votes. But we also wanted to know how the students felt about that, we wanted to know what their attitudes were about what their role have been in the election, so we asked them questions about those attitudes and about their engagement in the political process.

Well the vast majority of students believe that, as a group, they had an effect on the outcome of the election, 71 percent said that they thought they had either a large effect or some effect on who was elected president, so students were feeling pretty good

about their impact on the election outcome. We also wanted to know, as a result of the discussion we've all been having today about the negative stories that came out the day after the election, whether this had kind of seeped into the mentality of the students and made them feel like in fact they hadn't turned out in big numbers, that their peers, that their age cohort hadn't done what we all know that they did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well in fact you'll be glad to know at least this group of college students seems to have absorbed the counter message that came out after when all of you did so much work to reframe the issue, 76 percent said they believed that the youth vote increased in 2004 and only four percent thought it decreased, so that's good news for all of us there. We also asked them a little bit about some of the recent civic and political activities they had engaged in recently and as we kind of find in most surveys, with the exception of discussing politics, students were more likely to engage in more civically oriented, what we call might call civically oriented activities like volunteering for a non-political cause or making a contribution to a non-political cause, but 69 percent said that they had recently talked about politics. Which I think we can

Т	only view as a noperul sign that there is a high level
2	of engagement there that can be tapped into to possibly
3	encourage more active, more in person types of
4	activities that students can become involved with.
5	And then finally, we asked the students what
6	they thought was most likely to encourage other young
7	people to go out and vote and interestingly, the things
8	that were mentioned the most frequently were the
9	candidates should focus more on the issues that young
10	people care about and that they would like to get more
11	information about candidates and issues. Things like
12	learning more about voting mechanics were way toward
13	the bottom of the list with only ten percent saying
14	that that would actually do something to get more young
15	people out to vote.
16	And this is really consistent with what
17	everyone has been talking about so far and certainly
18	with our findings that young people really didn't
19	encounter that many problems when they went to vote, so
20	there would be no reason for them to believe that
21	fixing that or mending that problem in any way would
22	encourage youth turnout.
23	So I think this sends a message to us, to some
24	extent, for those of us who are doing on campus

1	mobilization, whether it be for the political parties
2	or as activists, that we shouldn't let information and
3	education about issues take a back seat to the
4	registration and get out the vote efforts. And it
5	certainly sounds like, based on what Tobi was saying,
6	that that's really not the plan, that is the plan for
7	the future, to bring those things to the forefront, and
8	it appears that that's what students are looking for,
9	that they like more information and they believe that
10	young people will be motivated by more information
11	about the substance of elections and not just about how
12	to register and how to case their votes.
13	Thank you.
14	(Applause)
15	MR. SHARP: Well thank you very much. We are
16	going to make this session run until 1:30 and then take
17	five minute break at that point, so it's an opportunity
18	to ask questions of, there's a considerable amount of
19	data here.
20	But we're very pleased to be able to turn the
21	program over at this point to two folks that we were
22	delighted were willing to spend to their and help us
23	out here today, Rebecca Sinderbrand of Newsweek and
24	Kate Snow of "Good Morning America". In fact maybe,

1	Kate, you and I should just switch so the two of you
2	can actually, does that make sense?
3	MS. SINDERBRAND: Do you want to start?
4	MS. SNOW: Journalists always have questions.
5	Do you want to start?
6	MS. SINDERBRAND: Sure, absolutely. There was
7	a lot of information and we have a lot of questions,
8	I'm sure everyone here does. I had a couple of quick
9	questions and I was hoping you guys could illuminate
10	this for me. I think some of the confusion among some
11	of my colleagues in the news media about what the
12	numbers actually meant, what the turnout numbers
13	actually meant. Could you just, as a baseline, tell me
14	a little bit about, when you are talking about a rise
15	in turnout among young people, those numbers that you
16	are talking about, the percentage increase, is that
17	adjusted for population increase? And since it was
18	turnout among all segments of the population, how does
19	this turnout compare to turnout among others segments,
20	the increase among other segments of the population?
21	MR. DELLA VOLPE: If I could just take one stab
22	at that. One of the reasons that people said that
23	young voters did not increase was because in 2000,
24	according to the exit polls, in 2000 and in 2004, 17

1	percent of the electorate were young people, 18 to 29,
2	so the first guess was that they would change, 17
3	percent and 17 percent. The flaw in the logic was
4	that, in 2000, I think it's 107 million people voted
5	and in the last four years, the society aged
6	dramatically, fairly dramatically, and yet, in 2004, we
7	had 119 million people vote. So 17 percent of 107
8	million versus 17 percent of 119 million is an
9	increase. So that's kind of, I think, the flaw in the
10	original logic, people looked at 17 percent as turnout
11	for both 2000 and 2004, whereas, the base number is 12
12	million people higher.
13	MS. SNOW: But has the population of young
14	people gone up or down
15	MR. DELLA VOLPE: No, the population of older
15 16	MR. DELLA VOLPE: No, the population of older people has increased, so the percent of 18 to 29 year
16	people has increased, so the percent of 18 to 29 year
16 17	people has increased, so the percent of 18 to 29 year olds today, as relative to four years, is smaller, so
16 17 18	people has increased, so the percent of 18 to 29 year olds today, as relative to four years, is smaller, so the increase is actually more dramatic than even that.
16 17 18 19	people has increased, so the percent of 18 to 29 year olds today, as relative to four years, is smaller, so the increase is actually more dramatic than even that. And just the other piece is, again, we saw from Jeff's
16 17 18 19 20	people has increased, so the percent of 18 to 29 year olds today, as relative to four years, is smaller, so the increase is actually more dramatic than even that. And just the other piece is, again, we saw from Jeff's data and from our data, that about a third of young
16 17 18 19 20 21	people has increased, so the percent of 18 to 29 year olds today, as relative to four years, is smaller, so the increase is actually more dramatic than even that. And just the other piece is, again, we saw from Jeff's data and from our data, that about a third of young people were not counted in the exit polls because of

1	MS. WALKER: Yeah, I got really frustrated with
2	that question about sort of as a share of the
3	electorate because my whole thing, the youth voting
4	movement has never been about getting old people not to
5	vote, it's been about getting young people to vote.
6	And so, to me, the share of the electorate question is
7	sort of pointless. The question is did more young
8	people, as a percentage of their numbers in population,
9	vote?
10	MS. SINDERBRAND: That's the question.
11	MS. WALKER: And they did, they did
12	dramatically. Now everybody's vote rose, absolutely,
13	there was a greater level of turnout in this election
14	cycle. But we've actually, as you compare 18 to 24
15	year olds to other percentages of the population, young
16	people were, there was a slight, young people were
17	more, there was a larger increase among young voters
18	than there were among other segments of the population,
19	except for 60 to 75 year olds. So on the whole, young
20	people were voting at a slightly higher rate, when
21	compared to the rest of the population, even though
22	everybody's vote came up.
23	MS. SNOW: I think everyone would agree that
24	it's an accomplishment but I wonder whether, and this

is really to, I think, everybody around the table, I wonder whether the expectation was that young people would increase even above and beyond. I mean they would increase over their past numbers but they also would increase their share of the voting pie because of perhaps some fault of ours, the media, for drumming up expectations and for talking about how much effort was being made to get out the vote, I wonder if there was an expectation set that they would go above and beyond even just increasing their numbers.

MR. LEVINE: I mean I think there was and part

MR. LEVINE: I mean I think there was and part of it was that people thought, you know, you kept hearing people saying, well, young people are going to decide this election, they are going to be, and even though more people came out to vote, since they still ended up being the same percentage of the population, their influence, which everybody thought would somehow be greater, somehow they would turn the tide of the election, given that the percentage stayed relatively the same, it didn't have that kind of impact. Which I think partially accounted for the reason that people are saying oh, well, it was a disappointment.

MS. WALKER: I never heard one group talk about increasing young people, young people becoming an

1	increasing share of the electorate. Now if Mr. Kerry
2	had won, a totally different conversation, I mean we
3	would, it would have been the youth vote pushed
4	Mr. Kerry into office. Instead, because Mr. Bush won,
5	then you get the attention on the sort of religious
6	vote among conservative and evangelical Christians. So
7	in part, you are getting the story that's shaped by
8	what's' happening in the external political
9	environment. I don't know if other folks want to
10	MS. SNOW: I think Ivan had his hand up a
11	second ago, so let's
12	MR. FRISHBERG: You're going to make the other
13	point which is that the gold points, so I'll leave that
14	alone
15	(Laughter)
16	MR. FRISHBERG: On the question to Sherr and
17	the actual number, I think, John, you eluded to this
18	earlier, the idea that it was the same is, the jury is
19	out on that in a big way and I think most people
20	looking at the different exit polls and different
21	methodologies would suggest, in the larger exit poll,
22	the 50 state exit polls are aggregated together, it
23	actually does show an increase in the share of the
24	electorate over 2000, and that there is, I think it's

Т	around the margin of error. But still, it's edging
2	higher and then that doesn't take into consideration
3	it's an even smaller, a very much smaller part of the
4	population.
5	So I think this share issue we would contend is
6	it's not the question anybody asked, it was not the one
7	that we set our goal around but I think once the census
8	bureau information comes out and we get beyond these
9	exit polls, the sense is that in fact we'll have
10	accomplished that as well.
11	MS. SNOW: Just for the record, does anybody on
12	that table know what that figure is, if you look at the
13	state data? Has that been done?
14	MR. LEVINE: Up two to three percent.
15	MR. FRISHBERG: I think it may be, there are
16	so many numbers, but it may be that 18.4 share.
17	MS. SINDERBRAND: I had a question about a
18	detail which I think gets to a larger point. I was
19	looking at the numbers, the breakdown of young women
20	versus young men, that there was a greater increase
21	among young women voters than there was among young men
22	voters, and I was wondering if that had anything to do
23	with the fact that there are now more young women
24	enrolled in colleges and universities than men, and how

1	matriculation status broke down, in terms of was there
2	a greater increase among college student voters? I
3	believe you talked about it a little bit. But on
4	whether, long term, this speaks to maybe new ways that
5	need to be developed to reach young male voters?
6	MS. WALKER: I think the jury is still out on
7	whether the youth vote was driven by college students
8	increasing or whether it was driven by non-college
9	students increasing. CIRCLE hasn't released this
10	analysis yet and so I'm going to say something I
11	shouldn't say, that their analysis is showing that
12	actually the increase in turn out was driven by non-
13	college. If that proves true, then I think it forces
14	all of us to kind of reshift our, I mean young college
15	that vote, they've always had really high,
16	comparatively speaking, really high voting rates.
17	Now if I was a Republican Party operative, I
18	would be looking at white male young people and saying,
19	boy, if we can increase their turnout, it's going to
20	help our side. If I am a Democrat, I am looking at
21	African American and young women and saying how do we
22	get those people out to vote? So this is where you
23	start talking market typing.
24	MS. SNOW: I think Ben had a

1	MR. FERGUSON: I think part of it is too that
2	there has been a lot of the organizations that have
3	gone after young women voters and there has been this
4	ideology out there it seems that men are going to make
5	their decisions on their own, they are going to take
6	care of themselves and they are going to figure it out
7	by themselves, maybe because that's the way we are
8	sometimes. But I also think that when you see the
9	women that, they came out and voted because people talk
10	to women a young voters a lot more than they did the
11	men. I mean our best thing we had was, so, you going
12	to vote? Yeah, I'm going to vote. Who are you going
13	to vote for? Well, I've got a buddy that's, you know,
14	in the war that doesn't like it, so I'm not going to
15	vote for this person, or I've got a friend over there.
16	So that was about the most engaging conversation we
17	had among men, whereas, women actually had issues that
18	they were talking about.
19	(Laughter)
20	MR. FERGUSON: I mean real reasons to go to the
21	poll and I think that part of what we are going to see
22	in the next election is you are going to see both
23	parties try to go after men a lot more on the issues.
24	MS SINDERRRAND: Well that speaks kind of to a

1 larger issue, and I think you also had your hand up, 2 but a larger issue in that we talk about young voters. 3 Again, as if they are small, a block, and there is one way to reach all of them. But in a lot of ways, you 4 5 need to start, microtarget your messages when you are 6 trying to reach different parts of the young 7 population, whether you are talking about college 8 students or those who aren't enrolled colleges. Again, 9 when you are talking about young men and young women, 10 there are different issues that may draw them to the 11 polls. 12 MR. FERGUSON: When we heard about it, I think 13 even when the election, when it was Clinton against Dole, who was better looking? And the women vote was a 14 lot higher on that, and you look at this one too, where 15 16 was the women vote highest? And if you look at the 17 issues that were up there, a lot of it was that you 18 like the appearance of Kerry, you felt like he understood what you believed and he connected with you. 19 20 When you look at Bush and what connected him with 21 people, it was you knew where he stood on the issues. 22 And I think that is something that guys can relate to a little bit more is I know where he stands and I respect 23 24 that, whereas we such much higher turnout among women,

1 young people voting for Kerry because they felt like 2 they connected with him on that level that seemed to 3 bring them to the polls. Okay, John looks like he is dying to 4 MS. SNOW: 5 jump in. 6 (Laughter) 7 I mean with all due respect, I MR. LEVINE: 8 think that that's part of the problem sometimes with 9 the people that around talk about young people care only the MTV question. How many years ago was that? 10 11 Which kind of underwear do you wear? That was a 12 generation ago almost. The fact is college students 13 care tremendously about the same issues that I care about, that my parents care about and my grandparents 14 care about. We just did a survey two weeks ago, we 15 16 asked them how concerned are you about Social Security? 17 Seventy percent were concerned about Social Security. 18 In the open-ended question, that was the number two voted issue behind Iraq. You know, we've had very, 19 20 very serious issues that I think that men and women can 21 relate to. I don't think the war is a female issue or a male issue, so I think that it's about some of the 22 23 grassroots and some of the targeting that may be focus

on women but I think both genders were spoken to as

24

1	well.
2	MS. SNOW: Jordan, do you want to make one more
3	comment about this?
4	MR. SEKULOW: Yes, real quick. From a campaign
5	perspective, when you look at young voters, which both
6	sides had top strategists, numbers folks, that's not
7	what our job typically was to do. And when they looked
8	at it, and even when we look at this time, that it did
9	go up, more and more voting, it's still this percentage
10	of the vote, 17 to 18 total percent, so how much more
11	are campaigns going to spend? Well they'll pay for us
12	to fly around the
13	MS. ACKER: They paid for you to fly around?
14	MR. SEKULOW: They did.
15	(Laughter)
16	MR. SEKULOW: A lot of what we were doing is
17	training volunteers and I think the difference has to
18	be when can you say, and maybe if Kerry won, that would
19	have changed. I really do think that the fact that we
20	had that, that when you look at it, it's the same
21	percent it's always been, and turnout was up so we did
22	the same kind of thing. And it's not anyone's fault,
23	it's just part of it and it's just showing the
24	differences, I think.

1	MS. ACKER: I disagree because I think that the
2	Democratic Party is very emboldened by the percentage
3	increase of the electorate that we got given that Al
4	Gore only won the youth vote by two points in 2000 and
5	Kerry won by 10 to 12, depending on how you look at the
6	numbers, I would say we look at that very differently.
7	MR. LEVINE: We do, but just to say one quick
8	thing before we, it's not the capaign discussion. We
9	looked at 19 states and it actually got down to eight
10	that we cared about, and the other 42 states in the
11	country, if we lost the youth vote by 70 percent it
12	didn't matter, so
13	MS. ACKER: So you didn't run the 50 state
13 14	MS. ACKER: So you didn't run the 50 state strategy then.
14	strategy then.
14 15	strategy then. MR. LEVINE: We did, I mean we had activity,
14 15 16	strategy then. MR. LEVINE: We did, I mean we had activity, but, by the end of it, the volunteers, the supporters
14 15 16 17	strategy then. MR. LEVINE: We did, I mean we had activity, but, by the end of it, the volunteers, the supporters that we had in those states that were young people were
14 15 16 17 18	strategy then. MR. LEVINE: We did, I mean we had activity, but, by the end of it, the volunteers, the supporters that we had in those states that were young people were in other states helping us.
14 15 16 17 18	strategy then. MR. LEVINE: We did, I mean we had activity, but, by the end of it, the volunteers, the supporters that we had in those states that were young people were in other states helping us. MS. ACKER: I agree.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. LEVINE: We did, I mean we had activity, but, by the end of it, the volunteers, the supporters that we had in those states that were young people were in other states helping us. MS. ACKER: I agree. MR. LEVINE: So we weren't looking at national
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	strategy then. MR. LEVINE: We did, I mean we had activity, but, by the end of it, the volunteers, the supporters that we had in those states that were young people were in other states helping us. MS. ACKER: I agree. MR. LEVINE: So we weren't looking at national numbers and still wouldn't, it's about the

1	panel? And maybe this is my ignorance but I was struck
2	by the numbers I saw on the screen, the Rutgers study,
3	87 percent of your sample said they voted and I think
4	in your study, did I get that right? In your study, 73
5	percent said they had voted, reported having voted?
6	MR. DELLA VOLPE: Yeah, a marked difference
7	between the studies. Jeff's study talked only with
8	registered voters and mine was of the entire
9	electorate.
10	MS. SNOW: But then we know that the actual
11	turnout was about 48, what's the analogous
12	MR. DELLA VOLPE: We were just talking about
13	college students, and in the past college students are
14	about twice as likely to vote as non-college students
15	in the same age group.
16	MS. SNOW: My question was is there is over-
17	reporting factor here of kids lying about having voted
18	or am I reading too much into it?
19	MR. DELLA VOLPE: There is some social
20	desirability effect when you ask a survey question like
21	that, but we've tracked it consistently over the last
22	year and there is a percentage of people who said they
23	turned out, the numbers seem to kind of add up and I
24	think that's about what it was. In the past, it had

1 been in the 60s, the actual turnout among college 2 students. As I said, they are twice as likely as 3 non-college students in the same group to turnout and 4 vote. 5 MS. SNOW: Thank you. 6 MR. UZETA: Just a quick question on the social 7 desirability factor, that cuts across all age groups, 8 right? I mean that seems like--9 MS. SHERR: That's because more educated people 10 being more likely to vote, I mean it's just in the 11 population generally. 12 MS. SINDERBRAND: I have a question which I 13 don't know if you have the answer to but did you have any sense this year on whether college students in 14 particular, I mean you talked a little bit about half 15 16 of college students were voting on campus and a little 17 more than half were voting either by absentee or in 18 person in their home states or their home areas. sense as to whether the focus that was paid this year 19 20 to swing states and battleground states had any kind of 21 impact in where and how college students decided to vote, whether they decided to vote on their college 22 23 campus if it was in a swing state or in their home

town, whether that had any impact this time around?

24

1	MS. SHERR: Well, I mean I'm not sure exactly
2	but I do know that, even from our discussions here at
3	Harvard, that there has been kind of an emphasis on
4	getting students to try to vote by absentee ballot. I
5	mean with the, I would like to get some agreement from
6	kind of around the table about whether that's true.
7	But it is because there are some issues with some
8	communities not wanting students vote there and those
9	sorts of things that it is sort of a positive, right,
10	Jennifer? To kind of encourage students to vote by
11	absentee ballots, so that could have
12	MS. PHILLIPS: They like to vote, they want to
13	vote at home
14	MS. SHERR: Right.
15	MS. PHILLIPS:they feel connected, they know
16	the people there.
17	MS. SHERR: It's kind of an interesting
18	question though about how many people actually go home
19	and how that works because I was a little taken aback
20	by actually the high numbers of people who report
21	voting in their home towns, so something we have to
22	kind of go through further, I think we need to do a
23	little more analysis on that.
24	MR. DELLA VOLPE: There was higher turnout in

1	swing states than non-swing states.
2	MS. SNOW: Has anybody looked at the difference
3	between the number of people who download, go to forums
4	or go to your websites and say yes, I am going to
5	register, versus actually carrying it out? And do we
6	have any idea whether the people that download the
7	forms actually vote?
8	MS. WALKER: Oh, you just asked the big burning
9	question.
10	(Laughter)
11	MS. WALKER: A lot of people are trying to
12	answer it, and you can't answer that question until you
13	get the voter files and a lot of states haven't
14	reported their voter files out yet, but I think
15	everybody is dying to know that, to answer that. I
16	mean I have heard preliminary numbers of about 50
17	percent, that 50 percent of people who download their
18	forms actually send the forms in and vote. But I just
19	don't think we know the answer to it yet.
20	MR. FRIEDRICHS: I just had a different
21	question about the race data that Tobi presented. I
22	want to dig into that a little bit because I think that
23	until the census comes out next year, we don't really
24	have those numbers solid, but that's the first real

good data I've seen. And the story has been told around gender so much because people haven't been able to talk about race. But those were, even the drops in Asian turnout and Latino turnout, I think, talking a little bit about where that data came from and then just what reactions from the other presenters were to that data, I would be interested to hear.

MS. SINDERBRAND: And just following onto Ryan's question there, there was another question that I had, whether we talked about parental help and parental guidance as being a factor in helping people register, figure out how to register the first time, and get their data on where to vote and whether the fact that a lot of the Asian and Latino students and young people may be first generation or second generation, whether that had an impact. Maybe their parents aren't experienced voters.

MS. WALKER: So the race-based data uses the national exit poll, the national sample, so it's not the aggregated state sample, so always use caution because again, the sample size for young people is smaller and therefore when you start breaking it out by racial and ethnic groups, it becomes really small, and so it would probably be statistically impossible to try

to look at, say, African American women, given the national numbers.

But yeah, when I saw that data, it just blew me away because it really forces us, I think, to your question which is to recognize that young people are not a monolith, which we all know and we all give lip service to, but when you actually start to break it down, you really see the tremendous differences among groups of young people.

I think that we don't understand yet is kind of why it happened, why were African American young people so much more dramatically likely to vote than they were in 2000. Was it the mobilization effort? Was it the media-driven efforts? Was it, we know a lot of, at least on the progressive side, a lot of the grassroots stuff was targeted at African American young people. Was it the economic issues? I mean my whole theory on this is that young people are the first part of the population that feel an economic downturn and that's part of what drove the youth turnout this year and therefore, more young minority folks were even more likely to feel the economic downturn.

I don't think we know that but again, if you are a Democrat, you look at African American young

1 people and you say wow, if you are a Republican, you 2 look at white young men and you say wow, that's our 3 base. MS. MCGILL: I know the end is really small, 4 I'm sorry to jump in, but I know the end? is really 5 6 small already on race, but did you have any ends on 7 gender within race? 8 MS. WALKER: Uh--uh. 9 MS. MCGILL: It's my understanding this is some 10 work that the Joint Center may be doing is that African American males between 24 and 36 actually drove up the 11 African American vote, and some work that also came out 12 13 of brilliant corners of Cornell Belcher and Donna Brazile also indicate that the African American vote 14 was surprisingly, there was a surprising increase in 15 it, considering the fact that African Americans are 16 17 decreasing as a portion of the electorate, and that 18 there wasn't significant room for the African American vote to increase because the majority of the African 19 20 American voter population is already over 45, I think 21 two thirds of African American voters are over 45, so 22 it really would have been in that space, so I really am 23 curious to see how that shapes up.

> ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

MS. WALKER:

24

Jennifer, is there an e-mail list

1	that's going to come out of this meeting? Because one
2	of the things because one of the things, in the next
3	couple of days, is get you this facts sheet, we'll send
4	it out by e-mail.
5	MS. CAMPBELL: I would like to, just address
6	that a little because we were on the ground intensely
7	in 14 states, some battleground, some non-battleground,
8	where we actually quantifiable precinct data, we know
9	that there was a major increase in the African American
10	youth, especially on the college campus where you have
11	the ability to aggregate those numbers out. And there
12	was a significant African American coalition that came
13	together that focused on increasing the African
14	American vote and just for clarity on it, for African
15	American as well as the Latino community, that the
16	actual numbers, as far as what is available to increase
17	is youth, not the opposite.
18	Forty percent of young people and for African
19	American, we looked at youth as 18 to 35. I almost
20	fall in that category.
21	(Laughter)
22	MS. CAMPBELL: But on the serious side of that
23	is that that's why, for the last nine years our
24	organization has focused on it. But for the whole

1 effort last year and not just last year but over time. 2 The other thing is too, when you are talking 3 about young people or if you trying to deal with race, you also have to deal with cultural factors on what 4 connects with people. And sometimes in this society we 5 6 don't want to deal with race, whatever side of that you 7 are on. But you then have to also look at the cultural 8 aspects of how that impacts how somebody wants to get 9 involved, and young people are no different that any other, I'm with you on that, John, any other 10 demographic when it comes to that. So when you are 11 12 focusing on it, and we've been focusing on it, many of 13 us around, I still say Ivan is the granddaddy and I'm not quite the grandma--14 (Laughter) 15 16 MS. CAMPBELL: Ryans's coming along. 17 Just a little on how this thing has played out, 18 being involved with it myself from a student to now, someone who is actively running an organization around 19 20 it, that that has always been the inconsistency factor 21 plays into a lot of this too when it comes to young people, and listening to Jordan say they're only 17 22 23 percent, we're not changing our strategy, and there is

> ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

a lot to be said about what that says about youth vote.

24

1	MS. SNOW: Can I ask just one last, very
2	quickly, and then we are sort of out of time, but a
3	variation on my question before about whether young
4	voters tend to sort of misreport what they've done.
5	This is a variation, when I was working on a story last
6	fall for ABC about youth vote, I remember my polling
7	department at ABC cautioning me that if I looked at
8	intend to vote, you know, the question do you intend to
9	vote? They told me that young people, in particular,
10	were apt to say yes, I intend to vote, and then never
11	show up, that traditionally, that historically they
12	would report wanting to vote and then just never show
13	up.
13 14	up. Did that happen this year or was it better in
14	Did that happen this year or was it better in
14 15	Did that happen this year or was it better in 2004? I mean was there a sort of change of heart where
14 15 16	Did that happen this year or was it better in 2004? I mean was there a sort of change of heart where they were saying they intended and then they showed up?
14 15 16 17	Did that happen this year or was it better in 2004? I mean was there a sort of change of heart where they were saying they intended and then they showed up? MR. DELLA VOLPE: Well, yeah, I think your
14 15 16 17 18	Did that happen this year or was it better in 2004? I mean was there a sort of change of heart where they were saying they intended and then they showed up? MR. DELLA VOLPE: Well, yeah, I think your polling here was correct in terms of, yeah, in the
14 15 16 17 18 19	Did that happen this year or was it better in 2004? I mean was there a sort of change of heart where they were saying they intended and then they showed up? MR. DELLA VOLPE: Well, yeah, I think your polling here was correct in terms of, yeah, in the past, it's always been over inflated on expected to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Did that happen this year or was it better in 2004? I mean was there a sort of change of heart where they were saying they intended and then they showed up? MR. DELLA VOLPE: Well, yeah, I think your polling here was correct in terms of, yeah, in the past, it's always been over inflated on expected to vote and that's what people are kind of programmed to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Did that happen this year or was it better in 2004? I mean was there a sort of change of heart where they were saying they intended and then they showed up? MR. DELLA VOLPE: Well, yeah, I think your polling here was correct in terms of, yeah, in the past, it's always been over inflated on expected to vote and that's what people are kind of programmed to believe. But since 9/11, I think young people have

1	going to vote, they were consistent throughout the
2	entire fall where it was going to be about 70-75
3	percent. That's still six months after the percentage
4	of young people in college who said they voted, so I
5	think this was the year that turned the tables on that
6	old saying, that people say they are but they won't
7	vote.
8	MS. WALKER: Yeah, and I remember that the data
9	that I was using was exit poll data, so this is
10	actually talking to people in the polling place so,
11	unless they went in and didn't vote, then came out and
12	said they voted, which would really be kind of weird,
13	it's about as, which is not to say
14	MS. SNOW: A couple of months beforehand which
15	is what you were talking about.
16	MS. WALKER: A couple of months before when
17	they say they are intending to vote and then they never
18	show.
19	MR. DELLA VOLPE: It was less so this year than
20	other years.
21	MR. SHARP: Well thank you very much, we
22	appreciate this session.
23	We'll take a five minute break, and Jennifer
24	will help with the logistics of how you the rest rooms

1	in this extraordinary building, but the designer forgot
2	the most important thing so you have to find them.
3	(Whereupon, at 1:33 p.m., there was a brief recess.)
4	(1:48 p.m.)
5	MR. KING: We'll move now onto, my name is
6	David King, I'm glad to join you, I'm sorry I was in
7	class.
8	Hey, Melanie, nice to see you again, welcome
9	home.
10	Do we have just about everybody here? Where is
11	Tobi?
12	MS. WALKER: Right here.
13	MR. KING: Hi, Tobi, nice to see you again. My
14	name is David King, I was in a class I had to teach and
15	I'm sorry that I missed the first panel, You were in
16	great hands, it was a terrific group of speakers, more
17	academic and wonkish than many of you but kind of
18	writing. I love the academic stuff, so I have a lot to
19	learn from the practitioners, non-partisan and
20	partisan.
21	We have two panels this afternoon and then I'll
22	close it up with a little look at political ideology a
23	little later on. So we start off now with non-partisan
24	efforts at voter turnout, what went well, what didn't

go so well, what can we learn.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Not only do we have, of course, the people who are listed on the panel in front of you, but a fabulous audience who you should all be ready to ask questions My old friend, and colleague and co-author as well. David Nickerson is here, Ryan Friedrichs who has done a fabulous job. Where is Ryan? Ryan, feel free, guys, to jump in at any time. Of course Chris Newinski from Smackdown Your Vote! got on the panel, but you guys can all jump in at any time. We have Melanie Campbell, Gary Davis, Ivan Frishberg, Jehmu Greene. Jehmu has just made it in in the terrible weather on the plane. Alexis McGill, Jennifer Phillips and Jaime Uzeta, so we'll be turning to people you have already met in one way or another.

You will know Kate Snow from "Good Morning America", she was asking questions a few moments ago, and of course Rebecca Sinderbrand from Newsweek. I'm going to turn everything over to Rebecca and to Kate. Thank you all, enjoy this.

Remember that if you are speaking for each other, you are speaking into these mics, when we are speaking for transcription, we are speaking into these mics over here. We want to make sure that we capture

1	what you say and for the purposes of transcription,
2	even though we know who you are, please give your name
3	before you speak so that we can have a good record of
4	what we've learned and what we still need to know.
5	Thank you very much.
6	We might as well start with the top of the
7	batting order, with Melanie.
8	MS. SNOW: I think we want to keep it a real
9	Q&A though so, if you guys don't mind, if you don't
10	mind, we are not going to go down the line and have
11	everybody give a ten minute thing because I think that
12	would take too long, but I'm really curious what
13	worked. I think we should just maybe start with what
14	did you do that you think drove some of the numbers we
15	were just talking about?
16	MS. CAMPBELL: Thanks, David, it's always great
17	to be back here, and I see the weather is still sun
18	shiny in Boston.
19	(Laughter)
20	MS. CAMPBELL: At least it was when I was here.
21	
22	And one of the things that I think worked, Kate
23	and Rebecca, is that there was some, someone talked
24	about the perfect storm earlier, and there were a lot

of things, a lot variables to what took place last year and I think that was the perfect storm, and it didn't just start with this election either. But the main thing, I think, that you had a major focus on young people in a way, many of us around this table were together in times that we had, I think, the coalition efforts that were out there.

And for young people who we worked with, and one sitting back here in the corner here, and maybe one day, one moment she'll have the opportunity to share. But feel free to ask her a question, that's Nicole Ealam, who is sitting back in the corner, from my office, who was on the ground, who has just finished at DePaul last year and was on the ground with us for our organization, can tell you what are the things, some of the things, that took place.

But young people who we worked with, just two weeks ago we had a conference in Washington, it was our follow-up conference we have every year, and I was mentioned to someone a few minutes ago that it doubled in size, something we did not expect in a "off election year" for us electioneering type folks, and the conversation that was had, it was all about the issue for them.

1	This is not something, I'll speak specifically
2	of the African American young people and black youth,
3	specifically, who the issues that are facing them, not
4	just then but now, also drove it. So you didn't have
5	the conversation that I had been working with young
6	people for about 30 years, where I try to figure out
7	exactly what it is that's going on. It's there, I know
8	that the war impacts me, I know I've got to figure out
9	now how to, when college rates are going up to a point
10	I can't even think about going, so the issues that are
11	impacting their lives are right there.
12	So the politics and so, when you see the trend,
13	if you look at `92 when we saw the last spike, there
14	were different things, Rock the Vote had just started,
15	all these other kinds of things that were happening and
16	all of that, and you have MTV and all of that that was
17	going in `92. But this time that we are living, which
18	is what John talked about, that's what we are hearing
19	and that's, I think, what, so the perfect storm, the
20	issues, and the fact that you had a very much focused
21	approach on young people and a lot of collaboration
22	helped as well.
23	MS. SINDERBRAND: I'm sorry, I just wanted to

ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

ask a quick question and it's something to keep in mind

1	when you're hearing form the panel, specifically the
2	challenge facing non-partisan voter registration
3	groups. I felt there was a sense this year, and you
4	can correct me if I'm wrong, that we are seeing a bit
5	of an evolution, we are seeing that perhaps the future
6	of non-partisan registration is more like a loose
7	coalition of partisan groups rather than strictly
8	non-partisan in the sense that what seems to motivate
9	people are, you know, like we saw, Independents are the
10	ones who get left out.
11	You reach people with I'm telling you to vote
12	for this, I'm telling you to vote against this, and
13	this is what draws in people to the polls and this is
14	what drew them to the polls in 2004. And there was
15	already kind of a sense that there was an evolution
16	towards more perhaps partisan groups working together
17	but definitely that partisan edge, and how did
18	non-partisan groups, how are you evolving to kind of
19	meet that challenge?
20	MR. FRISHBERG:non-partisan panel, so
21	(Laughter)
22	MS. SNOW: We know as reporters that some of
23	you on this table were, some of your groups, I think we
24	can fairly say, were a little bit more, a little bit

1	less non-partisan than others.
2	(Multiple people speaking)
3	MR. SEKULOW:Rock the Vote put out well
4	before the election President Bush wants to draft you,
5	now that is ridiculous
6	MS. GREENE: But I don't think they put that
7	out, they definitely did not put that.
8	MR. SEKULOW: It was on the website, you sent
9	out fake draft cards, unless you didn't know Rock the
10	Vote
11	MS. GREENE: We sent out a draft card that said
12	you have been drafted, show up to your polling place
13	and
14	MR. SEKULOW: You were putting that out on TV
15	(Multiple people speaking)
16	MR. SEKULOW: This is where our problem is with
17	working with a lot, this comes from the Republican
18	angle, they'll reach out to us, and we were going to
19	help and we wanted to work with them but when we see
20	this, and even if it didn't say the partisan things but
21	they are using the language so when we see it, we see a
22	fake draft card, we know the issue is coming up right
23	now about the president, and a man who never said a
24	thing about it and then came out and said no, we are

1	not going to do a draft. It was a Democrat who
2	actually mentioned it.
3	So what do we, why do we need to work with
4	these groups? I mean we won again, so why are we going
5	to go to some people who are going to treat us badly
6	every time?
7	MS. ACKER: That was a month out, when were you
8	making the decision about when to work with them?
9	MR. SEKULOW: We had been working, we had been
10	giving volunteers to Rock the Vote, busses working with
11	the bus crew, we had talked about Jim and Barbara at
12	the very end but, you know, when those kind of things
13	happened, it was over.
14	MS. SINDERBRAND: Well I don't know, I don't
15	want to pick on Ivan, but I don't know if Ivan has any
16	kind of special perspective, as somebody who has kind
17	of made kind of a move towards more of a from the non-
18	partisan, strict non-partisan, to more of a partisan.
19	MR. FRISHBERG: Well, in your question, there
20	are two pieces to it, there are the groups, which is
21	the basically what follows organized money, and then
22	there is young people, and I think it's important in
23	your question to separate those two because they are
24	different. And in the partisan world, my, in `99, you

1	know, there was a bunch of us who were planning the,
2	actually, probably `98, we were planning the youth vote
3	2000 strategy, and a whole set of efforts. And we made
4	a decision, as the board of youth vote, very early on,
5	to engage in a, we weren't just going to try and move
6	young people out to vote, we understood we needed a
7	political strategy to get the parties, these guys over
8	here, to pay attention to young people. And that we
9	had to think about our resources just as a way to
10	leverage that kind of attention because they treat us
11	badly, they treat us well, they never treated us
12	anything.
13	(Laughter)
14	MR. FRISHBERG: And the goal was to get their
15	attention which, I think, now that that's happened, you
16	have the people who are political consultants and money
17	will treat young people differently, and they'll start
18	to be more than, like before, it's the foundations and
19	those sorts of folks who invest in non-partisan civic
20	good, you know, sort of the Harvard approach, it's
21	MS. SINDERBRAND: So is that the hope, that it-
22	_
23	MR. FRISHBERG: The hope is that it will

that's a \$3 billion political economy. If we wanted
something to focus in on young people, it would be
that, not the relatively small amount of non-partisan
money that's in the civic pot. And then on the, just
on the second part of your question for young people, I
felt like being non-partisan and being very clear about
that in how we presented ourselves to people was really
a big asset.

I mean we were attacked by partisan groups, mostly on the left, for being non-ideological and not having an issue agenda, not talking about issues, that was part of our thing, we were attacked for that, but I think it was actually what made us effective, in terms of approaching young people on the street, just that one-on-one engagement. They were happy to talk about politics, about why the election was important or their participation was important. I think we did better at that than if we had been going out and being overtly or somewhat overtly partisan on either side of it, so I think being non-partisan helps with young people.

MS. SNOW: I think we should give Rock the Vote a chance to respond to

MR. SEKULOW: --against the President's current agenda.

1	MS. ACKER: But that's because the policy is
2	not good for young people.
3	MR. SEKULOW: But then you are partisan, if you
4	take a side, that's all I'm saying.
5	MS. SNOW: Jordan?
6	MS. GREENE: Will you let me actually answer a
7	question? I think, one, it's really important to
8	define what being partisan is and your definition of
9	partisanship, right now, is basically having a stance
10	on an issue and that's incorrect. It is absolutely
11	important in a presidential election cycle when you've
12	got issues of critical importance to young people at
13	stake and they do have opinions on them, it's important
14	to identify those issues, to promote where young people
15	stand on those issues, to highlight how these issues
16	are affecting them. And that is what Rock the Vote, I
17	think, did really successfully in the 2004 elections.
18	I'm going back to the question about what
19	worked. I think, for us, we started off with our
20	online voter registration tool which really allowed us
21	to build a list to be able to talk to about these
22	issues. By the end of the election, we had over a
23	million people on our list and were able to communicate
24	to them about the issue of Social Security and continue

that engagement that we saw in the 2004 election. But really, the most successful thing for us was the online voter registration campaign.

From an issues standpoint, our draft campaign I think absolutely did make a difference. When you saw the members of Congress come out after Rock the Vote sent out our e-mail campaign and literally on the floor of the House say we are taking a procedural vote on this issue that is of critical importance to young people. Young people are fighting and dying on the front lines in Iraq, their peers back here in the States are concerned about who is going to provide the force that was needed for all of the troops and we addressed the issue of the draft.

It wasn't about where does the college
Republicans, where do they see this issue falling? Is
it partisan or non-partisan? Young people wanted to
know where do John Kerry and John Edwards stand on
this, where does President Bush and Vice President
Cheney stand on this, where do members of Congress
stand on this, this is affecting us. And that campaign
I think was successful, you saw President Bush talk
about it in two of the debates, you saw all of the
political talk shows, Sunday talk shows start picking

1	it up, it became a part of the conversation and young
2	people were drawn into this election because of it.
3	MS. SNOW: It seems like Rock the Vote, from my
4	perspective as a journalist, like you sort of evolved,
5	like eight years ago, when were you founded, eight
6	years ago?
7	MS. GREENE: Fifteen, it's our 15th
8	anniversary.
9	MS. SNOW: But it seems like you really got a
10	lot of notice a couple of cycles ago and you were
11	fairly, this is just from my outsider perspective as a
12	journalist, it seemed like you were fairly neutral and
13	in this round, you became more issue oriented, you
14	became, is that accurate? Did you find that it was
15	better, unlike what Ivan was saying, to try to stay out
16	of things and be non-partisan? Did you ever find that
17	it was better to engage on issues and take a side?
18	MS. GREENE: It's interesting because Rock the
19	Vote was founded on the issue of protecting freedom of
20	expression and actually fighting against Tipper Gore's
21	PMRC initiative, so we have always been an issue
22	oriented organization, then in the first piece of
23	legislation we worked on was motor voter bill. We have
24	always been about finding ways of doing election reform

to increase access and bring more people into the process. I think we have grown into being more provocative with our issues and have learned, from the 15 year history of the organization, to really find ways of getting these issues front and center, national media attention, and that's what we did with the draft campaign, that's what we are doing with Social Security.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But again, even as the non-partisan groups are talking here, I think it's important to really have a solid definition of what it means to be non-partisan and what it means to be partisan. Just because you take a stance on an issue does not mean that you are partisan, that's one of the ways that, unfortunately, I think some of the parties try to segment groups and block them out. And at the end of the day, we registered more young Republicans than any organization in this country and that's something that members of Congress, that's something that the RNC recognizes, hundreds of thousands of young Republicans registered at rockthevote.com and are engaged in the process because of the work that we did, as well as the Democrats we registered and the Independents. Over 1.2 million people downloading voter registration forms is

1	a great thing to get these first time voters in.
2	MS. SINDERBRAND: I was wondering, for anybody
3	on the panel, as a group the constituency isn't
4	Republicans, isn't Democrats, it's just young people,
5	whether some of these legislative solutions that we
6	have been hearing about, you know, the same day
7	registration, no fault absentee and early voting,
8	whether that's something that is going to be more and
9	more part of what you do and more of what you are
10	pushing in an effort to increase young turnout?
11	MR. UZETA: That we're pushing legislatively?
12	MS. SINDERBRAND: Yeah, I mean in different
13	states. The states where turnout was highest among
14	young people are the states with same day registration,
15	states where you can walk up to the polls on election
16	day and vote, where you don't have to think about it
17	beforehand, is that something that people are looking
18	at?
19	MS. PHILLIPS: We are. Just quickly, we went
20	to the National Association of Secretaries of State and
21	made some recommendation. We are looking at the
22	college voter which, as we know, they are sort of easy
23	pickings, they come register. The best year is an
2.4	election year because their parents have already

registered them. We found that there was a lot of confusion and this is anecdotal because Susan and Jeff had good numbers on this, but about the whole process of absentee registration. So a lot thought that once they had registered, that they were all set, they would get their ballot and we had to walk them through the process.

But there are things that we are looking at that will make a difference, there are still five states that have laws that you have to either register or vote for the first time in person, and obviously when students leave, if they are going to another state, that hamstrings them and they can't go back. Students here developed an interactive website for getting information on absentee voting and we found that there was a lot of conflicting information, even when we called secretaries of states' office or elections officials and we really want NAS to help clear that up because you have a first time voter, you have somebody who is already a little bit intimidated by the steps they have to go through and this process should be easier.

I know that some on the panel are working towards same day registration, and believe that we

1 register in schools and things like that, we found that 2 students often want to register at home because that's 3 where the people they know are and who they can vote for. 4 5 So those are a couple of ways, as well as being 6 aware that students and young people are computer savvy 7 and you can do a lot of things, give a lot of 8 information on a website, so making that accessible, 9 making that easy to use is really a good way just to keep those phone lines clear, if anybody tried to call 10 into Florida a few days before the registration 11 12 deadline or some of the other battleground states, or 13 you had kids running around saying they needed to FedEx something in. 14 I mean it was really people really wanted to 15 16 participate but got caught up a lot in the steps there. 17 It's not that they are not the top issues and I think 18 that what Jehmu and some of the campaign people are talking about are real issues and getting the 19 candidates to talk about these issues, that's what's 20 21 going to drive things, that's the big number. But we can still work on some of those logistical pieces that 22 23 will help young people get into the system. I'm curious, one of the presenters 24 MS. SNOW:

1	at the other end of the table said that getting
2	assistance, I think it was the Rutgers study, that
3	getting assistance with voting or getting assistance
4	with registration, you looked at whether it actually
5	impacted the voting behavior and it didn't make that
6	much difference.
7	MS. SHERR: It didn't make a big difference in
8	terms of whether people had trouble voting. We didn't
9	really see big differences in behavior either because
10	just mostly everyone voted and everything, so it's hard
11	to find
12	MS. SNOW: So I wondered when you all heard
13	that, do you all feel like, I mean you can sort of take
14	that as a negative like, oh, wow, what we are doing
15	doesn't make a huge difference but I'm sure it does.
16	How do you all interpret that?
17	MS. CAMPBELL: Well I can't interpret it
18	unless I know what, when I look at polls, and nothing
19	against the academicians, I like them, I love you all,
20	I use your stuff, but also know that polls, you have to
21	look a little deeper to know what your over sampling
22	is, if that's what you are doing, what states were you
23	in, African Americans are still 55 percent of that in
24	the south. The south is not a battleground, other than

1 Florida, my home state, so you look at all of that. 2 And for us, we know, Nicole, I mentioned, was 3 on the ground, Georgia, was a place, in Atlanta, where you had African American primarily controlled 4 governments there, you had a lot of problems. 5 6 You had the mayor running around in the City of 7 Atlanta trying to make sure that young people could 8 vote, you had provisional ballot problems, you had polling problems in the State of Louisiana where they 9 ended up having to keep the polls open until 11:00 or 10 12:00 at night. We had a war room where, the 14 11 primary states that we worked, that we had the 12 information, we did an exit poll from that to get 13 people's experiences and the majority of the, it wasn't 14 for young people because it was everybody. But the 15 16 majority of the people who responded were, if I had a 17 chance to really, I would have brought that with me, I 18 apologize for that. But the majority of the people who responded 19 20 were young people who were first time voters. And so 21 when you look at something, when you see seven percent that says it didn't matter, I didn't really have that 22 23 many problems, seven percent, the partisan people know

> ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

that can turn an election one way or the other.

24

1	doesn't take 50 percent of the people who had problems
2	to give the candidate an edge, it takes sometimes one
3	percent, two percent, three percent, so that percentage
4	number, you have to look at it from various
5	perspectives.
6	MS. SNOW: Gary, go ahead, we haven't heard
7	from you yet.
8	MR. DAVIS: I think what I found more important
9	from the Rutgers study than the fact that assistance
10	didn't make that much of a difference was the fact that
11	the biggest issue for young people was the candidates
12	focusing on issues that related to them, in a way that
13	related to them is what I would add to that statement.
14	And I think that's probably one of the best things we
15	did in this campaign, more than anything else. We
16	worked together as a team, we put out the first ever
17	national voters issues newspaper. We were able to get
18	both presidential candidates, Ralph Nader, other
19	elected officials to respond to that.
20	We were then able to take that response, put it
21	up on the Internet and get it out to college groups,
22	get it out to non-college groups, use it as groups. We
23	all put up, most of us put it up on our Internet sites
24	and we were able to get information out, first of all,

that there is an easy way to talk to candidates, and candidates, there is an easy way to talk to young people if you want their vote because they are going to vote and at the same time, we were then able to take that information, get it out directly to everybody so that they could compare it with the reading of the media to what they could see online as what the candidates, what George Bush or John Kerry said about particular issues.

And then of course we encouraged them, you know, hey, if what's in here doesn't fit, do your own thing and I think that, as individual organizations, we did that. Different groups had different focuses, what they wanted to focus. And I think if we did one thing right it was better coordination, it was getting the candidates to talk to young people in a way that they related to, to get them excited about getting involved in voting. And I think something we all agreed worked, this is the new voter issues paper for 2005-2006, most of the same people have signed onto it, we are going to be getting it out to Virginia and New Jersey in a couple of weeks to get those gubernatorial candidates to respond.

We are going to be creating a network of state

legislators in 25 states who are helping to reach out to young people because they recognize there is a connection for them. So I think that's where, at least from my perspective, we made the most ground, doing that real connection. And just getting back to the earlier point, we talked about Smackdown Your Vote, about 18 months out, February of 2003, we announced we are going to get many more young people to vote than voted in 2000, nobody really paid attention to it.

Then in September of 2003, we together with the Hip Hop Civil Action Network and all our partners and said we've got to get two million more to vote in 2004 and we got a little more noise.

Then MTV came out and that kind of manifested into 20 million are going to vote in this election and I think everybody was like yeah, right, And people are going to increase their numbers? Sure. But then, suddenly, because of a coordinated effort, the message did get out, and so people started buying that geez, maybe young people are going to vote. And so it was very ironic that when actually we hit those targets or passed them, probably beyond anybody's expectations, the message was young people didn't turn out, when actually they did, and that was the, I think Eric

1 covered that issue with the percentages.

But I think all of us felt that we finally had turned the tide and what helped us turn the tide, at least in 2004, and now the trick is to continue that momentum in 2006, 2008, was that we were finding a way to get the candidates to finally talk to young people in a way that related to them. So I talked about the economy, we talked to an 18 year old or a 25 year old in a way that they said yeah, okay, now I understand it, now I understand what you are going to do for me, what you are going to do for my dad.

MS. SNOW: Do you want to follow on that? Or I have another question.

MR. UZETA: I'll stay on that line in terms of things that we did effectively, and then differences from previous efforts and this year's effort. The second one, the level of coordination, which is unprecedented, this was the first time, we had always had nonprofit partners, we do that with all of our pro social campaigns. But this was very, very closely coordinated in terms of weekly conference calls with everybody so that everything was sort of on message, with a focus on delivering the message of empowerment to young people, and really turning it around and

shifting the message from, you know, get off our butts and get out and vote kind of idea, from the 20 million loud, 20 million strong type message, which was much more, trying to dispel the myth that young people in the past didn't vote.

And a lot of this developed from these conversations that Gary was talking about previously, just with different groups, and then also conversations that we had with the different candidates, with the different campaigns, and finding that there had been this perception that when you actually asked people, even people in the campaigns focusing on young people directly, how many people they thought voted in the last election, people had been talking about the seven million range, two million range. People didn't have any idea it was in the 18 million level, so we really found that that was a big challenge for us and so that really guided the rest of our message.

And we see our role at MTV, in general, I mean obviously, these are the guys that are doing the grassroots hardcore work to actually do the registration, etcetera, but part of what we do is try to create, cultivate just the right message, and the right feel and the motivation with the culture in

1	general, so that young people realize the power that
2	they have in general. And we do that through a bunch
3	of different issues and that's what we did this year.
4	It was a shift in the tone of the campaign, I think,
5	and level of coordination so that everybody was really
6	recognizing all the power that young people had.
7	MS. SINDERBRAND: Speaking of coordination, I
8	don't know if we've touched at all on the New Voters
9	Project, which I know was a big part of the non-
10	partisan effort this year was trying out those
11	techniques that you are hoping, at some point, the
12	parties are going to pick up, and take the ball on this
13	one and it won't, you know, take it out of your hands,
14	and I was wondering if there was any kind of
15	preliminary data. I know we talked about this a very
16	little bit before the session started, Ivan, but a
17	little bit of data on how that worked, any kind of
18	anecdotes from the grassroots, people who were actually
19	out there on whether these techniques made any kind of
20	significant difference or whether it was just the
21	issues this year and the techniques themselves
22	weren't
23	MR. FRISHBERG: I mean we are in a frustrating
24	position, still waiting for a lot of the data, and to

1	get specific about it, but that's stuff is being worked
2	on and it will, I think, for a lot of groups here,
3	partisan, non-partisan that's waiting for all the state
4	and county voter files to be turned over, and to get to
5	the census bureau level of information and get beyond
6	these exit polls which we do a lot with but are kind of
7	crappy, you know, so
8	(Laughter)
9	MR. FRISHBERG: There is more to come. I think
10	we have seen, in some county numbers that we
11	participated in and CIRCLE is doing an analysis of more
12	of these as they get the data, and are not just asking
13	the county but are going to actually get the data and
14	double check it too. But in the places where the media
15	has done that kind of analysis, in Iowa, huge increases
16	in registration numbers in counties that were both
17	campus and non-campus populations. Of all of those new
18	registrants, significant increase in the rate at which
19	they turn out. So it wasn't some people said, well, if
20	you get a lot of new registrants to the polls, that

many of them aren't going to show up because they are

motivated voter. That didn't seem to be true because

not as motivated, you just stuck a card in front of

them at a shopping mall but they are not really a

21

22

23

24

1 the rates of turnout went up very significantly. 2 Those registration and turnout rates were 3 higher in those counties than for the age groups older than them, and so we kind of, or actually the newspaper 4 did this, but looked at those. They looked at four 5 6 counties and young people were kicking old people butt 7 across the board and it was a great, great thing to 8 see. The numbers are very significant and the 9 preliminary numbers from CIRCLE suggest that that was true in a lot of counties across the country and they 10 11 are looking at the places where we were organized. 12 This is a more theoretical answer to your 13 question but I think it has to be that the registration efforts had an impact, it was a huge part of it. I 14 think that Rock the Vote efforts and there were a lot 15 16 of people that participated in online partners to Rock 17 the Vote, the on the ground efforts that we did, there 18 is no way that we could have gotten to any kind of significant increase in turnout without huge increases 19 20 in registration. So I think that was like the number 21 one thing that made a difference in this election cycle. 22

> ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

23

24

And then I also think, and we didn't know the

answer from the panel earlier, definitively, but it was

1	the non-college students that I think probably made the
2	difference and just from the logic of the kind of whole
3	new voter model, that this of the non-voting population
4	of young people, it's about 4 to 1, almost, that are
5	non-college. And so if you are looking to get that
6	kind of very significant increase in turnout, you can't
7	do it by going to the people who will already vote in
8	higher numbers. The only way it statistically plays
9	out is if you go to the people you didn't have before.
10	And that's what we did, that's what a lot of groups
11	did and I think that will, when the census bureau,
12	particularly, comes out, that hopefully, I think, I'll
13	be proved correct in that and if not, then granddaddy
14	(Laughter)
15	MS. SINDERBRAND: And just to kind of follow
16	that very, very quickly, anything specific? I know the
17	technology
18	MS. SNOW: Right, it was a very innovative
19	thing that you did.
20	MS. SINDERBRAND: Right, I mean the technology
21	that came to the forefront in this election is
22	technology that young people are most familiar with,
23	most comfortable with, compared to the rest of the
24	population. You're talking about Blogs, you're talking

1	about e-mail, whatever you're talking about, young
2	people are more comfortable with it than any other age
3	group. Was technology one of the factors or was it the
4	person to person contact that was more, what of the
5	methods that the New Voter Project was using seemed to
6	be the most effective?
7	MR. FRISHBERG: For a lot of students,
8	obviously it's person to person because that's how the
9	vast, vast majority of our contact was. But you can't
10	separate technology out from that because through Rock
11	the Vote and Declare Yourself, we were able to get
12	contact information for people. All the people that
13	registered or downloaded their forms for both groups,
14	which are very significant numbers in our states, we
15	had contact information so we could call them, we could
16	knock on their door, we could do that kind of contact.
17	The other piece of technology, which we all know and
18	love here, is the cell phone and for us, being able to
19	collect somebody's cell phone information when we
20	registered them and call them back up on that cell
21	phone.
22	We were nervous that people would react to
23	getting calls on their cell phone, like many of us
24	would saying hey it's primary day in Colorado go out

1	to vote. Like nobody has heard of primary day in
2	Colorado, it's like But no one complained, I mean
3	there was a very positive response from young people
4	getting a call on their cell phone in the middle of no
5	time, in terms of the political calendar, saying
6	tomorrow it's caucus, there is caucuses and if you are
7	a Democrat, you go here, if you are a Republican, you
8	go here. People enjoyed that contact, so technology
9	helped us reach more people with the person to person
10	contact.
11	MS. SNOW: A little birdie tells me that David
12	has some data on this, on what worked in terms of
13	MR. KING:sort of ask a different question.
14	We've been asked now sort of what works
15	MS. SNOW: David, but do you have some, you
16	were saying that you might have some empirical data
17	about what works?
18	MR. KING: What do you have from experiments on
19	what tended to increase turnout?
20	MR. NICKERSON: I have results from past
21	elections but precious little from this election
22	because people were unwilling to actually participate
23	in evaluations but
24	(Laughter)

1	MR. NICKERSON: You know, unwilling to
2	participate and they wanted to wring out every last
3	voter that any sort of control group you might set up,
4	they were completely against it, or things were so
5	pressed for time they are like we are not going to
6	devote any time to this. So you are asking great
7	questions like what techniques work? What works? And
8	they are not going to tell you, say county-wide or
9	precinct-wide is we're not, well exactly what part of
10	it went up, whether it's because of registration
11	Which one of their multi-pronged attacks for
12	registration worked? Was the cell phone effective?
13	MR. FRISHBERG: That's not what I was saying
14	and the fact is we did do randomized field experiments
15	with Don Green, and a lot of groups did and so there is
16	that work that was done in this election cycle. Some
17	of it, around registration, was quite frankly, it was
18	too, for us, it was too hard to actually come up with a
19	methodology where we could do this and still meet the
20	goals that we were under to do. But with something
21	like the cell phone thing, I know it works because if
22	you can't call somebody and reach them, you can't have
23	the impact that you've documented previously at five
24	points or what have you.

1	So having a number and a name to call works and
2	every piece of evidence that you've done, that Don
3	Green and other people have done, that we were
4	executing, shows that's the case and so you know it's
5	going to be better if you have more numbers. And
6	that's all I was saying in terms of what works is
7	having greater access to names, and numbers and
8	addresses.
9	MS. CAMPBELL: I was going to say this, David,
10	is that as someone who was part of some of the
11	experiments, this was a, and part of the, even then it
12	was a very highly competitive situation also, so it
13	kind of plays into some people's decision making. But
14	the early vote, in a lot of cases, that's a what worked
15	scenario, many places where we had early voting we
16	partnered with BET, Rock the Vote, we worked with them.
17	Tom Joyner, and there were a lot of the media elements
18	to getting out the message and even utilizing the media
19	in a way that was more the grassroots elements of
20	organizing.
21	We set up a separate hot line, for instance,
22	for people to register to vote, and Tom Joyner, through
23	his show, reaches those millions, and so various
24	things. But at the end of the day, as a practitioner,

and what has helped, and I'm saying that facetiously but seriously, is that the academic community has validated the fact that personal touch works, and so there are ways to do that and I think that part of it, in this off election time, I hope that, Jennifer, what we can do is to really have an opportunity to do more work session time with academicians and the people who do the work so that we can come up with ways when you're not under the gun, to quantify for a lot of the folks that need to have that quantified, for people who do it.

And whether you are on a partisan side or a non-partisan side, you know what works and it helps to be able to try to have some balance with that, with the academic community as well. But the early vote piece was something that we didn't have four years ago in a lot of places and you did have that. So if you were in Arizona, for instance, two weeks out, I think it was a week or two weeks out, you were able to do that, whereas, four years ago, you may not have been able to do that. And so you were able to, and when the numbers come out, it's not just election day, it's election days that you've got to get a way to analyze too, and those are some places, four years ago, who didn't have

1 that.

MR. NICKERSON: I think early voting is a great example of this type of thing. So you've two weeks before the election, you spend the most energy trying to get out people to early voting. Is this going to be the best way to mobilize your constituency? Or are you better off like trying to collect cell phone numbers so you can actually get a hold of them the day or two prior to election day and figure it's too hard to actually get them to the polls before election day because all the media is focused on Tuesday. And so it's a series of tradeoffs, and unless you actually try to do this and evaluate it, you are not going to know the best way to place the resources.

I mean if it could be that you said registration is the biggest boost, and I bet you're right, in which case you should try to structure your campaign to get people registered in the months leading up to it and not put so much emphasis on the end part. Because every election campaign I've studied seems to have like this mass of people in the last week, which might be smart, it could be that the last week is all that really matters. But having some sort of evaluation and looking at it actually in a competitive

1	environment. I mean 2001 was great, some of the
2	elections weren't at all competitive.
3	I mean if Jane lived in Ohio and she was
4	contacted by 25 people over the course of the week,
5	your marginal influence might be very little, and so
6	actively trying to figure out a way to work with
7	someone. It doesn't have to be an academic, it could
8	be some campaign, keep it in house, but I think that's
9	a challenge for the nonprofits and the partisan groups
10	moving forward, is how do you evaluate what you are
11	doing so the next cycle around, you can be that much
12	better. And setting your goals, I guess, not just the
13	county-wide vote went up, it's this particular
14	technology led to part of our success and if the
15	technology isn't successful, we are going to shift our
16	resources elsewhere.
17	MS. SNOW: Maybe this is a little more of a
18	black and white question and easier to answer, what
19	failed? What did not work at all? What were your
20	biggest disappointments? Be honest.
21	(Laughter)
22	MR. UZETA: I was thinking about something we
23	tried that's not as, to try to promote overall
24	engagement, we were experimenting with two different

1	things, one was this concept of a pre-election, which
2	I'll tell you about. But the other one was trying to
3	ride the meet up wave, and you'll recall that that was
4	huge in terms of Dean's involvement, way back, and we
5	tried to see if we could take it to another level by,
6	beyond just having it spread virally, complementing it
7	with an on air component, so advertising to kind of
8	promote it, and making it like an opportunity to meet
9	other people and creating a different feel for it.
10	And the numbers just were not, as much as we
11	tinkered with it every month and did it a bunch of
12	different ways, they just were not what we expected it
13	to be or wanted it to be. And even the meet up folks,
14	who worked closely with them, they were thinking this
15	would blow the Dean numbers out of the water just
16	because nothing has been complemented on air, nothing
17	is with, it just didn't work and we tried it.
18	So I don't know if it was not having, maybe
19	that was the non-partisan, to the extent that we are
20	talking about challenges on a non-partisan level, maybe
21	that's it. Maybe it hast o be a specific individual
22	issue but anyway, that was something.
23	And pre-election was actually more successful,

which was actually incentivizing the voting process

24

1	online. That vast majority of people that did click
2	onto the Rock the Vote registration function that we
3	had on our site came to this pre-election, and that was
4	a sort of a mock election that paralleled the actual
5	voting process, and we actually incentivized
6	participation with pre-election. So when you
7	registered to vote in this pre-election, that would
8	represent youth votes' opinion, you would actually be
9	able to just opt into the actual voter registration, so
10	you could, we were complementing it, and that seemed to
11	work in terms of
12	MS. SNOW: Incentivized? What do you mean?
13	MR. UZETA: Incentivized meaning to participate
14	in the pre-election, you could win a
15	MS. SNOW: You had prizes.
16	MR. UZETA: Yeah, you had prizes
17	MS. SNOW: Baseball hats?
18	MR. UZETA:as an incentive to kind of
19	participate in, right. So the idea was how do you hook
20	them in, give them the message and then educate them,
21	and hopefully create longer term voters and that was
22	actually successful on our site.
23	MS. MCGILL: This is not a failure but I think
24	a challenge that was faced, I think a lot of us used

1	culture to tap into, to normalize the conversation
2	around why people should vote. And I think that, just
3	in the conversation that we had post Tobi's
4	presentation on the numbers of African Americans
5	rising, the post conversation was okay, how do we
6	explain that? How do we tap into that? And I think
7	that for a lot of people that we were going after, and
8	we were tapping into existing institutions, cultural
9	networks, that they are more organic, they are more,
10	you know, lifestyle, they are not things that typically
11	have metrics unless they're tied to units of selling
12	Sean-John, or selling records or something like that.
13	And that space, because we don't have,
14	academically, we don't have, at least I think, metrics
15	that we can now translate into why people turned out to
16	vote or how they really processed that information, I
17	don't think that we can say the things that we all feel
18	we want to say. So a lot of us want to say, well, the
19	reason why the vote increased in certain communities or
20	among young people is because it was complemented with
21	this air and this ground campaign where the air
22	campaign really normalized and drove people into these
23	grassroots organizations on the ground.
24	So it may have been peer-to-peer contact but if

that person heard about you know, Puffy saying Vote or die, or someone else making that connection, and so there have to be layers of understanding that I don't think we really have enough data on. And I think that one of the, just to back up because I was trying to say one of the things that really did work for us was that we tapped into institutions that already existed, that already existed to sell records, to sell clothing and ideas, and we used our street teams, we used our underground mix tapes. We tapped into all the technologies that already exist, so it wasn't like we were inventing the wheel, we were just kind of appropriating those structures for political messenging.

And I think that is a place where we can start looking at, again, organically getting the message out in a way that hasn't been done before, and I think that we have to start looking kind of in ways that people receive information. I think that a lot of, someone used the example to me of, particularly for someone who is a minority in whatever way, that there is an office party and someone says, you know, everybody in the office is invited to this party. You may look at that and you may say, you know, I'm really

not part of this community so I'm not necessarily going to participate.

But when someone comes directly to you, they come, this is the peer to peer contact, they come on your show, they invade your space, they come into your home. All of a sudden you realize, okay, they are really inviting me to participate and there have to be ways and more questions about understanding how young people connected to that space.

MS. SNOW: Before we move on, does anybody else want to volunteer any candor about failure?

MS. GREENE: I think not so much from a turnout standpoint but a lot of the messenging that we all worked in coalition on to really show the impact that young voters can have. Looking at how we define success with our goal being 20 million voters and on election night and days after, how the media defined success as being what the percentage of the electorate was, I think that we failed, in a sense, to address that electorate percentage in our initial messenging. But if we had had something in addition to 20 million, had addressed the percentage part of it more initially, then we wouldn't have been hit so much on the back end. And so I don't think it's such a turnout thing but it

has affected what young people feel their generation did in this election, significantly, and that's something that we are going to have to deal with for years to come.

So the meet up point, I would say that in looking at the evaluation after the election, we put 25 percent of our organizational resources into meet up for several months and that was obviously, as Jaime said, something that didn't work. I think a lot of the cultural points, connections with the election that were very visible, that were very highly marketed, at times, didn't have as direct connections to voter registration as they should have. We are of course really proud of the numbers we saw for our online voter registration but I think there were so many different things that didn't have --.

When we sent out, a project that we did with Taco Bell and they sent out 16 million tray liners with voter registration messages, their link for voter registration was not as strong as it should have been.

When 7-11 had five million Rock the Vote Big Gulp cups, their voter registration forms and what was set up within those stores was not as connected as it should have been, so I think a lot of the buzz and

1	excitement, of course we know, I guess anecdotedly,
2	that it did have an impact but I think if we had had
3	those direct connections to voter registration for a
4	more extended period of time, we would have seen higher
5	numbers than we did.
6	MS. SNOW: We don't know what all of you said
7	but somebody failed to talk to the Associated Press on
8	the night of election night because that's where that
9	story, that's where it started, right?
10	FROM THE FLOOR: Oh, she got lots of calls
11	after
12	(Laughter)
13	MS. CAMPBELL: And on that, I don't know if you
14	were going to say it too but, on that, because we were
15	a part of trying to deal with that issue as well, is
16	that, on the media side, there was not awareness, even
17	with the facts to change. It was say the 17 percent
18	and what's his name? Chris Matthews, you know, 17
19	percent, 17 percent, this never changed.
20	MS. SNOW: But the 17 percent is 17 percent
21	(Multiple people speaking)
22	MS. CAMPBELL: No, no, my point is that you
23	had people who handle the media well talking to the
24	media and trying to break it down, you had academicians

1	who called the media to break it down too. And so I
2	think that, we talked about this earlier on, it also
3	had something with to do with the results and that
4	young people didn't vote for Bush, they voted Kerry,
5	Kerry lost, so you did have some of that in response.
6	I think that if it had gone the other way, and young
7	people voted and Kerry won, you would have had a
8	different conversation. I am feeling pretty old,
9	sitting here right now, just thinking about how many
10	years I've dealt with this stuff
11	(Laughter)
12	MS. CAMPBELL:and the conversations we have,
13	and so it just kind of evolves. And the whole
14	conversation about young people, the jury will be out
15	on whether campaigns take it seriously or not with this
16	next midterm election.
17	MS. WALKER: I think one of the big failures
18	this year, and this reflects on my community, the
19	foundation community, is that foundations did not step
20	up to the plate in a major way to support the youth
21	vote, with the exception of Pew which made a huge grant
22	in this area. The Carnegie Corporation, a couple of
23	other smaller foundations made small grants but I don't
24	know of anybody that put more than, I mean except for

Pew, I don't know of anybody that put more than
\$500,000 into the youth vote. And both from a civic
engagement perspective, that's a mistake, but also from
a political perspective. It's ridiculous and I think
we did not do a good job of communicating the
importance of the youth vote to that constituency and
for this side of the table, that's huge. This side of
the table actually.
(Laughter)
MS. PHILLIPS: I think the challenge, getting
back to your first question, is where do we go from
here? I know that we, as a group, tried to do some
things around each of the conventions and while our
framework and our ways of working together worked well
to share information and make sure that we were all on
the same page, especially dealing with press, and
questions and putting stories together, there is a
difference among the non-partisan groups in terms of
some who are going to focus on issues, some who are
focusing on registration and things like that. And we
ended up, I think everybody did, with something a
little bit different at the convention, which was
great.

24

But I think that's really the challenge, when

1 we look at the next elections, is once you take care of 2 the mechanical stuff, what do you do with the issues 3 things? Where do you go from there? And do you start to, you know, in some ways, I think we really want to 4 5 hand things over to the partisans and say come and 6 fight for this group, we are showing you, you know, we 7 want to talk about who they are, that they are not 8 monolithic, here is what they care about, here is what 9 the research says. But from my point of view, I would love to 10 11 think that that's going to happen, that that turnover 12 is going to occur, but I thought that was a real 13 challenge for us. MS. SNOW: 14 Ryan? MR. FRIEDRICHS: That's actually the point I 15

wanted to speak on, as somebody who worked for a number of years in the non-partisan community and then moved into the partisan community in 2004 with that exact goal, to try and leverage, not indirectly, as you do, but directly try and leverage resources into this on this front, to reach out to young voters, and found a tremendous amount of barriers, which we knew were there

but found them face to face as opposed to finding them

sort of indirectly over a long period of time.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	I wanted to get your sense, strategically, as
2	to how you want to move forward and if you see that as
3	not necessarily on failure but do you see the response
4	or the lack of a response of the political community to
5	this issue, over a number of years, as something that's
6	getting better, getting worse? I think getter better
7	we would agree to, to a degree, but what, going
8	forward, what is a strategy? With partisans sitting
9	here and academics, what is a good way to move forward
10	to get that \$3 billion economy to really lean into
11	these voters and not to just conveniently continue to
12	maybe backslide or ignore them when the election is not
13	as hot or to keep moving forward?
14	MS. ACKER: Can I just preface that answer
15	really quickly by saying that I would also like to hear
16	your response too, because I agree with you, but I also
17	think that what we do would not have the impact that it
18	has without non-partisan organizations laying the
19	framework, so can you guys address that too because I'm
20	very curious?
21	MR. FRISHBERG: I have a long list of things
22	that
23	(Laughter)
24	MR. FRISHBERG: And the parties would be one of

1	them, although that was maybe too grand an expectation.
2	The voter file didn't work for us on election night,
3	election day, I mean so there is a lot of technology
4	problems still to be figured out. That's just like a
5	personal thing for us. But I think a lot of campaigns
6	experienced that and if you look at one of the things
7	for the future, 2006, the statewide databases, that
8	will help new voters, not just young voters, but
9	anybody who comes to the voter file late. But it was a
10	huge, huge challenge for anybody who was doing that
11	last three or four week turnout push.
12	We tried a lot of institutional partnerships to
13	do registration, businesses, schools, colleges and
14	universities. That, I don't think, similar to the Move
15	On, it was, for us, one of the things that we didn't
16	get the bang for the buck out of that, just looking at
17	how much resources we put into it and what kind of
18	registrations we got out of it. Nevada didn't work so
19	well, this is a tough place to organize for us, it was
20	hiring people that didn't need our jobs because we were
21	paying campaign wages and you can go park a car for
22	\$80,000 a year in Nevada.
23	(Laughter)

24

MR. FRISHBERG: So it's like there are some

1	places that are just more challenging to do this work.
2	On the partisan piece of it, I think this is probably
3	one of the big challenges because I couldn't really
4	answer your question earlier about what were the
5	results, the very definitive data. We feel like it's
6	all coming at different levels but by the time we get
7	it, the parties will have had to have started to make a
8	set of decisions and priorities, and so we are not in a
9	position to very quickly go out after the election to
10	the parties and say here is what you should do.
11	I mean we can point generally to the case,
12	young people turned out, you should do more, but I
13	think we are not very well equipped to do that, we need
14	to do more. To be able to say, all right, here is your
15	races, here is what the numbers are, here is what we
16	think you could accomplish in those places, which I
17	think there needs to be more of that kind of thinking,
18	which is all we've got until we get real, harder
19	results to put on the table, but I also think that the
20	campaigns, there was more tension in this cycle than
21	ever before, I mean it was historic from both sides.
22	But this is not the kind of success that we
23	really need, where the democrats are sending out

24

surrogates to reach out to young people and republicans

Т	are organizing college students to go out and mobilize
2	old people. That's not success, and we need to do a
3	lot more and the campaigns kind of came to it late,
4	when they started to see real numbers.
5	But I'm kind of interested in the partisan
6	panel, to really hear about what they did because I
7	think they did more than some of us know.
8	MR. SEKULOW: We spent more money than any
9	other Republican campaign in history on youth voter
10	turnout, and this is, I mean we spent, I'm not saying,
11	money is not the only issue here but when you bring in
12	the President'd daughters to a college, it costs a lot
13	of money to a campaign because of the Secret Service
14	you've got to pay for, the security, the room
15	MS. ACKER: To a closed event you mean?
16	MR. SEKULOW: But because of security with the
17	Secret Service, you are not understanding that, it's a
18	little bit different when you are running a campaign
19	where you have people that have to be followed around
20	by ten bodyguards. The campaign has to pick up some of
21	that. So when we committed somewhere, we really had,
22	and this is the same thing with the president and all
23	the way down, these guys were travelling with major
2.4	entourages. It's a little bit different when you are

1	not the incumbent and I think that does help with your
2	flexibility, but what we did was interesting, our first
3	grassroots event of the Bush Campaign was a Students
4	for Bush event. The first grassroots website of the
5	Bush Campaign was the Students for Bush website.
6	We had 128,000 student Bush volunteers, all in
7	college, we had all of their contact info, we knew
8	exactly where they were, what year they were,
9	everything about them, so we had all these things.
10	Yes, we got our 17 percent and that's what the number,
11	for you all, this is what you have to do, you have to
12	tell us, okay, why, instead of one of us on each
13	campaign, why shouldn't there be five?
14	MS. FLEMING: There should be.
15	MR. SEKULOW: Or why shouldn't there be one in
16	each state? And somehow you all, we can't do it
17	because we are not the numbers folks and it can come
18	from you all too. I think people are misunderstanding
19	what I was saying earlier, I'm looking at this from a
20	campaign manager's perspective, 17 percent is 17
21	percent and there is a lot more older people that you
22	can go after, so it's worth spending your money on
23	older people, but if we can show
24	I think we have to show that but see you have,

1	someone has got to show it because this election really
2	didn't show it. I mean you all kind of agreed on that,
3	that yes, the numbers went up, all the numbers were up,
4	it's hard to tell yet, but if you can show that, hey,
5	you can go into one of these battleground states,
6	because that's what you've got to focus on, if we are
7	talking about campaign managers here. A big blue state
8	or a big red state, they are going to look at it and
9	say that's great that we can get five more percent of
10	young people there to vote for us but that's still
11	we'll lose by 15 percent.
12	If you can go into a few of those and say, wow,
13	if you really can put in some grassroots drive here,
14	and we did. What we did, as the Bush Campaign, is
15	targeted conservative groups. Well what's
16	conservative, fraternities, sororities, evangelical.
17	We went to Christian concerts
18	FROM THE FLOOR: Don't get too far ahead of us
19	here.
20	(Laughter)
21	MR. SEKULOW: What I'm saying is that we did
22	things that we've never done before, the RNC did things
23	they never done before and we don't think it failed, we
24	actually thought our youth effort worked. We wanted to

1	mobilize young people, we knew in some places we
2	couldn't win the youth vote, that's part of polling and
3	we went from there.
4	MS. GREENE: Well I think it's interesting the
5	Ken Mehlman said that they did, I think it was at a
6	forum similar to this here at Harvard, that he said,
7	well, we did everything right except for young people.
8	MS. SNOW: Let's save that for the next
9	session.
10	(Laughter)
11	MR. FERGUSON: I was exhausted travelling coast
12	to coast in the last 60 days campaigning.
13	MS. SNOW: This is a great discussion but we
14	really think that it's sort of the next panel. Before
15	we move away from the non-partisan world, just make
16	sure we've got it.
17	MR. UZETA: Just in terms of moving forward and
18	how we are shifting, the results of the election have
19	actually prompted us to shift our strategy, and
20	programming and the way we handle social issues.
21	Whereas before we focused on off year elections,
22	primarily on one issue per year, we are trying to seize
23	on this increased interest that's happened gradually,
24	post 9/11, and then really accelerated during the last

election, and actually have a multi issue approach to our social campaign.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So young people, in general, are going to hear a lot more from MTV on all the issues of concern, whereas, before they were just, like I said, it was an issue-based approach to try to say, look, you care about this issue, politics is connected with this issue, we feed them all this information, just that one issue. Now there seems to be an increased appetite and we are trying to really kind of pry that open and go a lot further with it, so we are covering several issues at once and we are starting to get a lot more air time on TV. So I think in terms of what young people are, to the extent that they are influenced by what they end up seeing on TV, or MTV or anything like that, they are going to start seeing a lot more on just social issues in general.

MS. CAMPBELL: For us, and one of the things that we would recommend and one of the things that's very, very difficult in politics too, because we focus nationally so much, just on the president, which is what we are here to talk about, but also to build on that a systemic way of having impact. So, for us, it's working on the state level and working on a local

level. And so with our young people, we have the 14 states that we are still in and that you are working through. So, after the election, you bring those young people together and you develop that leadership because part of it is, for politics, you find campaigns, and I hear this from people I know that work on the partisan side, looking for talent, looking for people who will be involved.

And when the systemic approach of public policy, when it came down to the voter, not voter registration but the community service, Americorps, whatever that bill that passed, and then you see 13 years later, now 12 or 13 years later, that you've got more young people who were volunteering for community service, it was no accident. I talked about this when I was here at Harvard and our study group was focused on this issue, and it was a policy decision that was made by Democrats, who were in control of the Congress at that time, to not allow working on campaigns to be considered a community service.

And so young people who come through this body or academic community know that most young people, they got it at high school, on a high school level, and so the policy decisions that are made have to be also

1	impacted, and that's been a very difficult thing to try
2	to tackle because on the partisan side, if you're not
3	voting for my, your people aren't voting for
4	Republicans, I'd rather not change that, or it would be
5	the same thing, so non-partisans, whether my group or
6	any other, have to stay around. I love the partisan
7	folks, there is going to have to, there has to be, for
8	this country, because 50 percent of the people aren't
9	voting in the first place, there has to be vehicles out
10	here that keep driving it home. And so the working
11	consistently outside of elections cycles that has
12	driven up by the federal presidential campaigns is
13	critical and so, for us, that's what we are doing.
14	MR. KING: I'm going to close up this session
15	now. Thank you very much to all the panelists,
16	especially to a wonderful journalist who we are not
17	going to actually allow them to leave, they will be
18	joined by Ron Fournier in just a moment.
19	One of the important distinctions to keep in
20	mind between the partisan and non-partisan sides, and
21	you can feel the heat from the partisan side toward the
22	non-partisan side
23	(Laughter)
24	MR. KING:is that politics is way too

important to be left up to the partisans because the, and even just to the academics because turnout was much higher in the battleground states than it was in the non-battleground states. And children learn about politics when they are young, 12, 13, 14, 15 years old. After that, it will be much harder to get them engaged in politics generally and campaigns, the longer the campaigns are, are wonderful experiences for them to become active, lifelong participants. And if the parties aren't advertising in their states, if they candidates aren't visiting their states, if they happen to live in three quarters of the states, this campaign went by.

The partisans did a fabulous job of boosting turnout in the battleground states and it's the non-partisan organizations that have to continue to try and teach young people about the importance of civic engagement, as our schools are no longer doing a fabulous job, as our families are no longer doing an adequate job. What you all are engaged in, forget about partisan politics, what you are engaged in for the future of the democratic system, small-d, don't worry, is really very important. I thank you very much.

1	We are going to take a brief break, you should
2	know where the bathrooms are by now, and we'll gather
3	again in a few moments to hear from the next session or
4	presidential campaigns and partisan influence.
5	(Whereupon, at 2:51 p.m., there was a brief recess.)
6	(3:03 p.m.)
7	MR. KING: At the journalist section of the
8	table, Kate will be back, and Rebecca is here and
9	joining us as well is Ron Fournier, who is the Chief
10	Political Correspondent for the Associated Press, he's
11	been out on the campaign trail covering every aspect of
12	presidential campaigns for many years. We all know his
13	work and now you know what he actually looks like. So
14	let's try and maintain that image when you read his
15	work. A terrific group, now talking about presidential
16	campaign and partisan efforts. Alexandra Acker,
17	Meighan Davis, Ben Ferguson, Jane Fleming, Eric Hoplin
18	and Jordan Sekulow. The wheels start, who wants to
19	start this time?
20	Here you go, Ron.
21	MR. FOURNIER: Thank you very much for having
22	me. There is a couple of questions I would like to
23	straighten out before we get to the end of this today,
24	one is, with all the media fragmentation that's going

1	on in my business right now, the so many different ways
2	people are getting their information, especially
3	youngsters with the Internet and viral marketing, what
4	new methods are emerging and will emerge to define,
5	target and motivate youths. And secondly, which of
6	these new techniques, these new methods, these new
7	communications channels are particularly unique to
8	young voters because of the communication?
9	Before we get into that though, we can come
10	back to it later, there is one little bit of
11	housekeeping. You were saying earlier that what
12	matters is what happens in the battleground states,
13	that's where you focus your, and that happens to be
14	something that I subscribe to when I do my reporting,
15	when I want to see how effective a turnout operation
16	was or how effective an advertising campaign was. I
17	look at the campaigns where the money was spent, where
18	the campaigns were focused. Do you have any idea how
19	you guys did in those states among young voters?
20	MR. SEKULOW: Well, I was talking about this
21	earlier and kind of our goal for our effort, it was two
22	pronged, our first goal for our youth effort, and I
23	make no qualms about this, the campaign is over, and
24	I'm not with the White House I'm not with the

1	administration, was to produce volunteers for the
2	campaign. When I was brought in, and Eric is going to
3	agree with me from the College Republicans, we knew,
4	looking at some states, we couldn't win the youth vote,
5	states we were going to win. But at the same time, we
6	picked up states, like Maine, where we lost, which was
7	a battleground state that we won the youth vote in.
8	So there are interesting places now that our
9	polling staff and our statistics people can go back in
10	and look at. You know, I think different things played
11	into this too, the height of the war came in right at
12	the end. We started out very high in the youth vote,
13	nationwide, very high. Harvard actually had a poll out
14	showing us 60 percent versus any of the other
15	Democratic candidates
16	MR. FOURNIER: Let me ask you about this,
17	overall, Kerry won 54-45, as you know. In the 16 top
18	battleground states, Kerry won 56-43, there was only
19	three states that the Bush Campaign had a higher
20	percentage, although it's statistically negligible,
21	basically it's even, that's Maine, Arizona and New
22	Mexico. You got your butt kicked where
23	MR. SEKULOW: That was not our goal of the
24	campaign. I mean our youth outreach was to fire up

1	young people because
2	MR. FOURNIER: I thought getting more votes was
3	the goal of the campaign.
4	MR. SEKULOW: It is a goal but we used the
5	young people that supported us, that we knew supported
6	us, to get more votes for us, and we knew that
7	sometimes calling a bunch of college students who we're
8	pretty sure are just by, and you can look at micro
9	targeting and knowing how people feel about a lot of
10	different issues. Maybe it's better to use those
11	college Republicans and Students for Bush to call
12	likely Republican or undecided voters, instead of
13	calling a bunch of liberal students, and you can look
14	at that in each state.
15	I mean that's, our goal is to maximize our
16	volunteers and our grassroots people's time, we are not
17	going to waste time reaching out to people that are
18	this far left. Now if they are in the middle
19	MS. FLEMING: If we considered young people a
20	waste of time, we would
21	MR. SEKULOW: No, I'm not saying that.
22	MS. FLEMING:consistently be in the
23	situation that we are in.
24	MR. SEKULOW: I'm not saying that

1	MS. FLEMING: Which is campaigns treating young
2	people as a chicken and an egg
3	MR. SEKULOW: That is not true. I mean that's
4	what
5	MS. FLEMING: But I do want to answer your
6	question about battleground states that we were in
7	because we do know
8	MR. SEKULOW: I think this is the point,
9	students in battleground states
10	MS. FLEMING: There was a ten percent
11	MS. ACKER: Let's go one at a time, one at a
12	time.
13	MS. FLEMING: There was a ten percent different
14	between Bush and Kerry on a national average. In the
15	five battleground states that we were in, we had about
16	a 17 percent difference, and that goes back to the
17	model that we put into place for this cycle, which was
18	we were no longer going to stand for sending all of our
19	YDA kids to the campaign to volunteer and go knock on
20	older people's doors. We actually did, for the first
21	time in history, a youth coordinated campaign where
22	young people were talking to their peers.
23	MR. FOURNIER: And that gets to the bigger
24	point I want to get to in a minute, but I wonder if

1	maybe that points out a problem with the strategy. You
2	did what your goal was, the next time though would it
3	be better to try to get your folks to vote instead of
4	just volunteering?
5	MR. SEKULOW: The next time we look at the same
6	kind of things. Remember, I'm not talking from the
7	Republican Party, I'm just talking about Bush Campaign.
8	We knew where we stood, we did lots in those
9	battleground states with different constituency groups,
10	that doesn't mean young people's votes aren't
11	important, but in a battleground state that has had ads
12	run for a year and a half by us and the other side,
13	people are pretty partisan, they are going to be likely
14	voters who we're calling that last week before the
15	campaign, and so we are not going to call the ones who
16	are likely D, we are going to call the ones that are
17	likely R or middle or undecided, and that can change
18	things.
19	MR. FERGUSON: Part of it, I think, too is just
20	that you look at us, we knew we could win battles but
21	we knew we weren't going to win the war, and part of
22	that was because that we knew that some of these
23	"non-partisan" groups were partisan and they weren't on
24	our side. You look at people like P. Diddy who said he

1	was non-partisan until he came out and ripped the
2	president. We knew that, he
3	MS. MCGILL:the president in March.
4	MR. FERGUSON: The bottom line is that we know
5	that everything, and the other thing is this
6	MR. FOURNIER: Is the solution to complain
7	about what the non-partisans do
8	(Multiple people speaking)
9	MR. FOURNIER: What I want to get to is if you
10	guys can tell me what you would do, what you are going
11	to do next time to get the youth vote up in
12	battleground states because that's the bottom line,
13	you've got to get that
14	MS. ACKER: I would like to just jump in, in
15	concert, and say that the Kerry Campaign strategy was
16	completely opposite of the Bush Campaign. Not only was
17	my job to mobilize the youth vote and increase our
18	share of it, but we had 12 on the ground organizers in
19	battleground states, who were full-time youth
20	organizers, only three of three of them were so-called
21	full-time volunteers in that they were people who did
22	not need financial assistants. These were paid staff
23	whose sole job was to organize and mobilize young
24	people. And I would get completely thrown off my

1	rocker when I would hear about organizers on the ground
2	using students to then go mobilize older voters.
3	MR. FOURNIER: So what do you do next time to
4	have a higher percentage, to win the youth vote in
5	battleground
6	MR. SEKULOW: I think this is what we do, we
7	have now seen and I think, like Jaime said, we know,
8	Ken Mehlman said, he is the Chairman of the RNC, the
9	youth vote is important. We didn't do our job, maybe,
10	on the vote, turnout-wise, we still
11	MR. FOURNIER: What do you to do your job
12	better?
13	MR. SEKULOW: What do we do? I think we have
14	to target those non-college. I think college students
15	who are voting are pretty partisan, I think they know
16	how they are going to vote. I mean unless you have a
17	major thing right before, if a 9/11 occurred a month
18	before an election, maybe it changes, but youth
19	partisan, students at Harvard probably know how they
20	are going to vote.
21	MR. FOURNIER: Let me ask the professor what
22	the partisan breakdown is among college
23	MR. KING: Well, for all intents and purposes,
24	about 36 percent are Independent, about 33 percent are

1	Democrat, the rest are Republican, so the modal
2	response of course is that I'm an Independent, and when
3	we look at why they call themselves independents, they
4	usually don't have a very clear idea about what it even
5	means to be a partisan. They say things like, well, I
6	don't want anyone telling me how to vote. Now when we
7	also did a panel back survey, which is we go to
8	students at one point and then go ask them a few months
9	later who are you voting for now?
10	We found 20 percent of students, who had made
11	an affirmative choice at time one, changing their mind
12	from Bush to Kerry or from Kerry to Bush. Twenty
13	percent who looked like solid votes, changing their
14	mind in just a few months, so the youth vote is very
15	movable, it's pliable, not necessarily liberal.
16	MR. FERGUSON: I think part of it was is we
17	learned from this election that we know the youth vote
18	is going to be tapped into more, and more and more.
19	When the next election cycle comes up, I think we are
20	going to have to go after them more than we did this
21	time. The bottom line, when you look at it from a
22	campaign perspective, is how much money are you willing
23	to spend on a group that you just don't know about yet?
24	Are you willing to spend the resources? Are you going

1 to spend the resources on a group that you just don't 2 know about, or are you going to spend money on a group 3 you know that you can target and they will turn out? Well now we know--4 5 MR. FOURNIER: --change in four years. 6 MR. FERGUSON: And another thing was this too, 7 it's that when you look at the War in Iraq, people 8 assumed that that was going to engage my generation 9 like never before because their friends are over there, 10 they knew people that were that age. Did that issue, something that pivotable of an issue, bring that many 11 extra people out? I don't think so. 12 13 MR. FOURNIER: Fifty-one percent of people under 30, who were talked to at the exit polls, said 14 they approved of the decision to go to war in Iraq. 15 Let's go real quickly and I'll stop talking, let's go 16 17 to the other forms of communication. You mentioned talking to friends, the whole idea of viral 18 communications, influentials, connectors, fascinates me 19 20 in politics and marketing, especially with all these 21 different ways, the diffuseness of the way they communicate. Can both of you guys talk about what 22 23 steps you took this time to communicate in ways other 24 than national advertising? And how is it going to

1	change in four years?
2	MS. FLEMING: Actually, I have some concrete
3	examples, and I brought plenty if people want to take
4	them back, of the literature that we use door to door.
5	And we went back to old school, we knew, based on
6	actually the research, that Nickerson, Green and
7	Garber, Ryan Friedrichs did, who was part of our
8	national team, that the door to door, peer to peer,
9	talking to young people where they lived and where they
10	hang out was going to be the best thing for us to do in
11	order to get them to the polls to vote for Democrats.
12	And we specifically did a pro Democratic
13	message, everything that we did was here is where the
14	Democrats stand on issues and here is where the
15	Republicans stand on issues, and you are going to be
16	better off with the Democrats. Your future is going to
17	be better off, your families are better off and that's
18	why it's important to vote for Democrats.
19	MR. FOURNIER: Were your kids talking to kids
20	on
21	MS. FLEMING: Young people talking to young
22	people, we had hip hop kids talking to hip hop kids,
23	punk kids talking to punk kids, and so we very much
24	MR. FOURNIER: The Bush Campaign did this so

1	much better, so much better, generally, than the Kerry
2	Campaign did. Was it something that
3	MS. FLEMING: Well I also want to make the
4	distinction that we weren't the Kerry Campaign
5	MR. FOURNIER: Well I know, that's why I want
6	to, I want to ask the Kerry and Bush Campaigns what you
7	guys did because I know, nationally, the Bush Campaigns
8	get a lot more credit for doing it better.
9	MS. ACKER: Sure. I will say, hands down, that
10	the Bush Campaign website was infinitely better than
11	our website was, and that was one of my biggest
12	frustrations was I did not have any kind of direct
13	control over the content of our young voter outreach
14	website. There was a decision made nationally to kind
15	of make all of our constituency websites, all of our
16	state websites have the same kind of look and feel,
17	which was a huge frustration of mine because obviously
18	you can't talk to a young voter the same way.
19	Your website was amazing, I will totally give
20	you that credit.
21	Our website, however, had three downloadable
22	organizing manuals, which I think were a huge help,
23	they were actually the number one hit on our website in
24	the month of September, it was our campus organizing

manual. We had a campus organizing manual, a nonstudent organizing manual and a voter registration
manual, all of which were directed at activists
teaching themselves and teaching other students how to
organize themselves, and I thought that that made a
huge difference.

We worked very, very, closely with the campaign

We worked very, very, closely with the campaign and the Democratic National Committee worked very, very closely together in strategically reaching out to our activists via e-mail. The campaign had 80,000 people, the DNC had over 120,000 people and we called them our e-activists, they received monthly and then weekly e-mails with organizing suggestions. So, eight weeks out, it was hold a voter registration drive on your campus. Seven weeks out, it was identify supporters who will be volunteers for you in organizing your peers. Six weeks out, I am getting the calendar wrong here but--

MR. FOURNIER: The first thing Matthew Dow did, early in the campaign, was pass around the book, Influentials, to Karl Rove and Ken Mehlman, saying we've got to find out who the opinion leaders are in the communities, get them talking to each other, get them talking to their neighbors and friends about the

1	campaign. Did you guys use any of that stuff with the
2	youth vote?
3	MR. SEKULOW: No. I mean that was our, if I
4	could point out one thing in the campaign, we could not
5	counter the surrogates that a Kerry Campaign could
б	have. Now we had Barbara and Jenna, we had high, you
7	know, people that
8	MR. FOURNIER: Well I'm not talking surrogates,
9	I'm talking about influential members of the community,
10	the coolest kids in the high school and the
11	MR. SEKULOW: We didn't, we kind of went for
12	the opposite of that at a lot of big schools, if you
13	looked at it, because we knew where our most likely
14	voters were and our most likely voters were evangelical
15	clubs, fraternities and sororities, so we went there,
16	we found our leaders in religious groups. People that
17	go to church more regularly vote more Republican more
18	regularly, and we know that, so we did those kind of
19	things, that's how we targeted and that's why I think
20	you look at the Bush Campaign as micro targeted, it's
21	not even about youth, Hispanic women, it's about
22	person, we had the resources to do that.
23	Our students website, going back to that real
24	quick, that was, the campaign spent more time on our

students website sometimes than we did on our regular because who uses websites every day? College students who have fast connections in their rooms or wireless access all over their campus and towns.

Our first big thing that we did was in March, way before the election, with March Madness going on, we launched March to Victory, so we took 32 states, put them in brackets, and this took a lot of building to do this kind of thing.

They were all going against each other and it was to sign up, who could sign up the most student Bush volunteers and get them on line like immediately, constantly? And in three weeks, we signed up 21,000 new students and for us, still a volunteer-driven campaign, going back to those points I discussed.

MR. FERGUSON: And I think that's the point of what I was saying earlier is we picked our battles. We knew we didn't have the high profile surrogates, we didn't have the national, per se, media attention that we knew we would need to win the youth vote, but we knew the battles we could win and the campaign gave us everything we needed to win those battles. You know, I'm not going to go to my alma mater in the south, where I know the state is, and go down there and hold a

1	big forum because it's not going to affect that
2	election.
3	And they asked me, they said will you come? I
4	said look, I need to spend my time other places where
5	there is battles that we can win that are up for grabs.
6	And it was more time management, it was more saying be
7	honest with ourselves, going is that really going to
8	work? No.
9	MR. FOURNIER: So you really don't think you
10	could win the youth vote in the battleground states?
11	You're giving that up?
12	MR. FERGUSON: No, no, I'm saying we picked our
13	places where we knew, this time around, we could do it
14	and I think that
15	MS. FLEMING: Here is the tricky thing, I mean
16	the young Democrats took a risk, we had limited
17	resources, we raised \$1.3 million. We could have gone
18	the safe route and did a snazzy website to get all
19	these volunteers and put them into Move On, or put them
20	into ACT or put them into all these other voter
21	mobilization efforts. But we took a big risk, we took
22	a risk on the youth vote because we knew that if you
23	invested in young people and you invested in a model,
24	which we created called the New Voter Model which

1	blended traditional door to door and direct mail
2	campaign with non-traditional techniques, which is more
3	like street teams, and grassroots marketing and viral
4	marketing, that we would have a model that we could
5	build upon, not only for the young Democrats, as an
6	organization, but for state parties and for the DNC.
7	Because right now, state parties, if you say what do
8	you think about the youth vote? They'll be like oh,
9	it's great, we think young people are our future.
10	But zero state parties give money to the young
11	Democrats and zero state parties give money to local
12	youth organizations. And it's time that young people
13	in organizations, young people who lead organizations
14	of young people, that we stand up, and really challenge
15	the party system and challenge where resources are
16	spent.
17	MR. HOPLIN: If I could just interject for one
18	second, you know, the Republican Party, in a lot of
19	ways, does reach out to young people, especially on the
20	local level. For example, I represent the College
21	Republican National Committee and I would say we have
22	20 or so Republican parties that invest in College

Republican Party gave the local College Republicans

Republicans. The State of Minnesota, for example, the

23

24

1	\$30,000. Why? Because the bulk of their volunteers
2	for the phone banks or knocking on doors came from
3	College Republicans.
4	And we had a similar focus to Young Democrats
5	in that we put out 60 full-time, paid field
6	representatives and what they did is they recruited
7	some 200,000 College Republicans who then organized
8	their friends. They went door to door in the dormitory
9	and they said hey, do you like George Bush? Do you
10	like the Republican Party? Hey, where are you on this
11	issue? Hey, are you registered to vote? And so I
12	think that while the Bush Campaign focused a lot on the
13	website and that was very helpful to attract a national
14	audience, what we tried to do is go into the dormitory,
15	talk to people one on one. And I think it was very
16	effective.
17	One of the things that Ivan said in the
18	previous session is something to the effect that it's a

One of the things that Ivan said in the previous session is something to the effect that it's a failure if young people's times are spent going out trying to get old people to vote. Well it's a failure depending on what your goal is, and our goal is to win the election and if there are many more citizens, whether it's inside the youth demographic or not, that can vote, by manning that phone bank, by going to that

1	door, by stamping that literature, by providing the
2	volunteers that provided the votes for victory, you
3	achieve your goal.
4	Now, at the same time, I will be the first to
5	say yeah, we wanted to win the youth vote, and we spent
6	a lot of our energy trying to get young people to vote
7	for Republicans and to get involved, but I would say
8	more of our energy was expended in getting them
9	involved in the campaign. And I think, for the
10	national media, one of the perspectives that maybe they
11	haven't thought of is they said they were waiting for
12	the young people to make the huge impact in terms of
13	voting, but maybe they did make a pretty big impact and
14	that is young people provided the volunteers that
15	produced the votes that led to victory, and I think
16	that's one thing
17	MR. FOURNIER: How many people do you think
18	spent the time to go to a campaign and lick stamps
19	MR. FERGUSON: If you look at the Republicans,
20	when we had one of our very first meetings, they said,
21	Ben, what is your objective? I said look, young people
22	don't have jobs, they are in college, their job is
23	college and you can get them in a campaign and they
24	will work for you until the wee hours of the morning.

1	MS. DAVIS: I don't know what college students
2	they are talking to that don't have jobs, that's the
3	not the case where
4	MR. FERGUSON: No, I'm saying compared to the
5	older demographics. I mean I have a job but my point
6	is this, if you go after college students, and that's
7	what you did, and they are involved and they want to
8	work for you, they have so many more hours available.
9	They don't have to go home and take care of their
10	families or their kids, they live on campus, they live
11	off campus but they are going to work for you and
12	invest in it, and I think that's what we did.
13	MR. FOURNIER:to the point that it's a known
14	fact that the way you vote your first two elections is
15	almost for sure how you are going to vote the rest of
16	your life and if you're not getting, if you're losing
17	the youth vote now, when are you going to get them
18	back?
19	MR. SEKULOW:lost the youth vote last, I
20	mean yes, we've lot a little more this time, we also
21	had a war, but we've lost the youth vote a couple of
22	times now and we still won, and I keep coming back to
23	that. It's there is no youth vote, that's what I'm
24	trying to get to here, if you look at our perspective.

1	Look overall, for a campaign, there is, for voter
2	registration, that is very different but for a
3	campaign, if you know a young person that attends
4	church regularly, shops at the store, you know they are
5	more likely to vote. It doesn't matter if they are
6	young or not, why do you have to always just keep
7	looking at these people just because they are young
8	people? Why not look at them because they are a voter
9	and then obviously a campaign targets likely or
10	undecided voters for their campaign. You don't have to
11	use this young title.
12	MS. ACKER: Jordan, I would just counter what
13	you are saying and say I think that you are seeing
14	buyer's remorse on the side of the president now in how
15	aggressively they are targeting young people for their
16	Social Security plan. I think the Republican Party is
17	saying oh crap, we didn't target this age group and
18	it's going to come back to bite us in next elections,
19	and they are right, and that is, I think, why you are
20	seeing such an aggressive youth strategy on that issue.
21	MR. FERGUSON: I don't think it's oh crap, I
22	think it's we know now that they can be mobilized, we
23	know now that they will respond, and now what we are
24	doing is taking the next steps to get them because now

Eric

1 we see I have leverage.

20

21

22

23

24

2 That's my next question. My next MS. SNOW: 3 question is having covered, I'm in a unique position because I covered both Kerry and Bush for a time. 4 I talked to people in both campaigns, and I'm sure Ron 5 6 will back me up, who said it's not worth our time, it's 7 not worth our money, especially in the Bush Campaign 8 who said, off the record usually, but said, you know, 9 we are not going there, we are not going to even try. Is it changed now, given that we, going back to our 10 discussion about 17 percent or the number --11 12 MS. FLEMING: I say no, it's not changed. 13 MR. SEKULOW: I think there is a real big difference about this, I'll use an example, right after 14 the campaign, now I decided to go work on another 15 campaign, I passed up a job in the administration, 16 17 I got a call from the RNC, one of their 18 directors, a senior staffer said can you come in? want to really start talking about reaching out to 19

> ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

young people. We know you are kind of moving on in

with youth politics too is that everyone changes.

your political career. And that's one of the problems

is not going to be the CR guy next year, I'm not going

to be the Youth Director for the next campaign, Alex

1	isn't going to be either.
2	Can we use our ideas? Yes, but we are gone and
3	our people are gone too, so there is shifts. I mean
4	it's very generational, but the RNC is reaching out
5	more so than ever before. It's not about votes, it's
6	about issues and policy. It is something newer for us
7	but I mean I think that's a good sign, I think that's a
8	good sign for the Republican Party is that they are
9	using the resources.
10	MR. SNOW: Alex? Can we just hear from Alex?
11	MS. ACKER: I would just say too that Jane and
12	I had slightly different views of how the state party
13	reacted to young voters because obviously being a 527,
14	the state parties couldn't work directly with YDA this
15	cycle. I would say that, out of the battleground
16	states, there were only a handful that did not actively
17	give money and engage young people in trying to
18	organize young people. And in those states, primarily
19	the two that spring to mind, New Mexico and Iowa, that
20	did not have a specific youth program, those were the
21	two states that flipped from Gore to Bush.
22	So I think that the national party and the
23	state parties see a direct correlation now between
24	young voter organizing and victory, and I think that

1	you are seeing that down the line. I also think we
2	couldn't, perhaps for young people, say what you say
3	about the rest of the party. You couldn't ask for a
4	better spokesperson than Governor Dean to be the
5	chairman of the party right now in speaking to young
6	people and addressing issues that they care about.
7	MS. SNOW: I just want to follow up on Alex,
8	I'm really curious about this, I'm sorry, or to Jane.
9	But for the Democrats, given what happened to you, you
10	lost, how are you going to keep both the focus on youth
11	vote and also keep voters on your side when they feel
12	disenchanted now, they feel discouraged?
13	MS. ACKER: I actually think that they don't
14	feel disenchanted or discouraged, I think that that's
15	been one of the surprising things coming out of this
16	election is that young people are still engaged. There
17	is obviously going to be a small percentage that drop
18	off. I think the work that's being done across the
19	table from me in organizations that are continuing to
20	do mobilizations around issues is very heartening, I
21	think the ideas coming out of the national, state and
22	local party is saying we have to keep doing this, young
23	people actually came out this time, we have to keep
24	engaging them.

1	It's huge because I think the problem that we
2	saw in `92 was Clinton rode in on the youth wave and
3	then the party did nothing to build a continuing
4	infrastructure to keep young people engaged. We did
5	not see Clinton reach out to young people in `96, Al
6	Gore had like two weeks of talking about young people
7	and then it dropped off the planet. Young people were
8	not a targeted demographic for the Democratic Party
9	since 1992. And I think we've learned from that
10	mistake in saying okay, we can count on them to be
11	Democratic voters because we saw in 2000 that you
12	cannot count on them to be Democratic voters. And as a
13	result, we also had a candidate who believed very
14	strongly in reaching out to young people. This was a
15	personal issue for John Kerry, he himself made it a
16	point to address young people and their issues.
17	MS. FLEMING: I think it's important to just
18	look at dollars and look at staffing within state
19	parties, and so time will tell, at the RNC and the DNC,
20	if there is actually a staff member who is dedicated to
21	young people, not just college students and getting
22	them to be volunteers, but actually mobilizing the
23	youth vote, both non-college and college. And if it's
24	not just one person, right because one person is not

1	going to, and all of us know that, being one person on
2	a campaign or one person in an organization, that
3	that's not going to mobilize this constituency.
4	MR. HOPLIN: But, Jane, I would say is there
5	any Democratic youth group on any side that had 60 or
6	more staff members on the college campuses?
7	MS. FLEMING: Yes, actually we did, yes.
8	MR. HOPLIN: For the entire, in the entire
9	election cycle, we had 500 paid staff members worth
10	\$1.3 million?
11	MS. FLEMING: We had 500 paid, we don't pay as
12	well as you do, and we had 36 full-time staffers.
13	MR. HOPLIN: I think if you have 36 and we had
14	60, but your point
15	MS. FLEMING: But how much did you spend? And
16	how many young people did you talk to door to door?
17	MS. SINDERBRAND: I have a quick question for
18	you, I just wanted to follow something you were saying
19	before about creating a model, that was one of your
20	aims was creating a model that perhaps other people
21	would take up, and that was something that Ivan and
22	other people were talking about before in terms of the
23	New Voter Project. When that data comes in and
2.4	actually, for both parties, do you see a willingness.

1	if that data does confirm what he is thinking, that in
2	those counties where those methods were put into
3	effect, it had a real impact on the vote? Do you see a
4	willingness on the part of the parties to put resources
5	into those methods in the future?
6	MS. FLEMING: Well we put the same experiments
7	in place that Ivan did. I mean Ivan and the Young
8	Democrats basically ran parallel programs but ours was
9	partisan and his was non-partisan, and so we Now
10	do I think that that will change? Time will tell. I
11	think it's going to take a lot of election cycles for
12	us to prove ourselves, that when you target young
13	people and you talk to them about issues, that they
14	vote for the candidate and the party that you want them
15	to. It can't just be one election cycle. I would be
16	fooling myself to think that the DNC and candidates
17	across the nation now are going to pour millions of
18	dollars into the youth vote for their campaigns, that's
19	silly, so we have to take a lot of election cycles to
20	prove ourselves.
21	MR. FERGUSON: You've got two things that came
22	out of this last election, one is 20 somethings,
23	college kids, whatever category you want to put them
24	in, is the new minority and campaigns know that. They

have to talk to them and they have to get them to win an election on a national scale. The other thing is you are going to have, for all of us on this side of the table, is we now have legitimacy in what we are trying to do, which is get out the young vote. I can actually pick up the phone now and call someone in a majority position of political power, and they might actually listen to what I have to say about young people. Before this election, the chances of that happening are me winning the lottery twice, it's not going to happen.

MR. SEKULOW: I mean I think it helped show the Republicans, who are now in control, what happened to us. We did focus our our time on volunteers and yes, that worked this time, we don't know what's going to happen with the demographic 18 to 21, I mean they change a lot. Look back in history about the shifts between Vietnam, back to conservative, back and forth. But at the same time, that's a considerable margin, so it gives our leaders, our fund raisers, the people at the top who make things happen that we implement, you have a reason to go after it and a reason to really spend not just resources but time and effort on making sure this is done right, and it's going to take more

1	than two people, one on each side, doing that.
2	MS. SINDERBRAND: Because we do have a model in
3	place for young people, as you described, that was very
4	similar to the model used in other groups, the model
5	used with evangelicals, the peer to peer, the ground
6	marketing, why do you think that that didn't come
7	through?
8	MR. SEKULOW: For us? For this campaign?
9	MS. SINDERBRAND: For you. Was is just forces
10	you couldn't control
11	MR. SEKULOW: Yeah, I really do, I think there
12	is a considerable, and especially in the battleground
13	states where we spend our resources, you had pressure,
14	obviously, on where you are spending your money. You
15	have to realize what we were facing as well, we had the
16	527 groups on campus and they were more than just the
17	young Democrats, Move On had one, ACT had one, so we
18	were outnumbered. I mean the College Republicans, by
19	itself, had more than any Democratic group, just
20	focused on college campuses, but ACT still had 400
21	people in Pennsylvania, 400 staffers in Pennsylvania.
22	They did their job there but then if you look at it,
23	how well they did, why weren't they in Ohio? That's
24	the kind of stuff, you have to think about these

1	things.

- 2 MS. ACKER: That's the question I was asking.
- 3 MR. SEKULOW: But see that was the problem.
- 4 This is the difference between the Bush Campaign and
- 5 the Kerry Campaign, we ran our grassroots and they let
- 6 the 527s run it, and I don't think it worked, I think
- 7 they were mismanaged.
- 8 MS. ACKER: I love when you say let because we
- 9 literally did not coordinate. Jane, Meighan and I were
- 10 all friends before the campaign and I literally did not
- 11 have a conversation with them the entire time we were
- on the campaign.
- 13 MR. SEKULOW: Well I'm not saying that but--
- 14 MR. FERGUSON: What you are talking about the
- reality is is that they helped you a lot.
- 16 MR. SEKULOW: Which is great if you are, if I'm
- on the campaign--
- 18 MS. ACKER: I'm not denying that.
- MR. SEKULOW: --I'd be thrilled to have them.
- 20 We didn't, I was frustrated that we didn't have them.
- 21 MS. ACKER: But you spent all your money on the
- 22 Swift Boat ads.
- 23 MR. FOURNIER: Let me change the subject real
- 24 quick.

1	MR. FERGUSON: Since some of the young people
2	had so much cash, I'll give you that, you're right.
3	MR. FOURNIER: Can we start, the two campaign
4	folks, why is it that when people under 30 were asked
5	for the most important issue, 14 percent said Iraq, 16
6	percent said terror, 21 said economy and I think about
7	a half of the youth that didn't vote put the economy as
8	their number one issue, and 22 percent said moral
9	values? I would have thought Iraq would have been
10	higher.
11	MS. ACKER: Well I would love to address that
12	question because I think this is something that the
13	Democratic Party as a whole has not addressed. When
14	people say moral values, you assume that those voters
15	are Republicans and I would strongly disagree with that
16	statement. To me, the War on Iraq is a moral issue. I
17	am pro choice, family planning is a moral issue. And I
18	would say that my opposition to the war in Iraq is
19	because of my morals and my beliefs, so I don't think
20	that you can clump moral voters into a conservative
21	voting block.
22	MR. SEKULOW: I think it works both sides too,
23	I think some of ours are mixed in with that 22 percent
24	and some of theirs because our evangelical students

1	which is a big chunk of voters, as you talked about,
2	how many students that are college campuses that attend
3	church regularly and that kind of thing. Those things
4	you can't just categorize, oh, those were all the
5	Republicans, there is all the Democrats.
6	MR. FOURNIER: I don't think you'll see that
7	phrasing on the next exit poll. How about economy?
8	Why was economy higher than Iraq? That one really
9	stumped me.
10	MS. ACKER: Young people are the number one
11	most unemployed demographic.
12	MS. FLEMING: It's actually one of out bullet
13	points, no health care, no WMD, no jobs.
14	MR. HOPLIN: I think a lot of people, we've
15	been told, our generation has been told, you know, in a
16	theory, go to school, get an education, get a four year
17	degree, get out and get a good job. You are living the
18	American dream and all of a sudden, you realize, when
19	you are getting out of school, some universities were
20	handing out bumper stickers that said go to grad
21	school, it's easier than getting a job, and I think
22	there is a lot of truth to that.
23	MR. FOURNIER: Did the Kerry and Bush
24	Campaigns, when you were targeting youths, use the

1	economy as an issue
2	MS. ACKER: Absolutely.
3	MR. FOURNIER:more than you did on the
4	national level?
5	MR. SEKULOW: Yeah, and what we were trying to
6	say is we talked about different growth options and
7	things like that, and then I think the Kerry Campaign
8	was saying the opposite, they cut taxes, they did
9	different things, and I think we definitely used the
10	economy as an issue. For us, at the time, looking at
11	college students, it was a weaker issue for us to use,
12	it was not one we wanted to just throw out there
13	without having a real response and know what we were
14	going to talk about. So we talked a lot about the
15	future, a lot about savings accounts, personal savings
16	accounts, Social Security reform, those kind of things,
17	saying, listen, we are trying to do things for you in
18	the future that will give you more money.
19	MS. FLEMING: And education as well
20	MR. SEKULOW: Right.
21	MR. FERGUSON: Because I mean that was one of
22	our strong points. If you look at how much money went
23	into future college funds and education for young
24	people, Bush had done a lot on that without it being

1	publicized.
2	MS. ACKER: Like cutting Pell grants?
3	MR. FERGUSON: What did you say?
4	MS. FLEMING: Like cutting Pell Grants?
5	MR. FERGUSON: Cutting? Have you seen how much
6	money he has given to education?
7	MS. SNOW: We are not going to get into a
8	political debate here.
9	MS. ACKER: I would say the economy, for us,
10	when John Kerry talked to young people about the
11	economy, it was that all issues were essentially tied
12	together. Young people didn't have jobs, they didn't
13	have health insurance and they were dramatically in
14	debt, both because of higher education costs and things
15	like credit card companies targeting young people. I
16	think you can tie issues of personal debt all together,
17	which was a huge issue that the campaign addressed,
18	especially in the last month.
19	MR. FOURNIER: That answers the question, thank
20	you.
21	MS. SNOW: I'm wondering about local versus
22	national, and maybe this is to the party people. Is it
23	better to focus on national issues with the youth vote,
24	do the campaigns think? Or is it better to micro, to

1	focus micro, to focus at home, to focus on things like
2	Pell Grants?
3	MS. SINDERBRAND: Just following on that, by
4	the way, sort of a question I was asking before about
5	when you are targeting college students specifically,
6	you are targeting college students who maybe are going
7	to school in Pennsylvania but they are from, you know,
8	they are from Rhode Island which is going to go
9	Democrat, or vice versa. Is there a different way to
10	reach those students?
11	MS. FLEMING: It's actually an excellent
12	question, it's actually something that we'll be testing
13	in Virginia. We are going into Virginia with our new
14	voter model and we are testing state-based issues and
15	regional issues, and the messages is that we do, both
16	in our materials and when we go door to door, and we
17	are going to be taking out adds in college newspapers
18	and that thing, first it is what we did in this past
19	cycle which was all national messages.
20	And so I have a feeling that the local messages
21	are going to resonate more with young people because
22	it's in their back yard and that's what's hitting them
23	face to face, but I still think that we'll use, we'll
24	still use some national issues like Social Security

1	will still be an issue that we talk about in the
2	campaign that we are going into in Virginia.
3	MR. FOURNIER: Are you guys going to use
4	Virginia as a test case?
5	MR. SEKULOW: Well I'm working on a different
6	campaign now, so I can't answer on Virginia. But what,
7	I think the best point here is there is a difference
8	between a national election though, too, and a
9	gubernatorial race in Virginia, a big difference. It's
10	a lot easier to go to just Virginia students
11	MS. SNOW: I was actually asking when you are
12	in a national year, when you are in an `04 or an `08,
13	is it better to focus macro or is it better to focus
14	micro?
15	MS. ACKER: I think it's always a combination
16	of them.
17	MR. SEKULOW: You do both, you micro target
18	when you can but you can't catch every student that
19	might be going to school in a different state.
20	MR. FERGUSON: I mean when I went to some
21	places at the end of the campaign, the first question I
22	was asked was, you know, you have the forum, and the
23	kids would walk up to the microphone and go why is
24	President Bush for the draft? Well that's an issue

1	that, is it national or is it local? Well if we are in
2	a military town, the question usually did not get asked
3	because they knew the answer. But if you went to a
4	place that wasn't, that's the first thing they wanted
5	to know and I would say he is not, he never has been,
6	he has never said that. Well we were told that he is
7	for the draft and if he gets reelected, that we are
8	going to have a draft. Well then you have to spend 20
9	minutes trying to convince them that wherever this came
10	from, it's not a reality.
11	MR. HOPLIN: And I would say on the topic of
12	issues, Ron, you had asked earlier if we didn't, if the
13	Republican Party or the president didn't win the youth
14	vote now, you are never going to have a chance to win
15	them because traditionally they vote, I would say two
16	things to that
17	MR. FOURNIER: I mean this age group, as it
18	gets older.
19	MR. HOPLIN: Right, it gets more difficult.
20	But I think issues are going to play a big role in that
21	and I think as young people graduate and depend on a
22	full-time salary to support their families, when they
23	are paying more in taxes, they are going to start to
24	wonder which party they should be a part of When you

1	have important national issues, like the president's
2	leadership and the War on Terror, people think, well,
3	he is keeping us safe.
4	And so I think that issues are more important
5	than mechanics of registering people to vote and things
6	like that. And so this was a snapshot in the 2004
7	election and I don't know that it can portend where all
8	young people are going to go in the future because of
9	the issues.
10	But another thought on the other side I would
11	like to mention about it is there were several polls, a
12	month or two before the election, that were showing it
13	neck and neck, amongst the youth vote, between Kerry
14	and Bush. And I honestly believe that I noticed a 100
15	percent turnaround when the issue started to become the
16	draft. I think a lot of young people just got scared,
17	they were misinformed.
18	Well, when it became a big part of the debate,
19	the president was mentioning it in the presidential
20	debates, I think a lot of support eroded with that and

I don't think that's a permanent erosion. I think,

since there is no draft, since no one is being drafted,

I think young people are not going to say, well, I'm a

21

22

23

24

Democrat--

1	MS. SINDERBRAND: Let me, I'm sorry but just on
2	the issues, the question of moral values was one of the
3	number one issues, and you look at the polling on
4	specific issues like gay marriage, where young people
5	are markedly more progressive, more liberal in their
6	views than their parents are, and I'm curious to what
7	extent either of you think that that played into the
8	result?
9	MR. SEKULOW: Well we had to look at that, that
10	goes back to my question. We knew young people were
11	more likely to not support the president's initiative
12	against gay marriage and because of that, and we knew,
13	I mean the percentages were really high. You are not
14	going to just mass target young people, you have to
15	really go micro target the ones who have got views like
16	that or are more likely to be persuaded to that side or
17	we are wasting our time as a campaign.
18	As a voter registration group, you're not.
19	There is a big difference here between the two of us
20	and I think that's the important thing to point out.
21	I'm ont against voter registration, neither is the
22	camp, but when you are looking at it from a campaign

people in the pro life group.

aspect, Alex doesn't want us to go register a bunch of

23

24

1	MS. SINDERBRAND: In terms of those long term
2	trends that you are talking about, I mean you are
3	talking about when young people grow up and economic
4	concerns come to the forefront. But looking at the
5	long term trends, you are looking at this group coming
6	up where their social views are very different than the
7	generation that came before them.
8	MR. HOPLIN: On that issue and it depends on
9	how active they are in that issue, how important that
10	issue is to them. Will they weigh that against tax
11	cuts? Will they weigh that against protecting American
12	and global security? Will they weigh that against
13	Social Security and will it be around for them? And so
14	maybe that one issue is not going towards the
15	Republican Party but I think there are other issues
16	that are.
17	(Multiple people speaking)
18	MR. KING: I have a question that is related to
19	something you just raised and that is knowing what kind
20	of voter was interested in what sort of thing. We
21	heard earlier that the voter files were hard to work
22	with, to say the least, they may be better after HAVA
23	is fully implemented. Could you all describe for us
2.4	how you came up with student addresses, phone numbers.

1	the database collection on things such as church
2	attendance, magazine subscriptions, television viewing?
3	We know that you all had sophisticated models to try
4	and predict the likelihood of different kinds of votes
5	and activities, how were those gathered? Who did them?
6	And what did you do with them?
7	MS. ACKER: Do you want to start?
8	MR. SEKULOW: Sure. We did it a few different
9	ways. Much like our church directory program, which
10	was very controversial, we had college students collect
11	their directories. I'm sure any student at Harvard can
12	probably get a full directory, or at least the school
13	they are in, and all the people's, now it might not
14	have the right phone number, it might have a dorm room,
15	but it at least gives us their e-mail and it at least
16	lets us know where we are. Then we can voter vault
17	names, and things like that and check
18	Micro targeting, which is very, very expensive
19	and pretty new on campaigns, which we used in only a
20	few states, lets you know hundreds of things about a
21	person whether they are a college student or not. I'm
22	talking what magazine subscribe to, if they go to
23	church regularly, what car they drive, all of these,
24	but the list is gigantic of how you can sort it, so

1	MR. KING: Walk through how you got that data
2	and how you used it.
3	MR. SEKULOW: It's a corporation that has it,
4	it's the same that you do with direct mail.
5	MS. GREENE: It's from a variety of sources.
6	MR. SEKULOW: I mean you can get it. It's like
7	if you were a credit card company and wanted to send
8	out your credit card to targeted folks.
9	MS. ACKER: That's one of the lessons that
10	we've taken from the campaign. We've actually just
11	partnered with Info USA, which is the largest direct
12	mail company, to look at how we can micro target young
13	people, and match them up to the voter file and pull
14	those ones out that are not registered to vote. Thanks
15	to the great work that the Republicans did with it, we
16	want to follow in their shoes.
17	MR. SEKULOW: You've got to be very well
18	funded. It would be very hard for even a statewide
19	campaign to do it in their state.
20	MS. ACKER: I would say too just the
21	differences in state voter files alone is a huge
22	obstacle to any kind of national campaign in that the
23	Wisconsin voter file is nonexistent versus some state
24	that have state of the art, enhanced voter files that

1	go down to things like magazine subscriptions. Ours
2	was very much state by state, our state parties would
3	determine what kind of information they would add into
4	the voter file and then our young activists, including
5	young activist and campus activists would then enhance
6	that data with whatever names they were able to get by
7	going door to door in dorms, doing voter registration
8	drives on campuses, etcetera. I would say it was
9	probably very similar, except I think that we probably
10	left a lot more up to the state discretion.
11	MR. KING: What should we expect in the near
12	future in terms of voter ID? How are you going to use
13	these databases? What's going to surprise us?
14	MS. ACKER: I mean voter file technology is a
15	newfound passion of mine, simply because I've realized
16	how important it is, and I think that you're finding
17	more and more innovative technologies. And this was
18	the really the first year that the Democratic Party
19	used voter file enhancement data from the private
20	sector to be able to help us target voters more
21	effectively. I would say that the advent of being able
22	to collect cell phone numbers, and e-mail addresses and
23	include that in voter files is huge, especially for
24	this demographic.

1	MR. SEKULOW: Well if you are talking about
2	youth, a phone number, unless it's a cell phone number,
3	it isn't going to last six months.
4	MS. FLEMING: And the voter file is an issue
5	for young people because even with the 527s that we are
6	working in, because we bought into the America Votes
7	table which gave us access to the big voter file, but
8	young people get cut off of all these voter files, and
9	so it was significantly harder for us to really talk to
10	young people at their doors or to append our
11	nontraditional contacts that we got at clubs, and bars
12	and coffee shops back to the big voter file that
13	everybody was using because they thought that that
14	would somehow make the data non-credible.
15	And so young people, once again, faced this
16	barrier of we got their cell phone, we got their e-
17	mail, we got their new address, but we can't put them
18	back onto the voter file because of this system that's
19	in place.
20	MR. FOURNIER: There is no way to fix that
21	system?
22	MS. FLEMING: Not during the election cycle
23	there wasn't, and so we actually had to, Ryan knows
24	this firsthand we had to create this whole separate

1	voter file system, outside of what we paid for when
2	what we thought we were going to be able to use this
3	sophisticated voter file system, so it's something that
4	we are working with other progressive
5	MR. FERGUSON: Part of it is it changes. I
6	mean you almost have to start anew in four years
7	because I don't have the same e-mail address, I don't
8	have a .edu anymore. I'm done with that part of my
9	life and we know that, and so you are going to see,
10	that's why you are never going to see, in general, the
11	Republicans just go out and just register anyone, we
12	are going to figure out where we are going to register
13	people that more likely are going to vote for us. I
14	mean yes you want people to vote but you are not going
15	to just go out there and be blind to your mission, your
16	mission is to get the people that are going to vote for
17	you to vote for you.
18	And so that's why I think you see what Jordan
19	and I were talking about. We went where we knew the
20	people we could get would vote for us, we are not going
21	to go register, in a sense, the enemy, we are going to
22	make sure we are going forward and not just we are
23	going to register everyone we can.
24	MS. ACKER: And conversely, we asked our campus

1	activists to look at past voting behavior on their
2	campus and if their campus voted 60 percent or more for
3	a Democratic candidate, we encouraged them to do what's
4	called a blind poll where you essentially go door to
5	door in your dorm and encourage everyone to vote.
6	MR. FOURNIER: I'm just wondering if your guys,
7	if the Republican advantage in this election was your
8	ability to micro target and like you say, find the
9	people who would vote for you or who are more likely
10	to. If because those people are harder to collect this
11	data on, if that's one of the disadvantages you had and
12	if so, how do you improve that next time?
13	MR. FERGUSON: I think one of the things you
14	can look at, as far as campaign is part of it, when we
15	were in New York, is the question came up why has
16	President Bush not done MTV? The first candidate in
17	history not to do it. Well, the bottom line is, from
18	my opinion, after the fact, is why would I want him to
19	do that? Because two reasons, one, that's not his
20	constituency overall; two, we thought we tapped into
21	the people that would be watching MTV that would vote
22	for us; and three, it gives some legitimacy to the
23	whole issue of the non-partisan, which we really didn't
24	think was that non-partisan. I mean whether you

1	disagree with it or not, I think that's how we felt.
2	That's the micro targeting
3	MR. FOURNIER: Is it hard to microtarget this?
4	MR. FERGUSON: It is. In what we do, our micro
5	targeting for youth is different than the micro
6	targeting for a 40 year old. What we do is we micro
7	target a group. Evangelical students, you go right to
8	all those groups in a big school, in a state school
9	with 30,000
10	MR. FOURNIER: But you don't micro target the
11	youth vote?
12	MR. FOURNIER: Well it's very difficult
13	because
13	Decause
14	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or
14	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or
14 15	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or as evangelical students, is there a specific way that
14 15 16	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or as evangelical students, is there a specific way that you target these groups, if there are young people, as
14 15 16 17	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or as evangelical students, is there a specific way that you target these groups, if there are young people, as opposed to
14 15 16 17 18	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or as evangelical students, is there a specific way that you target these groups, if there are young people, as opposed to MR. SEKULOW: Well you can go into the clubs
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or as evangelical students, is there a specific way that you target these groups, if there are young people, as opposed to MR. SEKULOW: Well you can go into the clubs MR. FOURNIER: Do you view them any
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or as evangelical students, is there a specific way that you target these groups, if there are young people, as opposed to MR. SEKULOW: Well you can go into the clubs MR. FOURNIER: Do you view them any differently? Is there a way to micro target
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SINDERBRAND:targeting evangelicals or as evangelical students, is there a specific way that you target these groups, if there are young people, as opposed to MR. SEKULOW: Well you can go into the clubs MR. FOURNIER: Do you view them any differently? Is there a way to micro target MS. SINDERBRAND: Yeah, differently?

1	MS. FLEMING: You can, it's just more
2	complicated, right?
3	MS. SINDERBRAND: So you are basically getting
4	them through their parents' church?
5	MR. SEKULOW: No, on their campus
6	MS. SINDERBRAND:student council groups
7	MR. SEKULOW: There are Christian clubs at like
8	a state university with 4,000 students with 4,000
9	people on their e-mail list
10	MS. FLEMING: We're not talking about
11	non-college young people, we are talking about young
12	people who are working class folks who may not have
13	gone to college or who are out of college, so
14	MR. SEKULOW: Well I was just talking about
15	once instance that
16	MR. FOURNIER: So you are saying even when you
17	had them, it was harder to target them because you
18	couldn't append them to the
19	MS. FLEMING: Right, and then when you are
20	talking about developing walk lists and all this stuff
21	for a field campaign, it was
22	MR. FOURNIER: So where do we go from here?
23	How do this look four years from now?
24	MR. FERGUSON: Well, one, I think that I know,

1	for us, we realized we've kind of figured out how we
2	are work college campuses, now it's figuring out how
3	you are going to work young people that aren't in
4	college. I still think that's a major thing for, I
5	mean for both parties.
6	MR. SEKULOW: We've got, people talk about
7	NASCAR dads, what about the NASCAR 20 year old?
8	like NASCAR too and I went to college but it doesn't
9	matter, there is a large, very large voting segment out
10	there, that doesn't go to college, that is maybe still
11	not voting and I think both sides kind of have them up
12	for grabs. They are different depending if you are
13	urban, or rural or what state you are in and I think
14	that's a new area for us because, again, I get back to
15	the college students in a lot of these battlegrounds,
16	minus a few schools, you kind of know how people are
17	going to go.
18	MR. FRISHBERG: It seems to me the whole debate
19	to me is like the Al Gore's stump speech things, like
20	what's up is down and what's down should be up. Because
21	the Republicans have, for a long time now, won
22	elections, not a long but a relatively long time, won
23	elections through a new voter model, a 72 hour project
24	going out and engaging in registration, which is not

1	micro targeted. You could say it's how they live
2	targeted, so you go to places but you are not doing it
3	from the voter file, and but you've been using a new
4	voter model.
5	Democrats come late to the game in 2004 and go
6	we've got to do that to win and they did it
7	successfully with youth, Republicans did it
8	successfully with every other part of the population
9	and now you are kind of switching around. I mean in
10	terms of Jane saying Democrats aren't going to be able
11	to keep pulling this off and you're kind of going,
12	well, maybe we will, maybe we won't.
13	But the micro targeting debate, I think, is a
14	really dangerous one for young people because it cuts
15	totally against the new voter model and the Democrats
16	are going you've got to reach out and do a 50 state
17	strategy, you have to go out there and find these
18	people and talk to them, and that's not something you
19	do from the voter file.
20	And the voter file and micro targeting I think
21	for a long time will be problematic for young people
22	and that the success of this election was that kind of
23	the old model for how you target in campaigns, which is
24	if they never or rarely vote you never ever talk to

1	them and reach out to them, that the success for
2	Democrats, for young people, was that they abandoned
3	this. And I think a lot of this has to do with getting
4	out beyond the micro targeting thing and really talking
5	to people who, you know, you've got to engage them and
6	win them over. And most young people, as David is
7	pointing out, early on in their life, are not
8	predisposed to being one way or another. There are
9	people at this table who had
10	MR. FOURNIER: When I'm saying micro targeting,
11	I'm not talking about just going after certain voters
12	because you get the channels, new channels to go after
13	to the voters who you think you can touch.
14	MR. FRISHBERG: Maybe it goes to the definition
15	of micro targeting but that's maybe just those
16	MR. FOURNIER: I'm not saying
17	MR. FRISHBERG:worth pointing out is how
18	they lived then.
19	MR. FRIEDRICHS: My question was on an outreach
20	to Hispanic and Latino voters, I wanted to hear both
21	sides talk about that. It seems like one of the few
22	groups that you buck the trend of young people who move
23	to the left to a degree and they seem not to, and
24	clearly you can get into micro aspects of this

1	Catholic Hispanic males, etcetera. But in general, one
2	of Ron's points earlier, that is a booming population,
3	that is a big part of the future in politics, just like
4	this generation is, and their first vote matters a lot.
5	So this aspect of it I think is really interesting and
6	I would like to hear people's thoughts on that.
7	MS. ACKER: We were not able to do as much
8	overlapping with our Hispanic outreach program as I
9	would have like, we faced a barrier in resources, to be
10	frank, in being able to produce a lot of our young
11	voter materials in Spanish. It was the wheels were
12	turning and then, at the end of the day, the money
13	wasn't there, which was very disappointing to me. But
14	I am curious about the Hispanic numbers, I would like
15	to know in what areas, I'm just interested in what
16	areas those were in, I would be curious to know of
17	those were also areas where there were high voter
18	suppression tactics against Hispanics as well. But in
19	general, our
20	MR. SEKULOW: No comment, I mean this
21	MS. ACKER: In general, our Hispanic outreach
22	program, like a lot of our youth program, was run
23	through surrogates, and we just had an advantage in
24	having a lot of young Hispanic celebrities and young

1	Hispanic members of Congress, like the Sanchez sisters,
2	who went out and did surrogate programs for us.
3	MR. FOURNIER: Did the Republicans do something
4	more novel than that?
5	MR. SEKULOW: We did. What we did, and this is
6	the interesting thing about it in the way, I saw next
7	to our Hispanic outreach director for a lot of the
8	campaign, before we kept getting moved around inside
9	the building, and we did it two ways and one is if they
10	are a college student, which kind of fell under me, we
11	would outreach through that way, we would have Hispanic
12	outreach tiers in some campuses with large Hispanic
13	populations, so we did try to micro target. They also
14	found with the Catholic, we would have Catholic
15	outreach folks in places that had high Catholic
16	populations on their college campus.
17	But then again, the Hispanic community as well,
18	we did better it, and I think that that's a strong
19	family unit there, so they have very strong family
20	bonds, it's known for that. So if your parents are
21	moving one way, I think that's another group too that
22	might move that way as well, especially with the
23	Catholic vote, it's kind of over-arching.
24	MR. HOPLIN: We spent a long time on it as

1	well. We have a national program called My Party,
2	Manny Espinoza is my national first vice chairman and
3	leads that. We had several of our 60 field staff
4	members were Hispanic and parts of the website are in
5	Spanish, we had a lot of our recruiting materials in
6	Spanish.
7	But more than the Hispanics, we really spent a
8	lot of time focusing on women. Half of my field staff,
9	of the 60, were females because we wanted them to know
10	that this is not the party of rich, old white guys and
11	that they have a place at the table, we want them to be
12	involved.
13	And when I started this just a few years ago,
14	we had one state leader that was female and now we have
15	about a third of our state leaders are female because I
16	think we've done a good job reaching out to them, and
17	we are going to continue to push both Hispanics,
18	African American outreach and women.
19	MS. SNOW: Can I switch a, just slightly switch
20	topic? Meighan, we haven't heard from you and I'm
21	really curious, you are with Move One, right? Or you
22	were with Move On?
23	MS. DAVIS: Yeah.

MS. SNOW: 2008, as you look ahead, I mean

24

1	somebody called it a perfect storm this past year.
2	There were issues that young people cared about, there
3	were candidates that they obviously cared about, a lot
4	of them cared about Kerry. If you look to 2008 and
5	it's an open field, do you think we are going to have
6	the same sense of excitement, or is it going to be an
7	uphill battle for the parties, or for Move On or a
8	group like it to get people as involved, if we don't
9	have the same perfect storm?
10	MS. DAVIS: Well I can say, well, first off,
11	our program was not part of the Move On PAC program
12	where we had 500 field staff. But one thing that Move
13	On is doing now for 2008 that I hope the DNC does in
14	and the party learns is they are trying to create that
15	permanent field and they are going to be hiring more
16	people to be out in the field talking with the voters
17	and setting up the precinct captains and the
18	neighborhood captains right now and continue that
19	through the next presidential.
20	So, hopefully, in terms of the youth vote,
21	garnering that excitement, the one thing it's, it's
22	great that we are on this panel, and we are learning so
23	much and our side won, but we're battling for money
24	right now to stay alive so that we are not doing this

1	every for years and that we are not being, it's great
2	that we did all this work in `04, like peace, we'll see
3	you in `08
4	Laughter)
5	MS. DAVIS: We're not
6	MS. SNOW: Well that's what I'm wondering, how
7	do you sustain the excitement?
8	MR. FERGUSON: It's hard because your voter
9	block changes, the people that are going to be
10	possibilities are 14, 15, 16, 17 now. How do you even
11	know what a 14 year old is going to care about in 2008?
12	Or a 15 year old or a 16, you just don't know. And
13	the thing is I think why people were so secure with
14	money is because it was a perfect storm. I mean how
15	much more intense could it get than this election to
16	get young people involved?
17	And the bottom line was it wasn't a massive
18	turnout, so if I'm a guy that has politically, you
19	know, whatever, and I'm looking where I'm going to give
20	my money, am I going to give my money to a huge
21	question mark, basing it 14, 15, 16, 17 year olds? No.
22	And I think that's a problem all of us are going to
23	have is, yeah, we have some legitimacy now but can I
24	get big bucks? I don't know.

1	MS. WALKER: I have a question and I have a
2	comment and let me just say that, Ben, this is directed
3	at you. Let me just say I had no dog in this fight, I
4	didn't care who won, the project we ran didn't care who
5	won and I think anybody that worked on it will tell you
6	that we never once had a partisan conversation.
7	I find it though highly problematic that you
8	wouldn't want the president to go on MVT, not a)
9	because you are assuming that MTV viewers don't care
10	what the president has to say; but b) I think it's, I
11	mean MTV is as close as we get to having a national
12	platform to talk to young people and it seems really
13	important for the legitimacy of young people but also
14	just for the legitimacy of American politics that the
15	president is speaking directly to young people. And so
16	I just make that editorial
17	MR. FERGUSON: I'm glad you made that comment
18	because, and that's my own personal, first, I was
19	going, why isn't he? But then, when I think about it,
20	I mean the bottom line was when I looked at the
21	coverage from MTV and I look at the people that were
22	out there, by and large they were not for us. And when
23	T see that I think the president said I'll make my own

agenda, I am the president and I'm going to talk to

24

1	them directly, and he'll send young people people to
2	talk to them directly and that's what we did because if
3	you went there, did it help? No. So if he talks to
4	them directly, then at least he gets all of his side
5	out there and he doesn't have to worry about, I mean
6	dealing with things like
7	MR. FOURNIER: What's more direct that him
8	talking on MTV in front of a group of kids than talking
9	to them? How was what you did
10	MS. GREENE:the most amount of young people
11	in this country than to use MTV?
12	MS. FLEMING: Republican kids watch MTV, I mean
13	Republican kids watch MTV.
14	MS. ACKER: The campaign cared enough to send
15	John McCain as a surrogate but didn't send the
16	president.
17	MS. WALKER: Can I actually ask my substantive
18	question which is what are the three things that you
19	would need, whether it's information, whether it's
20	research, whether it's analysis, whether it is, I know
21	you are going to say dollars, so let's take dollars of
22	the table, but what are the three things that you guys
23	would need in order to make the case in 2006 and 2008
24	to your bosses that it's worth spending real dollars to

1	register and mobilize young people?
2	MR. SEKULOW: You know what I think would be a
3	really cool thing for you all to do and I think you
4	should, like places like Virginia where there is going
5	to be a lot of attention coming up soon, is do some
6	research into it, see what the young people are and
7	then show the two campaigns this is how many people you
8	have, this is who has kind of decided, this is who has
9	not. And that's a good way, you've got to start small,
10	you can't go right to the national level. It all
11	starts, people that worked our campaigns worked on
12	another campaign before, so they just see it work on a
13	small level. 72 Hour, I worked on in its first year,
14	was done in only ten states, now it's done in every
15	state, and the RNC does have a 50 state program, the
16	Bush Campaign was different.
17	MR. FOURNIER: What's the answer to
18	What is the argument you would make?
19	MR. SEKULOW: That I think the groups here, the
20	non-partisan research groups should do some research
21	into just some local statewide races, get them to those
22	campaigns and then really show the campaigns, make a
23	presentation
24	(Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., there was a short recess due to a

1	building evacuation.)
2	(4:22 p.m.)
3	MR. KING: So the dirty tricks campaign was
4	effective, I'm not sure which party did it but
5	congratulations, it was well timed. And we'll spend
6	another five minutes or so on partisan aspects, turn to
7	Rebecca or to Kate?
8	MS. SNOW: I would love to ask the same
9	question that I asked of the non-partisans. Don't
10	think I'm cynical but I would love to ask the failure
11	question, the what did you do, and we sort of touched
12	on some things, but what failed? What didn't work?
13	Just in the interest of honest disclosure here.
14	MS. ACKER: I would just say, as kind of part
15	of the larger Democratic Party/campaign organizing
16	structure, it was very disconnected. It was very hard
17	for us to be able to know what actually was going on on
18	the ground in the state unless we were there or unless
19	we had a very involved campaign person on the ground
20	there. And similarly, the funding was so disconnected
21	that I still can't get actual hard numbers on how much
22	money was spent on youth organizing in various states,
23	I can't find out how much money was spent on ad buys in
24	various states. So I think that's more a nature of how

the campaign as a whole was run and we just consequently suffered from it as well.

MR. SEKULOW: We did two things, the RNC

implemented, and I think it started off working well, it was just a big thing, we hired College Republican field staff and it did a really good job. I think if we would have hired them earlier, we would have had a lot more help, that we hired them a couple of months before, and Hugh was part of that program and we all helped out and tried to find the right people, but we didn't have the resources to hire the folks until a couple of months before the election, so our best people were already in school, you know, you lose your scholarship if you try to, but that's one thing.

The other thing is I think we could have spent more resources on the youth vote in general and I think looking back now, looking at the poll, looking at the numbers and the youth vote, it would have been great to do that. I don't know if the money was there that time, I wasn't at that level in the campaign, so I couldn't make that call but, from my personal experience and the same kind of things. Once you build a big organization, it can be very hard to keep everything on track because everyone is in different

1	states, and there is hundreds of people an point staff
2	and I mean it's the same kinds of things at every
3	campaign, but technology is getting better and I think
4	that's going to help a lot.
5	MS. ACKER: I would also echo that I wish we
6	had started earlier, and something that I think I
7	touched on with the Hispanic outreach question was just
8	extended outreach in general, just based on resources,
9	we had to narrow who we targeted and we targeted campus
10	students overwhelmingly more than non-student youth and
11	I wish we had more time and resources to be able to
12	reach out to non-student youth. We targeted young
13	African Americans and young women.
14	MS. SNOW: You both need to make sure that a
15	transcript of this is available to your successor,
16	since you are both leaving the position.
17	MS. ACKER: And to our current party chairman.
18	MS. DAVIS: Well I mean we had \$300,000, I mean
19	we weren't like that day at Move On, with millions and
20	millions of dollars, and we had three 23 year olds who
21	had laptops. So I think we accomplished a lot in terms
22	of what we did, we vetted out 50,000 volunteers to ACT,
23	we sent 10,000 of our campus volunteers to the Young
24	Voter Alliance and to 21st Century Democrats. But the

1	biggest problem for us was we did get that late start,
2	we didn't launch until like the second week of
3	September so we only had about two weeks, in terms of
4	deadlines for voter registration, to get that happening
5	and for folks to sort of implement this Tammy Baldwin
6	model where they were doing the dorm storms and the
7	vote mobs.
8	But I think one thing a lot of groups we're
9	trying to build this year, that didn't quite happen,
10	was this political friendster, everybody was spending
11	like \$50,000 to \$100,000 to build that technology. We
12	had this vote multiplier where people could load up
13	their face book addresses, and they could load up their
14	Outlook addresses and then send them an instant
15	message, send them a flash movie and an issue card,
16	reminder e-mail on the day of and also a text message.
17	And out of the 250,000 people on our list that we
18	worked with, we only had about 4,000 unique users who
19	only uploaded about 40,000 e-mail addresses and only
20	sent about 7,000 of those persuasion cards, so that was
21	a huge loss for us in terms of our budget.
22	MS. FLEMING: But what people did download on
23	your website were the organizing documents.
24	MS. DAVIS: Yeah, that goes back to we spend

1	our time creating tool kits because all we did, we
2	didn't have folks out in the field, all we had was our
3	partners, so we wanted to engage the self-organizers,
4	the folks out in Omaha, Nebraska, who weren't being
5	talked to by anybody, and get them the tools that they
6	needed to run their own campaign.
7	And then also one thing that we saw, in terms
8	of student organizing, was there weren't a whole lot of
9	resources. Like you might find an organizing manual
10	but where are you going to find that poster that you
11	can download instead of sending a dollar into the Kerry
12	Campaign to get a bunch?
13	So we uploaded just posters that people could
14	print off from their computer in the dorm room and then
15	plaster their campus, and we used all of those sort of
16	really just old hat things that we should have done
17	effectively.
18	MR. FERGUSON: And I don't know if it's so much
19	what didn't work, it was more of not having enough time
20	to do what did work better, do more of that, and I

MR. FERGUSON: And I don't know if it's so much what didn't work, it was more of not having enough time to do what did work better, do more of that, and I think part of it is, what I hope doesn't happen in four years, is the people on both sides that were involved with the youth side had gumption. I mean we were at it one, and that's one reason why I can respect some

21

22

23

24

people in the other capaign is because we both believed
in what are were doing so much that I hope it doesn't
become a career, where you have people that are a lot
older that try to say okay, I can bank some serious
cash on this, and I'm going to run this organization
and I'm going to act like I know. Because I'm probably
not going to know in four years what young people care
about near as much as I do now because I am young. And
so I hope that you see that young people keep it going
and instead of having a 45 year old pollster come in
and try to start it all, which is what I'm afraid might
happen in four years from now.
MS. FLEMING: For us, the voter file was a big
lesson for us, it's something that we are continuing to
work on, making sure that that technology that we have
is going to be useful and that we are able to print
walk lists in time, rather than spending a lot of
resources and time on creating walk lists by hand and
things like that.
And then the other thing, I think, is training

And then the other thing, I think, is training our canvassers better. We thought we did a good job but when we spot checked and things like that, and the nature of the new voter model that we created during the election cycle, I think that we have to do a better

job at training our canvassers with issues and things like that.

MS. GREENE: One of the things that we had hoped for was that the partisan organizations were going to purchase our list at a higher rate than they did. I think the Republican side, again, those hundreds of thousands of young Republicans that we registered should have been contacted by the campaigns, and that list was not purchased. I'm not really clear on the details with Democrats, how they used the list, but I think that it could have been done more effectively for Democrats.

When we first sat down with both of the campaigns, there was a big openness or more of an openness from the Bush Campaign than I would say we initially received from the Kerry Campaign. The way I describe it is that the Kerry Campaign went from rolling their eyes --. Not to point anyone out but Steve Elmandore sat in a meeting with me, Alex, I think you were there, and rolled his eyes and had nothing really that he wanted to say or hear about what we were doing. And then a few weeks out from the election, it's not rolling your eyes, your depending on the youth vote and so I do think that Democrats really came to it

1	late.
2	There was a shift I think Jordan mentioned that
3	happened within the Bush Campaign where they may have
4	been more open than less, as they got closer to
5	MS. SNOW: He was rolling his eyes because he
6	just didn't believe, because of all the things we
7	talked about earlier in terms of people not showing up
8	to vote?
9	MS. GREENE: It was one of probably the most
10	like offensive meetings I've had in a long time, where
11	it was very clear that he did not believe or have any
12	interest in what numbers we were showing him, what our
13	effort was going to be for that cycle. And then it
14	completely changed, all the way to how Senator Kerry
15	responded to Rock the Vote weeks before the election.
16	I think they came really late to the
17	MR. FOURNIER: He was a political director on
18	the Kerry Campaign the, right?
19	MS. GREENE: Yes.
20	MR. FOURNIER: When was this meeting?
21	MS. GREENE: Alex, do you remember when that
22	was?
23	MS. ACKER: I believe it was like right when we
24	started.

1	MS. GREENE: It was definitely pre-summer.
2	MR. FOURNIER: Who did you meet with on the
3	Bush Campaign?
4	MS. GREENE: We were dealing with Jordan and
5	MR. SEKULOW: There was a whole, there was a
6	big meeting, I think it was
7	MS. GREENE: I was not in that meeting so it
8	was our deputy political
9	MR. SEKULOW: And we were very open, I mean
10	early on, and it wasn't, I don't want to keep saying
11	this about Rock the Vote, I think Rock the Vote, I mean
12	I went to a Rock the Vote thing once when I was 14
13	outside the Republican National Committee, so I've seen
14	it since I've been growing up in politics. But with
15	the campaign, we don't make all decisions here.
16	MS. ACKER: Right. Oh, no, we don't.
17	MR. SEKULOW: Or where the money is spent, so
18	we had to deal with what's done and when they say okay,
19	I just think they've gone over the line
20	MR. FOURNIER: I have a 15 year old daughter,
21	is there a technology to start touching her now?
22	MS. ACKER: Instant Messenger.
23	MR. FOURNIER: And why isn't anybody doing it?
24	MS. ACKER: They are.

1	MR. FOURNIER: Who is doing it now?
2	MS. DAVIS: Well we used it but the problem
3	that we had with Instant Messenger was the fact we had,
4	and we all, I was amazed to hear about what Rock the
5	Vote did with text messenging because it was really
6	hard for us because we had to know if it was a Sprint
7	phone or an AT&T phone and what the folks, what company
8	they were with.
9	But in terms of text messenging, they hadn't
10	figured out yet how we could just load up all their
11	screen names and just mass IM them, so all of our
12	people had to like individually do that and it didn't
13	quite work out, but it seems like that technology is
14	being developed more so that we can just upload all of
15	those screen names.
16	MS. GREENE:having Cingular Wireless
17	MS. DAVIS: Yeah, that was an amazing deal.
18	MS. GREENE:do the back end.
19	MR. FOURNIER: I wonder what party you are
20	going through.
21	MS. FLEMING: We are actually working with a
22	company that has the back end figured out, that they've
23	developed this technology for Third World countries and
24	we'll be testing in Virginia.

1	MS. ACKER: But college Democrats also collect
2	Instant Messenger names and use that as a regular
3	communication method, and we had a downloadable
4	carrier, an end carrier Edwards IM icon, but I still
5	don't know how you do it, but somehow you download it
6	and it pops up when you send the message.
7	MS. SNOW: But Ron is asking about now, right?
8	You're not asking about
9	MR. FOURNIER: Yeah, who is touching my
10	daughter right now with the voting
11	MS. SNOW: Right, who is doing it now? Because
12	she is going to vote in four years.
13	MS. GREENE: I think it's that
14	MR. FOURNIER: And who would know how to get
15	her
16	(Multiple people speaking)
17	MS. FLEMING:MTV's new issue platform is how
18	they are shifting from just focusing on one issue in
19	the off years, but that is definitely for a 15 year
20	old.
21	MR. FOURNIER: I wonder why the parties don't?
22	(Multiple people speaking)
23	MS. FLEMING: That's an excellent question, and
24	why aren't we? It's a shame that parties do not have

1	programs in high schools and in middle schools where we
2	go in together and teach young people about what the
3	party is, and the history of the party and what the
4	party stands for. It's a shame that we don't do that.
5	MR. FRISHBERG: The main thing, we are asking
6	the wrong people though because it's not campaign
7	operations who do this stuff, it's elected officials,
8	and that I think goes back to the point the president
9	is out there talking about young people and Social
10	Security, there has been a lot more attention paid to
11	Pell Grants and to higher education issues. I mean we
12	were
13	MR. FOURNIER: Howard Dean has the technology
14	and the know how to do this as well, and he is the head
15	of teh party?
16	MR. SEKULOW: I think there might be a question
17	to have a reminder. I mean have you contact, you know,
18	if I instant message a 15 year old girl, that could
19	come out as very bizarre, even it's about political.
20	I'm being stalked. That's the truth, and that's what
21	we are talking about here, so
22	(Laughter)
23	MR. SEKULOW:and if I call your house and
24	say is your daughter there?

1	MR. FOURNIER: Are there ways that you can do
2	that?
3	MS. FLEMING: We do have Young Democrat
4	chapters on high schools and so we often have young
5	people in high school, and even in middle schools, to
6	contact us, and ask us how to start a chapter and
7	MS. ACKER: I think what Jordan was getting at
8	was that it has to be opt in.
9	MS. SNOW: The bottom line is is it because
10	there is no money or is it because there is no
11	infrastructure, no technology
12	MS. ACKER: No money, no infrastructure, I
13	would say.
14	MS. FLEMING: I would not say the interest, I
15	would say that it's a combination of those three
16	things.
17	MR. FERGUSON: And part of it is money, there
18	isn't a whole lot of money right now.
19	MS. GREENE: On the right, there is \$39 million
20	spent a year in youth leadership development. That
21	maybe is not coming from the groups that are
22	represented right now and I know on the left. This is
23	from a study that people from the American Way did and
24	PFAL has just launched a left effort to try to combat

1	that so, again, but maybe just a different set of
2	people who are doing that right now.
3	MR. KING: I think Melanie had the answer a
4	little earlier when she was talking about the
5	importance of community service and the prohibition in
6	almost every jurisdiction, 14,000 school boards in
7	America have decided that anything political smacks of
8	politics and you can't count that towards community
9	service. If that changes, that will make a difference
10	and young people will be, people will be investing
11	their time in trying to get young people involved. If
12	colleges start to ask on their applications, so, what
13	have you done in terms of politics lately? That will
14	make a difference.
15	MS. CAMPBELL: Just to piggyback, that's one of
16	the things that the Carnegie has been supporting and
17	some of us have been trying to work through that, the
18	civics in schools, the whole trying to make that from
19	being an academic conversation to being more of a
20	movement and getting how you impact it, that's one, but
21	still that's policy. Policy decisions impact why your
22	daughter isn't being touched.
23	The other side too is on the community side.
24	For us, we had a project we started almost the same

time we started with Black Youth Vote, called Black
Youth Vote Kids. And so when we had our conference two
weeks ago, a 16 year old, who was a nine year old at
the time when we started in Georgia, were working on
environmental issues that were in the community,
environmental pollution and things like that.

So here this 16 year old, as I briefly mentioned to Ivan, challenged the congressperson the first time we took the kids on the Hill. We actually added a high school track because you're going to have to get young people to these folks sooner, for the various reasons that we talked about. because you're not getting it in school, and if we want someone from various ages around this table, and so you ask yourself the question, well, what made me get involved? Was it when I was in school that it touched me? When I was in high school, did we have, what are the things that are going on? So, for the young people who are coming up, who aren't of voting age, this 16 year old could ---.

She challenged these congress folks and when he came up behind her, he had to address what she said, not the 18 year olds who had voted. This young person, who he knew, who says that she's tired of hearing speeches, she's tired of hearing about marches, she

1	wanted to know what you're going to do about my issues,
2	in a polite way but very, very, very powerful, and that
3	same 16 year old has been all over the world focusing
4	on, so there are ways but it's going to have to also
5	come from the community aspect.
6	I beat up on the partisans all the time but
7	they are in the business of winning elections and I
8	don't know that that's going to change.
9	(Multiple people speaking)
10	MR. SEKULOW: We have two groups within the
11	Republican party were recognized, the teenage
12	Republicans, which is a group of high school teenage
13	groups and they are very active. In some of these
14	battleground states alone, they volunteered just as
15	much, they can still drive, so they can get to
16	headquarters and they can get out there. If you are a
17	teenage Republican at 16, it's a pretty good, you can
18	guess how they are going to vote 20 years later, unless
19	there is some major shift with the party.
20	So there are groups out there, the home school
21	community is a very new community for the Republican
22	Party, volunteer-drive, community-driven, activist-
23	driven, so there is lots of new things. I think the
24	more technology we have, the better.

1	MS. ACKER: There was a Kids for Kerry program
2	but we didn't have the resources to get them any money,
3	it was organic.
4	MS. MCGILL: One other thing is I was
5	approached by both sides, post election, in trying to
6	understand really the market aspect, how do you market
7	to young kids? And I think that that's something to me
8	that shows a lot of interest, like there may not be the
9	kinds of resources dedicated to the more traditional
10	connecting to young people in the way that we are
11	trying to prime the 15 to 18 year olds but there
12	definitely has been a lot of interest on both sides of
13	like how do you, this is the most marketed to
14	generation, and so how do you get to them in that way?
15	MR. KING: The other thing, a couple of things
16	we've been thinking about at the IOP, and this is, we
17	all ought to care about the next generation. You have
18	a 15 year old, I have a 14 year old, and a 13 year old,
19	and a 12 year old, and a 9 year old and an 8 year old
20	and
21	MR. FOURNIER: And the fact that they'll all be
22	voting is depressing.
23	MR. KING: Well they all voted last time, I
24	don't see any problem with that.

-	/ T 1	١
Τ	(Laughter)

2 MR. KING: We'd like the schools to care more 3 about politics and not in a partisan way, by the way, just what are the facts and are you empowered? 4 shocking, disturbing that the number of school-based 5 6 organizations with internal student governments has 7 been declining over 30 years, not going up, not kind of 8 staying flat, but declining, and declining most rapidly 9 in poor communities. It's disturbing that parents are less and less likely to vote because those are the 10 11 GenXers, and some baby boomers who are still having 12 kids and they weren't all that big on voting in the 13 first place. 14

So we would like to see, for example, maybe questions about politics on the SAT, kids are taught about the SAT all the time. If we add just a few questions, and it's going to make a difference between having an 800 or a 720, about politics, we think the schools are going to pay more attention, but there are all kinds of innovative things old school, like the SAT, or new school, like Instant Messenging my daughters, that you all have to work at.

23 (Laughter)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 MS. FLEMING: We hope that politics is seen as

a vehicle for social change, once again, so hopefully when your daughter is growing up, that's the case.

MR. KING: My job now is to briefly describe a little bit more of the survey. I know you heard from John Della Volpe earlier on the survey, I'm going to describe a little bit about this young generation and how they view politics, mainly around the concept of political ideology. I'll give a little bit of a political science lesson on the final payoff slides, near then end, and that's where I show you what we think the political ideology actually looks like. So the outline is a description of this young generation, will touch very lightly on youth and political participation, then talk about the new political ideology.

The first thing I want you to be aware of is that these young folks are personally responsible and more spiritual than my generation ever could imagine being. High school kids today are going to church at the highest rate that we've seen since the mid 1950s, high school children today are more likely to be attending churches than their parents are to be attending churches and it's the first time we've ever seen that since the data was starting to be collected

in 1938. They are a spiritual generation, they also, by the way, are far less sexually promiscuous than my generation was, certainly than Ron's generation was.

The teenage pregnancy rates have been dropping, it's the lowest they've been in 27 years. The percentage of 13 to 15 year old females and males who say that they've had sexual intercourse from 1995 to 2003, not that entire period but the drop over that entire period, has gone down by about a third. So different kinds of behaviors among the youngest, they are more likely to be attending churches, they are more optimistic, they are more personally responsible.

There are several ways of thinking about this generation. They've been called the Bridger Generation or the Generation 2K, Generation Next, and the most common name, of course, the Millenials.

It's no surprise that these books are all about religion and trying to reach the young people, the churches have been especially good about reaching out to young people and it's not just the Christian right or the evangelical churches, or however you want to define it, are pretty good at reaching out to young people, so are the Catholic Church, especially with young Hispanics, it's been very successful in terms of

1	church attendance in the last four years.
2	Millenials, this group tend to be socially
3	liberal on many dimensions. We heard earlier, oh, well
4	maybe we ought to shy away from this concept of gay
5	marriage when we are talking just to young folks.
6	That is true, although for those who believe
7	that gay marriage is morally wrong, it's particularly
8	intensely held, they tend to reject liberal and
9	conservative labels, maybe because they are not
10	entirely sure what those labels mean in the first
11	place. So to call a young person a social liberal,
12	those are fighting terms. Social conservative, oh,
13	those are fighting, even though they may not know
14	entirely what those labels mean, although they tend to
15	be more socially liberal.
16	They are fiscally conservative, this is
17	surprising. We found, in our survey, recently, that
18	they do support private accounts. Our survey of course
19	does not look like Jehmu's survey but it's, well we
20	have, who knows why. They tend to support private
21	accounts more than older people tend to support private
22	accounts. They are also, high school and college
23	students today are saving at a higher rate than high

school and college students 10, 15 and 20 years ago.

24

1	Now this is surprising because you would think that all
2	the money is going into I-Pods.
3	(Laughter)
4	MR. KING: But it's not. They don't plan and
5	don't expect that Social Security is going to be there
6	in the long run and they are saving more for their
7	future, so socially liberal, fiscally conservative and
8	they are also, as I mentioned earlier, personally
9	responsible.
10	Now I mentioned that we talked very, very
11	briefly about political participation, these are, just
12	of college students, the attitudinal barriers, which
13	are one major barrier in terms of getting yourself
14	involved in politics have been changing, so these are
15	the questions that were asked of a national random
16	sample of college students.
17	You see that we have two in 2001, just before
18	and after 9/11. You see that politics is more relevant
19	to people's lives, just before the election 2004, than
20	it has been in the past, even right after 9/11.
21	Elected officials seem to be motivated by
22	selfish reasons, this is a very large decline from 74
23	percent agreeing to 58 agreeing in a four year period.
24	And finally we know from the community service

1	literature that young people are involved in community
2	service, to a large extent, because they believe that
3	they can make a difference right here and now, the
4	results are right there are your fingertips and
5	political involvement rarely has to any tangible
6	results. Well 51 percent agreed with that in 2000 and
7	only 26 percent now. That's a very dramatic decline by
8	any polling standard.
9	So these attitudinal barriers to participation
10	declined and we know, thanks to the folks on both sides
11	of this table, that the structural barriers were also
12	much better this time, we saw a much higher turnout, as
13	you know, a higher turnout among 18 to 29 year olds
14	than 65 and older, so congratulations. So they are
15	engaged and they are participating, but what do we make
16	of their political ideology? They tend to defy the
17	traditional labels and we are going to argue that
18	attitudes towards social and moral issues are defining
19	this generation.
20	So a little history lesson here now, the
21	political science part of this, David Nickerson,
22	professor at Notre Dame, hop in at any moment now.
23	What is ideology? Well ideology, as a sperate
24	field of study, really began in 1964, although ideology

1	existed before this. This is with an important article
2	called "The Structure of Belief Systems in the Mass
3	Public", a belief system is a way that the
4	constellations of ideas constrain the choices one may
5	make. So someone with a fairly constrained belief
6	system would be able to have a fairly efficient way of
7	handling new information, they would have a world view.
8	Someone who has a loose belief system or an
9	unconstrained belief system would take in information,
10	not know quite what to make of it, not have a standard
11	way of sort of efficiently working through it. Early
12	scholars largely imposed their own view on what
13	ideology would mean. So they would have in their minds
14	a left/right dimension, so liberal or conservative, and
15	they would force things into the left/right divide.
16	Now I don't know why that is actually moving ahead
17	slowly on its own.
18	There were two types of issues that they would
19	do, they would correlate issue to issue, so something
20	that looked like a left leaning issue would be
21	correlated with something else that would look like a
22	left leaning issue, so you can see a correlation
23	coefficient on responses, say, to do you care about
24	whether or not negroes are going to be bussed in the

1 1960s? And that would correlate to questions about 2 other social welfare issues in one time period. 3 Second, they would look at correlations of issues over time, so do you care or do you think that 4 negroes should be bussed, yes or no, in time one and 5 6 then time three? And you would find that lots of 7 people would really change their mind. And so the 8 political scientists ended up saying, you know, it's a very small sliver of the American public that has a 9 well constrained and consistent ideological world view, 10 and they tend to be left and they tend to be right. 11 12 Now people who have an ideologically consistent 13 world view, important for politics, are overwhelmingly more likely to be engaged in politics, far more likely 14 to vote, far more likely to contribute to a campaign, 15 far more likely to tell their friends how to vote, so 16 17 on and so forth. Now that helps to perpetuate, it 18 helps to amplify the political world views of the people who have views of the left or views of the 19 20 right, so moderates are far less likely to become 21 involved in politics. 22 What we did this time, we started a year ago, we repeated it this time, is we asked a series of 11 23

> ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

questions of all college students in our sample and we

24

were not trying, in any way, to impose a left/right 1 2 dimension. There are statistical techniques, we use 3 one called discriminate analysis, in which the correlations among answers would tell us whether or not 4 there was some unobserved variable out there, whether 5 6 or not there was some unobserved thing out there called 7 ideology. So the 11 questions were fairly timeless 8 questions, these questions come up all the time in American politics. 9 10 One of them was the best way to increase 11 economic growth and create jobs is to cut taxes, we see 12 11 percent disagree, strongly disagree, and so forth, 13 and you have a lot of students sort of in the middle, neither agree nor disagree, usually because they don't 14 15 particularly know. 16 And I'll give you another example of this here, 17 our country's goal in trade policy should be to 18 eliminate all barriers to trade and employment so that we can have a truly global economy. That was question 19 20 34, question 33 was the one you saw previously and we 21 see that the correlation between those two questions is

> ADVANCE SERVICES Franklin, Massachusetts (508) 520-2076

.1679. So if you agree with this at a high rate, you

are also more likely to have agreed with this previous

22

23

24

question.

1	So the goal is to try and ask a bunch of
2	questions that will surround something that's
3	unobservable, which is a person's basic ideology,
4	without its imposing on top of it what the structure
5	would be ahead of time. Let me show you just a few
6	more of these correlations.
7	There are some of the correlations and you see
8	a few will kind of stand out as high and positive, a
9	few as kind of high and negative. For example, the
10	relatively strong correlation between the desire to cut
11	taxes to stimulate the economy and the sense that
12	religious values should play a more important role in
13	government. These are positively correlated at .2490.
14	Here we have a positive correlation on what
15	amounts to affirmative action and the desire to have
16	health care cover everybody as a right, also positively
17	correlated. So this matrix of underlying correlations
18	should be a way to tease out something along a
19	dimension.
20	The discriminate analysis comes up with two
21	dimensions and therefore, four quadrants, and we find
22	one that is fairly easily described by the typical
23	left/right dimension and the second one that is
24	religious and secular. Now this is interesting because

1	when we did this survey as well on adults last summer,
2	they don't fall so clearly into these dimensions, older
3	Americans fall much more clearly into just a left and a
4	right dimension, younger Americans you have this
5	additional dimension of religious and secular.
6	So one question we need to ask ourselves is
7	whether or not there is a cohort effect or an age
8	effect. It could be that young people always start off
9	as sort of liberal, and as they age and have to pay
10	taxes and worry about their daughters being IM'd,
11	they
12	(Laughter)
13	MR. KING:become a little more conservative
14	over time, that's entirely possible, that's an age
15	effect.
16	A second effect is a cohort effect and this
17	would be when they are young, there are experiences in
18	society that shape their world views, that shape how
19	their belief systems are constrained, that will
20	structure them, say, in a liberal way for a long time
21	or in a conservative way for a very long time. Now
22	it's generally accepted that there is a lifetime
23	effect, as you move from liberal to conservative, over
24	time. College campuses are often described in that

way, but we believe that there is also a strong cohort effect, that the experiences of 9/11 have had a deep imprint on the millenials.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The experience of growing up in a unipolar world, a world in which there was no boogeyman, the Soviet Union, has had a strong effect in shaping their own self-confidence. Growing up in an environment, in a world rich with information technology, it's very difficult to keep anything hidden from them, has shaped how they view access to information and individual Growing up in a world in which it has been freedom. accepted and expected that there would be a highly homogeneous, I'm sorry, a highly hetero, I'm not going to give that away yet, a highly heterogeneous environment, meaning blacks and whites are going to be together, Hispanics are part of, it is not the world that I grew up in in rural Wisconsin. Young people today expect there to be heterogeneity.

In fact, when we first tested questions and asked about affirmative action, with college kids, these are almost nonsense syllables. The words affirmative action next to each other don't make a whole lot of sense, you have to ask the question differently because they expect that there will be

diversity and they notice the lack of it. So these are the kinds of things that would lead to a cohort effect as opposed to an age effect.

Now when we do the discriminate analysis, we do indeed find some interesting tendencies. College students are far more likely to be liberal than any other category, liberals are more likely female than male. Each one of these points, by the way, is the location of an individual respondent along these dimensions. They voted overwhelmingly for John Kerry, they are against the war, they believe that health insurance is a right, they support gay rights, they don't support private investment in Social Security and liberals were the only group that gained significantly from our numbers last year.

Now many of the folks who were sort of secular last year became more liberal. We can't actually say that because we didn't go back to the same people but the characteristics of them seem to indicate that those who were more secular last time are now more traditional liberals and that's because campaigns helped to define things, make choices very clear for voters. But 43 percent is not at all a majority and you'll notice that all of the other centoids, central

Τ.	points in each of these clusters, are to the right, so
2	43 percent are liberal and both the secular and
3	religious groups, that are going to come up here in a
4	moment, are further to the right.
5	Traditional conservatives fall along the
6	dimension you would expect, 14 percent on college
7	campuses. And we have a secular center, mostly male.
8	The secular centers have lost some numbers, they
9	shifted both to the left and to the right, they voted
10	for Bush by a 2 to 1 margin, they were supportive of
11	the war in Iraq, they would tolerate further casualties
12	for continued progress. They also look, in many
13	respects, libertarian, without having gone to church.
14	And this very interesting group we call the religious
15	centrists, I'm sorry about the little-e that's cut off
16	here, it happens over and over again, I couldn't fix it
17	yet.
18	Half of the religious center voted for Bush and
19	the other half went to Kerry, this is a very
20	interesting group because it's the largest
21	concentration of minorities, Hispanics and African
22	Americans, about 22 percent of the folks in this, the
23	students in this group, the religious center, are
24	minorities. They are very concerned about the moral

_	direction of the country, they are supportive of the
2	role that religion plays in politics.
3	So this is a picture of the ideological world.
4	Now I want you to focus on this and think about it in
5	terms of parties. The least likely to vote were people
6	who called themselves secularists or secular centrists.
7	They didn't call themselves that, they ended up being
8	described as secular centrists by the correlations of
9	answers. Liberals and conservatives likely to vote.
10	By the way, traditional liberals, this is where a lot
11	of political independents are. These are not all
12	Democrats, these are people who say, oh, I'm an
13	Independent. Among conservatives, almost to a person,
14	they are all Republicans and the religious centrist is
15	the real interesting battleground.
16	We had this data, data that looked very much
17	like this, a year ago, it was clear that this was where
18	the battleground was going to go. It was befuddling to
19	all of us that the parties didn't begin speaking to
20	young people with more of a religious message earlier
21	on.
22	But please remember that the parties themselves
23	can change. If you think of the constellation of
24	interest groups that support the Democrat and

1 Republican parties now, they will be different in the 2 Take, for example, 1954, if you, sorry, we'll future. 3 just hope we can stop it here. Look at this as it goes 4 along. Take, for example, 1954, somebody who was a 5 6 regular church attender in 1954, holding everything 7 else in the models constant, was equally likely to be a 8 Democrat or a Republican. Someone who believed in civil rights for African Americans, negroes in those 9 questions, in 1954, holding everything else in the 10 11 models constant, was more likely to be a Republican. 12 The truth is the parties have changed, the coalitions 13 are dynamic and young people should not accept these coalitions of the old left and the old right as 14 The religious center and the secular center 15 16 are very much up for grabs and it's up to young people, 17 who have a different ideological cluster of beliefs 18 than older people, to try and take on their own parties and make a difference. The parties will not 19 20 necessarily change all their own, you, as voters, have 21 to say these are the issues we care about. 22 This religious center group is very interesting 23 because they are conservative, in many dimensions, yet 24 they are very supportive of the environment over jobs.

1	If you have to make a tradeoff between the environment
2	and jobs, the religious centrists say please take care
3	of the environment. They believe in strong national
4	health insurance much more than the secular centrists
5	and certainly the conservatives. So as the parties
6	change, I mean you ought to never accept the party
7	platforms, the party ideas, as themselves, fixed.
8	And this is just a way to look at things, it
9	falls large in the way you would expect. Democrats
10	far, liberals, they are far more likely to be
11	Democrats, conservatives far more likely to be
12	Republicans, and the religious center is here in the
13	center, as we would hope. So we would hope that we
14	don't think just, among young people, about the
15	religious right, those who are also religious, many of
16	them are centrists. Democrat, Republican, liberal,
17	conservative, many of them were active.
18	This is an interesting dimension, of course
19	this is something you have probably seen from other
20	surveys. People who are liberals tend to be
21	pessimistic about just about everything in life.
22	(Laughter)
23	MR. KING: They are more likely to be
24	nessimistic really about everything. Well do you think

1	you are going to be able to find a job? No, and other
2	groups say yes. Do you think your marriage is going to
3	survive? No, other groups say yes.
4	A message of optimism is a message that
5	resonates with the swing voters, the centrists who are
6	religious or secular, and liberals and Democrats need
7	to be well aware of that. These fall in ways that you
8	would expect.
9	This is also an interesting distinction on
10	foreign policy, part of this was whether or not we
11	should strike before somebody strikes at us, the role
12	of the United Nations and so forth. The rest of the
13	groups are more conservative and on gay rights, a very
14	sharp divide.
15	And I want to underscore that parties can
16	change and it's up to you to change them, and then I
17	want to say thank you and take questions.
18	(Applause)
19	MS. MCGILL: I was just going to ask a question
20	about the traditional, the ideology, just using your
21	framework, how much of it is also adding in what the
22	role of government should be? I mean like,
23	traditionally, we think of the parties as Democrats
24	think big government, conservatives think small

1	government, I mean Republicans think small government,
2	how is that mapped into some of the work that you've
3	done? Or is it?
4	MR. KING: I think that doesn't apply so much.
5	Whether people say government should be big or it
6	should be small, I think often it breaks down on
7	specific issues, so state's rights looks good on some
8	issues but not on others, but I haven't looked at the
9	data on that question specifically so we would have to
10	get back to you on that.
11	MS. MCGILL: I mean it just seems like, it just
12	seems that maybe big government/little government is
13	the wrong dichotomy, it's more like what's a government
14	responsibility versus an individual responsibility?
15	And the religious discussion around that is
16	compromising, not compromising but it's challenging
17	some of our traditional notions of it, so it would just
18	be an interesting place to
19	MR. KING: Well the question of religion is so
20	interesting because it does pack into this question of
21	individual responsibility. Americans are rugged
22	individualists in the sense of John Locke, we are born
23	Lockian, we think differently than people in the rest
24	of the world.

A set of surveys called The World Values Survey asks two dimensions of this, very interestingly, one dimension, they say, I don't have the question wording directly in front mof me, so it is the role of the government or the state, depending on the country, to take care of very poor people who cannot take care of themselves.

So the percentage of respondents who agree with that, that it's the role of the government or the state to take care of very poor people, in Latvia, Lithuania, Russia, so forth, it's in the 70s. In Germany, Spain, in the 60s. In England it's a 51-52 percent. There is only one western democracy in which the answer to that is no, it's not the role of the government or the state to take care of very poor people who couldn't otherwise take care of themselves, it's the United States, and that answer is 26 percent. So half of the next closest country in The World Values Survey.

The second question was about success, success in life is pretty much determined by forces within your own control. The United States is a dramatic outlier in that way, people in the United States, this is not age specific, tend to believe that success in life is something that's determined by us, and those in non-

1	Lockian countries, everyone else, seems to believe
2	that, you know, there is somebody else to blame. If
3	I'm not getting ahead in life, I've got someone else to
4	blame.
5	All of this speaks toward the desire in the
6	U.S. to have a fairly small government, we believe in
7	small government and as a percentage of our gross
8	domestic product, we are a small government, our taxes
9	are small, our social welfare spending is small and so
10	forth. So there is a very interesting dimension to
11	this.
12	Now if it's not the government that takes care
13	of those who are poor and can't take care of themselves
14	and if people should be taking care of themselves and
15	be to blame if they can't get ahead, who takes care of
16	them? Well, the churches, fraternal or sorority
17	organizations, local communities and so forth, that's
18	the traditional answer in the United States. We have,
19	overwhelmingly, the largest not for profit sector in
20	the world, nobody is even close. Our not for profit
21	sector is about three times the size of the closest
22	country, as a percentage of the work force, it's the
23	percentage of money, the GDP.

24

So there are non-governmental institutions that

1	provide for this, it makes the United States a very
2	peculiar place for the rest of the world ever to try
3	and understand. So even Democrats who believe in "big
4	government" are operating in an environment in which we
5	don't really believe that government ought to be
6	involved in our daily lives, and that is very clearly
7	mapped into religious traditions.
8	We are going to have dinner too, so I want to
9	make sure that
10	Jennifer? How many questions should I take?
11	Oh, okay. And anyone else wants to jump into this, you
12	just jump in.
13	Yes, ma'am?
14	MS. ACKER: I'm wondering if liberals have
15	historically been pessimistic or if it's a reflection
16	of the kind of general
17	MR. KING: That's a good question. Yeah, it's
18	a good question and an easy answer.
19	MS. ACKER: I would guess no.
20	MR. KING: No, the answer is yes, they have
21	been historically pessimistic and I don't know why.
22	MS. ACKER: That's very interesting to me.
23	MR. KING: Yeah, the national election studies,
24	surveys, go back to, the first decent one was 1952,

1	they had a first, there are some surveys that go back
2	to 1948. Democrats have just been a little bit more
3	sour, a little bit more pessimistic about things.
4	MS. ACKER: That's so interesting. Any
5	particular reason why? I mean when you think of like
6	the candidates who ran on optimism, it's Kennedy and
7	Clinton. Am I crazy?
8	MR. KING: Well that's right, Kennedy and
9	Clinton ran on optimism and they won.
10	Yes, Mr. Nickerson?
11	MR. NICKERSON: So how much of the variance do
12	you get by adding the second dimension? Typically,
13	historically, the unidimensional explain 89 percent of
14	the variance. It's slavery explained another five or
15	six points or race during the 60s explained five or six
16	points.
17	MR. KING: Well you are talking about the DW
18	nominee scores, right?
19	MR. NICKERSON: Yeah, but even like NES.
20	MR. KING: No, it's much higher than that.
21	With young people, it's much higher than that. I'll
22	give you the data.
23	Ryan?
24	MR. FRIEDRICHS: How much change do you think

1	is possible outside of a major event, like 9/11 or
2	something, do you see within generations? I mean
3	clearly parties change but that's because people change
4	and generations grow old and die. How set do you think
5	trends are within a generation by the time you are 29?
6	This group that just voted and just established, made
7	a statement this last election, how likely is that to
8	actually change?
9	MR. KING: I think it's highly likely to change
10	because the old socialization patterns have broken
11	down. Before this election, I know you've heard me say
12	this before, that if you look at children before the
13	election in 2004, so not including parents who voted in
14	2004, children living at home, birth up until the age
15	of 18, a majority of them lived in homes in which no
16	parent present in that home had ever voted. So it's an
17	astonishing figure, but there you go, and it's because
18	intergenerational transfer.
19	One result is intergenerational transfer, party
20	preferences and of the tendency to vote have been
21	breaking down.
22	We asked students, in our most recent survey,
23	whether or not they think that maybe they are going to
24	vote for another party next time around, 67 percent

1	said, yeah, I'm open to voting for the other party.
2	Four years from now in the presidential? Yeah, you
3	bet, I'm thinking, 67 percent of those who actually
4	voted said yeah, I think I may vote for somebody else.
5	So the framework for socialization was not
6	particularly laid down solidly when they were 12, 13,
7	14, 15 years old, a lot of kids were just now
8	socialized as college kids or as young working adults
9	into this voting.
10	It's not necessarily the case, as Ron mentioned
11	early, how you vote the first two times you are going
12	to vote the rest of your life. That was true when Ron
13	and I were young, it's not necessarily true anymore and
14	I think that the student vote, the youth vote is very
15	much up for grabs in 2004.
16	MS. ACKER: Was that question worded as are you
17	going to vote for a candidate of the other party or was
18	it worded as are you open to voting
19	MR. KING: Are you open, I think it was are you
20	open to vote. Yes?
21	MR. FOURNIER: Is part of that because of a
22	change in all the information kids are getting now as
23	compared to what we did?
24	MR. KING: It might be that they are getting

1	different forms of information and it might be that
2	they are
3	MR. FOURNIER: In particular the information
4	they are getting?
5	MR. KING: I don't know, I don't know. This is
6	the kind of question you have to ask David to study,
7	David Nickerson to actually do a survey.
8	I'm going to make sure all of us stop and thank
9	Ron, Kate and Rebecca.
10	(Applause)
11	MR. KING: And Jennifer is going to tell you
12	how the rest of the night works and if Jennifer is not
13	going to tell you how to get all the vouchers and
14	things paid for, that will be Christian or Laura's job.
15	MS. PHILLIPS: I have cab vouchers over here.
16	So we have cab vouchers over there, we have ??? Feel
17	free to stay, we have this room, stay and talk. If you
18	want to get on the road, you can grab some food to go
19	and we'll give you a voucher to the airport.
20	We are going to e-mail all of you, we will give
21	you everybody's e-mail addresses and we'll e-mail you
22	the reimbursement forms so that you can just put
23	everything together, and send it to us and we can
24	reimburse you for the cabs.

1	MR. KING: And finally, thank you to the folks
2	that put this together, Laura, Jennifer and our
3	students
4	(Applause)
5	MR. KING: Particular thanks needs to go to
6	carrie Anderson and Kristin Blagg, who did all the
7	rough work for getting this together and were
8	responsible for really the whole idea generation, so
9	thank you to them.
10	MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you very much.
11	(Applause)
12	(Whereupon, at 5:14 p.m., the session was adjourned.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

This is to certify that the preceding transcript is an accurate record based on the recordings of the proceedings taken:

Before: PHIL SHARP, Moderator

In the Matter of:

CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT:

YOUNG VOTERS, LESSONS LEARNED

Date: April 27, 2005

Place: Cambridge, Massachusetts

 $\frac{\text{Martin T. Farley}}{\text{Martin T. Farley}} \frac{05/21/05}{\text{Date}}$

Advance Services